EXHIBIT B

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
       ELLIOT MCGUCKEN, an
 4
                                     CERTIFIED COPY
       individual,
 5
                 Plaintiff,
 6
                                     Civil Action No.:
            vs.
                                     1:19-cv-09617-KPF
 7
       NEWSWEEK, LLC, a New
       York Limited Liability
 8
       Company; and DOES 1-10,
 9
       inclusive,
                 Defendants.
10
11
12
13
                   CONFIDENTIAL
         30(b)(6) ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF
14
15
                    NEWSWEEK DIGITAL, LLC
16
                       BY AND THROUGH
17
                          DIANE RICE
                          NEW JERSEY
18
19
                        MARCH 10, 2021
20
21
     ATKINSON-BAKER,
     a VERITEX COMPANY
     Telephone: 1-800-288-3376
22
     www.depo.com
23
24
     REPORTED BY: Amelinda Lopez, RPR, CCR #30XI00229700
25
     FILE NO.: AE08879
```

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
       ELLIOT MCGUCKEN, an
 4
       individual,
                                   )
 5
                  Plaintiff,
                                   )
                                      Civil Action No.:
 6
                                      1:19-cv-09617-KPF
            vs.
 7
       NEWSWEEK, LLC, a New
       York Limited Liability
 8
       Company; and DOES 1-10,
 9
       inclusive,
                 Defendants.
10
11
12
13
            Zoom videoconference deposition of DIANE
14
     RICE, taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, commencing
15
     at 1:00 p.m., Wednesday, March 10, 2021, before
16
17
     Amelinda Lopez, RPR, CCR No. 30XI00229700.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR PLAINTIFF:
4	DONIGER/BURROUGHS
5	BY: SCOTT ALAN BURROUGHS, ESQ. LAURA ZAHARIA, ESQ. 603 Rose Avenue
6	Venice, California 90291
7	Telephone: (310) 590-1820 E-Mail: scott@donigerlawfirm.com lzaharia@donigerlawfirm.com
8	izanaria@donigeriawriim.com
9	FOR DEFENDANT:
LO	COWAN DEBAETS ABRAHAMS & SHEPPARD
L1	BY: NANCY E. WOLFF, ESQ. SARA GATES, ESQ.
L2	41 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010
L3	Telephone: (212) 974-7474 Facsimile: (212) 974-8474
L4	E-Mail: nwolff@cdas.com sgates@cdas.com
L5	9
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS: DIANE RICE	
4	Examinations Pag	(0)

```
By Ms. Gates
                                                               211
    6
7
8
9
10
            By Mr. Burroughs
                                                               215
                               EXHIBITS
                       Description
           No.
                                                              Page
                        Amended Deposition Notice
                                                                46
            2
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 1 & 2 -
                                                                75
                        Updated Photo Guidelines
    12
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 104 & 105 -
                        Photo Guidelines
                        Bates Stamp McGucken 9 - Newsweek
                        Publication
    15
            5
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 5 - Image
                                                               161
    16
            6
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 23 - 27 -
                                                               164
                        E-mail String
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 3 - E-mail
    18
            7
                                                               173
                        String
    19
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 28 -
            8
                                                               175
    20
                        Instagram Message to Mr. McGucken
    21
                        Screen Capture - Newsweek Terms
                                                               184
                        and Conditions
    22
            10
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 157 through
                                                               191
                        162 - Instagram Platform Policy
                        Bates Stamp Newsweek 81 through 83 - Full Article
            11
                                                               211
    25
               New Jersey; March 10, 2021; 1:00 p.m.
 1
 2
                             __ __ __
 3
                   DIANE RICE, having first
 4
       been duly sworn, testified as follows:
 5
 6
 7
                            EXAMINATION
 8
       BY MR. BURROUGHS:
                   Hello, Ms. Rice. Would you please
 9
10
       state your name for the record?
11
           Α.
                   Diane Rice.
                   Is it R-I-C-E?
12
           Q.
13
                   Yes, R-I-C-E.
           Ο.
                  Okay. Are you currently employed?
14
15
           Α.
                   Yes.
                  And what's your current employment?
           Ο.
16
                   I'm director of photography for
17
           Α.
       Newsweek.
18
19
                   Okay. Do you hold any other
20
       employment currently?
21
           A.
                   Not currently.
22
           Q.
                   Okay. How long have you worked at
       Newsweek?
23
           Α.
                  Over three years now.
2.4
25
           Ο.
                  Have you always had that same title,
```

1	director of photography?
2	A. As long as I've been with Newsweek,
3	I've been a director of photography.
4	Q. Okay. Prior to working with
5	Newsweek, were you employed?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Where were you employed?
8	A. I was working with Manifest, which
9	is a creative agency prior to Newsweek.
10	Q. Okay. Is that an editorial agency,
11	a commercial agency, or something else?
12	A. It was commercial.
13	Q. Okay. And how long did you work
14	there for?
15	A. I worked with them for just over a
16	year.
17	Q. And prior to working there, where
18	did you work?
19	A. I worked for Washingtonian in D.C.
20	Q. Okay. And what was your title in
21	that position?
22	A. I was a photo director.
23	Q. And how long did you work there?
24	A. Just over three years.
25	Q. Okay. And what was your title in

1	working at Manifest?
2	A. Photo editor.
3	Q. And did you go to college?
4	A. I did.
5	Q. Where did you go to college?
6	A. I went to Nassau Community for two
7	years and Long Island University to finish my
8	degree.
9	Q. Okay. And what was your degree?
10	A. Mixed concentration in graphic
11	design and photography.
12	Q. Other than that degree, do you have
13	any training, formal or otherwise, relating to
14	photography?
15	A. No, just my experience as a photo
16	editor.
17	Q. Okay. Do you have any training,
18	formal or otherwise, other than your experience
19	as an editor in applying editorial guidelines?
20	A. Just as a photo editor.
21	Q. Okay. Have you ever had any legal
22	training that's relevant to your role as a
23	photo editor?
24	A. Not outside of the Getty session.
25	Q. Okay. And have you had a Getty

1	session?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Okay. When was that?	
4	A. It was 2019.	
5	Q. Can you estimate for me the month?	
6	A. I believe that was in November.	
7	Q. Okay. Was that in person or online?	
8	A. It was in person.	
9	Q. Okay. And where was it?	
10	A. It was held in our offices.	
11	Newsweek.	
12	Q. Can you estimate for me how many	
13	people were in attendance?	
14	A. It's slightly difficult to estimate	
15	since some people dialed in, so I couldn't say	
16	how many people dialed in. But in the room,	
17	there was probably at least 30, maybe more.	
18	I'm not sure.	
19	Q. Okay. Do you know if it was	
20	required that Newsweek staff attend the	
21	meeting?	
22	A. It was. I believe so.	
23	Q. Okay. Have you ever had your	
24	deposition taken before?	
25	A. No, I have not.	

1	Q. Okay. Have you ever had occasion to	
2	obtain a personal lawyer for any purpose?	
3	A. No, I never had a need.	
4	Q. Are you represented by counsel	
5	today?	
6	A. If you would count the counsel in	
7	the room, I would suppose. Yeah.	
8	Q. Okay. Are you referring to	
9	Ms. Gates and Ms. Wolff?	
10	A. I am.	
11	Q. Okay. Do you recall when you first	
12	spoke with either Ms. Gates or Ms. Wolff?	
13	A. I believe it might have been on an	
14	e-mail correspondence in 2019, but I'm not	
15	sure.	
16	Q. Okay. When is the first time you	
17	can recall communicating with Ms. Gates or	
18	Ms. Wolff?	
19	A. That would be about a month or so	
20	ago.	
21	Q. Okay. And prior to that, had you	
22	had any interaction with any other attorneys	
23	working for or representing Newsweek?	
24	A. Not that I'm aware of.	
25	Q. Do you recall ever speaking with	

1	anyone other than your attorneys about the
2	dispute that we're talking about today?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And who did you speak with
5	other than your attorneys regarding this
6	dispute?
7	A. That would be Yuliya, one of our
8	business associates.
9	Q. Okay. Do you mind spelling her name
10	for us?
11	A. I'd need to pull it up in my e-mail.
12	I'm not a good speller.
13	Q. No problem. Okay. Well, this
14	actually ducktails into a couple of the ground
15	rules. So let me go over those with you since
16	you have not had your deposition taken before.
17	You know, there may come times during
18	today's proceedings where I will ask you for
19	spellings for the record. That is primarily
20	for Madam Court Reporter to make sure that when
21	she transcribes what you're saying, she's
22	transcribing it accurately. To that end, it's
23	also important for you and I to allow each
24	other to finish before we answer because that
25	will allow for a more clear transcription and

1	it also requires us to use language such as
2	"yes" and "no" as opposed to visual cues such
3	as head nods or shakes or guttural responses
4	like uh-huhs and huh-uhs.
5	Does that make sense?
6	A. Understood.
7	Q. Okay. And the transcript is going
8	to be sent to you after this session for your
9	review and you will have the opportunity to
10	review it and make revisions. However, to the
11	extent that you make a revision that's
12	substantial or substantive such as a yes to a
13	no or blue to a red, I'll be able to comment on
14	that when this case goes to trial and that may
15	impact your credibility in front of the jury.
16	So it's very important that if I ask the
17	question and you answer it, you know, you don't
18	do so until you understand the question.
19	Okay?
20	A. Understood.
21	Q. Okay. So if I ask the question and
22	you answer it, I'm going to assume that you
23	understood the question. Is that fair?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. And throughout the day, I may

1	be asking you for estimates such as dates or	
2	amounts or measurements, things of that nature,	
3	and, you know, I don't want you to guess in	
4	response to those questions, but I am entitled	
5	to your best estimate. So if I were to ask	
6	you, you know, how many days have you worked at	
7	Newsweek, you may not have that number handy	
8	but given your experience, you'll be able to	
9	give me an estimate.	
10	If I ask you how long I've worked in	
11	my law firm, you would have to guess because	
12	you don't know anything about that particular	
13	location for me.	
14	Does that make sense?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Okay. And at certain points during	
17	the proceedings, your attorneys may interpose	
18	objections. Now, unless they instruct you not	
19	to answer, those are simply for the record, so	
20	you should allow the court reporter to write	
21	those objections down or type them as the case	
22	may be and then provide a response.	
23	Does that make sense?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Okay. Now, is there any reason why	

1	you wouldn't be able to give me your best
2	testimony today? Any illnesses or drugs or
3	alcohol in the last 24 hours or anything like
4	that?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Okay. So as the director of
7	photography at Newsweek, what are your
8	day-to-day obligations?
9	A. Typically, I'm working with the
10	magazine staff, so I'm pulling images for news
11	stories that would be running in the magazine.
12	Make sure I'm downloading the licenses with
13	those images. Tracking the information that
14	goes along with the photos so that our
15	reporters can access that information and make
16	proper captions. Adding photo credits and just
17	double-checking to make sure there's no
18	misspellings and things like that in reference
19	to the visuals.
20	Q. Okay. When you say that part of
21	your job is pulling images, what do you mean by
22	that?
23	A. Usually a stock research typically
24	or requesting images from, you know, sources.
25	Q. When you say you're doing stock

1	work, what	do you mean by that?
2	A.	Going to stock sites like Getty.
3	Q.	Do you currently work with Getty in
4	your role a	at Newsweek?
5	A.	Yes, I do.
6	Q.	Okay. Do you work with any other
7	stock agend	cies aside from Getty?
8	A.	Typically, it is Getty is our
9	main subsci	ciption.
10	Q.	Okay. Are there any others that
11	you're wor	king with now?
12	A.	We're starting to add AP, but I'm
13	not sure if	f that deal has been finalized.
14	Q.	Are there any others that you were
15	working wit	ch in 2020?
16	A.	Not in 2020.
17	Q.	Are there any others that you were
18	working wit	ch in 2019?
19	A.	Not in 2019.
20	Q.	Were you working with Getty in 2019?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Okay. Was Newsweek working with
23	Getty when	you joined the company?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	Okay. And one other ground rule

1 just so we're on the same page is that 2. throughout the proceedings I'm going to be 3 referring to "you" and "your" in connection 4 with my questions, and when I do so, do you understand I'm referring to Newsweek, the 5 6 company? I do now. Α. Okay. So you're speaking on behalf 8 0. 9 of Newsweek. Do you understand that? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. Okay. Now, do you currently obtain 12 photographs from anywhere other than Getty? Yes, when necessary. 13 Α. 14 Q. Okay. What sources do you recall 15 pulling images other than Getty? I would say like Netflix or HBO or 16 Α. 17 things that might be related to video content. 18 Ο. Okay. So when you're pulling 19 Netflix photographs, for example, where are you 20 pulling those from? 2.1 Up from the PR site. I have access Α. 22 to the PR images. 2.3 Is that the same for HBO? Ο. 24 Α. Yes. Is that the same for the other media 25 Q.

1	companies with which you work?	
2	A. Yes, it would be.	
3	Q. Okay. So aside from Getty and the	
4	media PR sites, are you sourcing images from	
5	anywhere else currently?	
6	A. Yeah, for doing a story on a	
7	specific person, we might request that they	
8	send us images.	
9	Q. Sometimes you may request the	
10	subject provide a key art or image for a story?	
11	A. Correct.	
12	Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding	
13	of the term "key art"?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. What does that mean to you?	
16	A. Typically a key art is in reference	
17	to like a movie or movie cover. News cover.	
18	Q. Does the word "hero image" mean	
19	anything to you?	
20	A. We would use that in reference to	
21	the lead image to a story.	
22	Q. So if Newsweek did a piece and there	
23	was a photograph at the top beneath the URL,	
24	would that be the hero image?	
25	A. I would call that a hero image, yes.	

1	Q. Has Newsweek ever published any
2	photographs that you've created?
3	A. Personally, no. Not that I'm
4	Q. Are you aware of Newsweek are you
5	aware of Newsweek ever posting or publishing
6	any photographs that any of its staff created?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. When was the last time that you can
9	recall that happening?
LO	A. I would say maybe three months ago.
L1	I know there was a reporter that had taken an
L2	image I think of a particular brand in his
L3	closet.
L4	Q. Okay. Can you recall any other
L5	examples?
L6	A. Not off the top of my head I cannot.
L7	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you
L8	can recall one instance in which one reporter
L9	provided a photograph for a story on Newsweek,
20	but cannot recall any other instances in which
21	Newsweek published a photograph created by a
22	staff member. Is that fair to say?
23	A. That's fair, yes.
24	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek currently
25	employ any photographers?

1	A. No, they do not.
2	Q. In your tenure there has Newsweek
3	ever employed a photographer?
4	A. Not since I've been with the
5	magazine. We've commissioned photographers,
6	but we don't employ staff photographers.
7	Q. When was the last time you recall
8	Newsweek commissioning a photographer for a
9	Newsweek project?
LO	A. That would have probably that
L1	would have been over the summer, I believe.
L2	Q. Okay. And do you recall the
L3	substance of that project?
L4	A. I think it was in I'm sorry,
L5	actually, I'm sorry. Let me backtrack. There
L6	was a more recent image for someone receiving a
L7	medal of the arts that we commissioned. Or
L8	rather the photographer came to us and let us
L9	know that he was doing he was going to be
20	photographing a specific person receiving a
21	medal and we agreed to publish the images.
22	Q. Was that a pitch?
23	A. It was a pitch, yes. And we picked
24	it up prior to the images being shot.
25	Q. Okay. Can you estimate for me what

	l I
1	percentage of photographs that have appeared on
2	the Newsweek site during your tenure are from
3	Getty as opposed to from either a third-party
4	commissioned photographer or a staff
5	photographer or someone else?
6	A. Specifically news images? As Getty
7	images versus commissioned images you're
8	asking?
9	Q. Or images created by staff even if
10	they're not photographers like the reporter
11	that you indicated earlier?
12	A. I would say 99 percent of the images
13	are from Getty, maybe even more.
14	Q. Okay. Does or has Newsweek let
15	me withdraw the question.
16	In your tenure at Newsweek, has
17	Newsweek ever pulled photos from social media?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Can you estimate for me how
20	many times that has happened in your tenure?
21	A. That would be difficult to estimate.
22	I'm really not sure.
23	Q. Would it be more than 25?
24	A. I'm sorry, I really couldn't
25	estimate.

1	Q. Would it be more than five?
2	A. I'm sorry, I really couldn't
3	estimate.
4	Q. So as you sit here today, you have
5	no understanding or ability to estimate the
6	number of social media photographs that were
7	pulled for use on the Newsweek site; is that
8	correct?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Are you aware of that happening at
11	least once?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And is that the photograph by
14	Mr. McGucken that we're here to talk about
15	today?
16	A. I think we're talking about two
17	slightly different things. If you can clarify
18	embedding an image versus using an image that
19	we had permission to use in social media.
20	Q. Okay. When I refer to Newsweek
21	displaying an image, I'm referring to the image
22	actually appearing for viewing by the public at
23	Newsweek.com.
24	Does that make sense?
25	A. Yes.

01
Q. Okay.
MS. WOLFF: Objection.
BY MR. BURROUGHS:
Q. So, you know, from my for most of
my questions, there's not going to be a
distinction between whether or not it was
uploaded directly as opposed to embedded. My
question is going to address the photos that
are displayed and visible on Newsweek.com.
Does that make sense?
MS. WOLFF: Objection. That's a
legal definition.
BY MR. BURROUGHS:
Q. Does that make sense?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So going back to my question:
Is the instance in which Newsweek displayed
Mr. McGucken's photograph the only time that
you can recall Newsweek pulling a photograph
from social media?
A. No.
MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
A. Sorry.
Q. Can you identify for me the other

1	social media for display on Newsweek?
2	A. Not off the top of my head.
3	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, the
4	only time that you can ever recall Newsweek
5	displaying a photograph that it pulled from
6	social media is the McGucken photograph that
7	we're talking about today, correct?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
9	A. It's the only specific instance.
10	Q. Okay. Do you have any other
11	recollection?
12	A. Of?
13	Q. Of any other photograph that was
14	pulled from social media for display on
15	Newsweek?
16	A. Yes.
17	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
18	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
19	Q. What is that recollection?
20	A. I mean, we've done it before with
21	other stories when it relates to the story.
22	Q. Can you recall any story
23	A. I can't recall a specific story. We
24	post hundreds of stories in a day, so it's
25	difficult for me to isolate just one.

1	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, can
2	you recall any story other than the McGucken
3	story in which Newsweek displayed a photograph
4	pulled from social media?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
6	A. Like I said, it's difficult for me
7	to isolate a specific story.
8	Q. Can you recall any other story?
9	A. Not a specific story.
10	Q. Can you recall anything about any
11	other story?
12	A. Not a specific story.
13	Q. Can you recall anything specific
14	general or otherwise?
15	A. I cannot.
16	Q. Does Newsweek have in place the
17	policy regarding pulling photos from social
18	media?
19	A. Yes.
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
21	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
22	Q. Okay. When were you first made
23	aware of that policy?
24	A. I created the policy in 2018.
25	Q. Okay. In creating the policy, were

1	you advised by counsel?
2	A. I did run it by I did send what I
3	wrote to appropriate people within our company
4	for their review.
5	Q. Were any of those, and I don't want
6	you to tell me what they said, but were any of
7	those attorneys?
8	A. I don't know specifically if they
9	were attorneys.
10	Q. Okay. So who did you send it to
11	within the company?
12	A. I sent it to my editors. I sent it
13	to our CFO. I sent it to Yuliya, I mentioned
14	earlier.
15	Q. Okay. Which editors did you send it
16	to?
17	A. That would be Nancy, our
18	editor-in-chief.
19	Q. And what's her last name?
20	A. Sorry, I'm a little nervous. I
21	apologize. I can
22	Q. If you don't remember, that's fine.
23	Do you remember the name of any other editors
24	to whom you sent the work?
25	A. Yes, Dayan with a "Y". D-A-Y-A-N.
	i de la companya de

r	
1	Q. Okay. Do you recall her last time?
2	A. That's a he and it's a long last
3	name.
4	Q. What do you recall about the last
5	name?
6	A. I believe it begins with an "n."
7	I'm sorry. I'm not particularly good with last
8	names.
9	Q. That's okay. You also indicated you
10	sent it to the CFO. Who is the CFO that you
11	sent it to?
12	A. Sorry, our CCO, chief content
13	officer. That was Dayan.
14	Q. Is Dayan still the CCO?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What is your understanding of what
17	the CCO's role is at the company?
18	A. That he guides the editorial
19	direction.
20	Q. Okay. And would that include visual
21	assets like photography?
22	A. It would include everything.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall if he had any
24	feedback regarding your social media
25	guidelines?

	1
1	A. I do not recall having any feedback.
2	Q. Do you recall any feedback from
3	anyone at Newsweek?
4	A. Not specifically.
5	Q. Do you recall anything generally?
6	A. Not generally.
7	Q. Do you recall any feedback that you
8	received from anyone in regard to the
9	guidelines?
10	A. I do not recall any feedback.
11	Q. Okay. From where did you draw in
12	drafting those social media guidelines for
13	Newsweek?
14	A. Industry standards that I knew from
15	my experience as being a photo editor and it
16	would be updated periodically as needed.
17	Q. Okay. So the Newsweek social media
18	guidelines were drawn based on your personal
19	professional experience, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Were they based on anything else?
22	A. My experience and from what I know
23	about what kind of things to be aware of within
24	posting images.
25	Q. Okay. And that particular

1	experience you're referencing, can you break
2	down for me what that is?
3	A. I started my career working for a
4	local newspaper in 2013. I worked with them
5	for four years. Then I moved on to another
6	magazine company, which I worked for for six
7	years. Then I worked for Washingtonian for
8	three years followed by the agency for a year
9	and been here with Newsweek for over three
LO	years now. So it's a fairly long career and
L1	seen a lot, heard a lot, so fairly familiar
L2	with what are the dos and don'ts of how to use
L3	visuals.
L4	Q. Okay. And can you estimate for me
L5	when you drafted those guidelines?
L6	A. It would have probably been either
L7	it would have been early 2018, I believe.
L8	Maybe even late 2017.
L9	Q. Okay. And what was the name of the
20	magazine that you worked at for six years?
21	A. It was Bonnier Corporation. I
22	worked within their travel group. The magazine
23	I worked for the longest for them was
24	Designation Weddings and Honeymoons and I also
25	worked with Caribbean Travel & Life, Spa

1	Magazine, Parenting Magazine. I think I worked
2	on Yachting a little while too. It was a wide
3	range of publications within their suite.
4	Q. Okay. And what was your title
5	there?
6	A. I was photo editor.
7	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the
8	social media guidelines that you drew up for
9	Newsweek were based on your decade or so of
LO	time in the industry?
L1	A. Yes.
L2	Q. And is it fair to say that they
L3	reflect your understanding of industry
L4	standards?
L5	A. Yes.
L6	Q. And is it fair to say that Newsweek
L7	adopted those guidelines?
L8	A. Yes.
L 9	Q. Were those guidelines circulated to
20	everyone at the company?
21	A. That I'm aware of, yes. It's also
22	been provided to our hiring manager whom I've
23	requested that she make sure everyone that
24	starts with the company receives a copy.
25	Q. Okay. Was there anything else

1	submitted to new hires related to intellectual
2	property other than your guidelines?
3	A. I couldn't say for sure. I don't
4	know what's included in the package.
5	Q. Okay. Were you responsible for
6	updating the guidelines as time passed?
7	A. Yes, I did and every time I updated
8	it, I made sure it was recirculated.
9	Q. Would you add in new dates to the
10	guidelines or indicate in some way that they've
11	been updated?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. How many versions of the
14	guidelines do you recall drafting?
15	A. Most probably maybe five.
16	Q. So five different versions of the
17	guidelines?
18	A. To the best of my knowledge.
19	Q. Okay. And other than yourself, who
20	would know what was transmitted to employees or
21	new hires by Newsweek along with your
22	guidelines, if anything?
23	A. I'm not sure I understand the
24	question.
25	Q. You indicated before that you didn't

1	know whether or not anything else was sent with
2	your guidelines. Do you recall that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Who would know that?
5	A. That would probably be Nancy. Nancy
6	Cooper, sorry, is the last name. Nancy Cooper
7	as well as Leiann Kaytmaz who's our HR person.
8	Q. Are you aware of any instance in
9	which those guidelines were violated by
10	Newsweek?
11	A. I can't recall any specific instance
12	that it was intentionally violated.
13	Q. Okay. As you sit here today, do you
14	recall any instance in which social media
15	guidelines that you wrote and Newsweek adopted
16	were violated by anyone working at or with
17	Newsweek?
18	MS. WOLFF: Asked and answered.
19	A. Not that I can recall beyond what
20	I've already stated.
21	Q. Okay. So in your entire tenure with
22	the company, you don't recall those guidelines
23	ever being violated, correct?
24	A. Not specifically.
25	Q. How about generally?

1	A. Not generally.
2	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say then
3	that during your tenure at the company you
4	don't recall the social media guidelines that
5	you drafted and Newsweek adopted have ever been
6	violated?
7	A. Not that I could recall at this
8	moment.
9	Q. What do you recall in terms of your
10	revisions to the guidelines that had to do with
11	the use of third-party content?
12	A. I don't believe that was changed
13	much, the third-party content. We're already
14	pretty explicit.
15	Q. Okay. And what were you explicit in
16	stating?
17	A. That permission usually needs to be
18	requested if it's coming from a third party.
19	Q. You said that it usually needs to be
20	requested. In what circumstances does it not
21	need to be requested?
22	A. If it's an embedded image.
23	Q. And what to your understanding is an
24	embedded image?
25	A. If a link is applied to the site and

1	it's not hosted on our site.
2	Q. Okay. And is that set forth in the
3	guidelines?
4	A. If we don't specify Instagram embeds
5	in the guidelines.
6	Q. Is there any reference to any embeds
7	in the guidelines?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Is there any reference to embedding
10	in any guidelines: the ones you drafted, or any
11	others that you've seen at Newsweek that refer
12	to embedding?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Now, you indicated that your
15	guidelines only required that Newsweek request
16	the right to use third-party content; is that
17	accurate?
18	A. We need permission for third-party
19	content.
20	Q. Okay. So it's not only that
21	Newsweek has to request the consent, it's that
22	they actually have to receive consent?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Under your policy, what is the
25	result when Newsweek requests permission but

1	doesn't receive it from a third-party creator?
2	A. We typically would not use it.
3	Q. Can you think of any time that you
4	asked for consent, did not receive it, yet used
5	the content anyways?
6	A. I can't recall any situation.
7	Q. Other than your guidelines, have you
8	ever seen any other guidelines relating to the
9	use of social media content on Newsweek's site?
LO	A. Not that I could recall.
L1	Q. Do you recall ever having any
L2	conversations other than with your counsel
L3	about whether or how Newsweek can display
L4	social media content without the consent of the
L5	creator?
L6	A. Not that
L7	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
L8	A. Not that I can recall.
L9	Q. In your decade or so experience in
20	the industry, do you believe it violates
21	industry-standard to display someone's
22	photograph on your commercial website without
23	obtaining their consent?
24	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
25	A. We're not a commercial entity.

1	Q. Understood. But can you still
2	answer the question or you need me to rephrase
3	it?
4	A. Could you rephrase?
5	Q. Sure. Given your experience in the
6	industry, does it violate industry-standard to
7	post an artist's photograph or display an
8	artist's photograph without their consent on a
9	website?
LO	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
L1	A. That depends on the context.
L2	Q. Can you give a context in which it's
L3	not okay?
L4	A. You can't, for example, you couldn't
L5	take a picture of a coffee cup from a website
L6	and use it for a random story about coffee. It
L7	has to be specific to that story; otherwise it
L8	doesn't relate.
L9	Q. Understood. So it's your
20	understanding based on your experience in the
21	industry, that so long as the story is about
22	the photograph, then Newsweek can display that
23	photograph without the creator of that
24	photograph's consent; is that accurate?
25	A. Correct.

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	Mischaracterizes.
3	MR. BURROUGHS: Did you get her
4	response, Madam Court Reporter?
5	THE REPORTER: Yes.
6	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
7	Q. Do you take photographs yourself?
8	A. I have in the past.
9	Q. But you don't currently create
10	photographs?
11	A. For personal use I do.
12	Q. Okay. If you, for personal use,
13	took a photo of a photograph on a website,
14	posted it, and wrote an article about it, would
15	you have an objection to that?
16	A. It depends on the context.
17	Q. In what context would you not have
18	an objection?
19	A. If it had newsworthiness and it was
20	properly accredited to me or shared as a link,
21	I've had that happen in the past. I actually
22	had an image go viral, so I've experienced
23	that.
24	Q. Okay. Any other context?
25	A. It needs to have newsworthiness and

1	be specific to that visual. But beyond that,
2	no.
3	Q. Okay. So other than your experience
4	in the industry, where did you gain that
5	knowledge that as long as the photograph is
6	newsworthy it can be exploited without consent?
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
8	A. My experience has to do with being a
9	photo editor and working in the industry.
10	Q. Is there any other basis for your
11	understanding that newsworthiness allows you to
12	use an artist's work without consent?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. Just based on my experience as a
15	photo editor.
16	Q. Okay. Other than what you told me
17	before, relating to the different publications
18	that you worked for, what other experience
19	informs that understanding?
20	A. Just this only as being a photo
21	editor. I've been a photo editor for many
22	years.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall when you first
24	heard the term "newsworthiness"?
25	A. I couldn't recall.

1	Q. Okay. What does that word mean to
2	you?
3	A. If it's of interest or to society
4	as a whole.
5	Q. Okay. We are going to put a
6	document in front of you marked Exhibit 1. Ms.
7	Zaharia is going to put that on her screen, so
8	take a look. This is the deposition notice.
9	Okay. While Ms. Zaharia is pulling
10	that exhibit, let me go on and ask a couple of
11	other questions and withdraw the exhibit
12	introduction for the time being.
13	What is your understanding of the
14	manner in which a photo can be pulled from a
15	social media website, specifically Instagram?
16	MS. WOLFF: Object to form. You can
17	answer.
18	A. Are we talking about embedding a
19	link or are we talking about removing the image
20	entirely?
21	Q. I'm asking you how in your role as
22	the photo editor at Newsweek, do you pull
23	images from Instagram?
24	A. It typically, it would probably be
25	by a link.

1	Q. So what's your process for doing
2	that?
3	A. There's a we go to Instagram and
4	we copy the embed link and it could be pasted
5	into the CMS. So it shows up as it would on
6	Instagram.
7	Q. Have you ever done that personally?
8	A. Personally, I have not.
9	Q. Who at Newsweek does that?
10	A. Typically, it would be the writer
11	who is posting the story.
12	Q. Okay. So other than engaging in the
13	embed process you just mentioned, how else can
14	Newsweek display a photograph on its site that
15	originated on Instagram?
16	A. We would need to go to the person
17	who took the image, request for the image to be
18	sent to us, and then we would post it we
19	would upload it to our site and host it that
20	way.
21	Q. Okay. So in that situation, you're
22	not actually taking the photograph from
23	Instagram, you're contacting the person that
24	posted it to Instagram, correct?
25	A. Correct. In that situation, yes.

1	Q. Other than embedding an Instagram
2	image, are you aware of any other manner in
3	which a photograph can be copied from Instagram
4	for display on Newsweek's site?
5	A. I know of other ways it could be
6	done, but not that we would do it that way.
7	Q. What ways are those?
8	A. A screenshot could be taken.
9	Q. Okay. Any other ways?
10	A. Not that I could recall.
11	Q. Okay. So as far as you know, the
12	only way to pull photographs from Instagram for
13	display on Newsweek's site is to either embed
14	the content or publish a screenshot of the
15	content, correct?
16	A. Or to have the person send us the
17	image.
18	Q. Okay. And in that latter case, the
19	person sending you the actual photograph
20	directly, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. All right. Are you familiar or have
23	you seen the McGucken article that we're here
24	to discuss today?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q.	Okay. When do you first recall
2	seeing that	:?
3	A.	I believe it was in October of 2019.
4	Q.	Okay. Were you involved with that
5	article at	or around the time it was published
6	by Newsweel	ς?
7	A.	I was not involved when it was
8	published.	
9	Q.	Okay. Do you know who was involved
10	in the crea	ation of that article?
11	A.	Other than the writer, I don't
12	recall any	others.
13	Q.	Okay. And who's the writer?
14	A.	Katherine. Sorry, I don't recall
15	the last na	ame.
16	Q.	Does Hignett refresh your
17	recollection	on?
18	A.	Yes, that sounds correct.
19	Q.	Okay. Did you work with Ms. Hignett
20	while at Ne	ewsweek?
21	A.	Yes, I had.
22	Q.	Did you work with her in connection
23	with placin	ng photography for her articles?
24	A.	If it was in the print magazine, I
25	might have	worked with her. Yes.

1	Q. Did you ever work with her in
2	connection with the website?
3	A. Not in connection with the website
4	unless it was something that ran in the story
5	ran in the magazine and I was putting it in
6	the CMS for her to post that specific story
7	from the magazine.
8	Q. Do you recall that ever happening?
9	A. Not a specific instance of doing
10	that, but I do recall at least one story where
11	I did work with her and with the magazine.
12	Q. With the physical magazine?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. And did Ms. Hignett get a
15	copy of your social media guidelines?
16	A. I would believe she did.
17	Q. And why do you believe that?
18	A. Because it was sent out via e-mail
19	to the whole company. So I assume it would
20	have included her.
21	Q. Have you seen that e-mail?
22	A. I would have at some point, yes.
23	Q. Have you reviewed your e-mails to
24	see if you could find a copy of it?
25	A. I believe we provided a copy of it.

1	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed your
2	e-mails to see if there were any other e-mails
3	between you and Ms. Hignett?
4	A. In relation to this story, I did
5	look. I did not have any direct e-mails to her
6	in relation to the story.
7	Q. Was the only e-mail you were able to
8	find, in your Newsweek e-mail inbox, the e-mail
9	relating to the circulation of your social
10	media guidelines for which she was a recipient?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. When you searched your e-mail, what
13	keywords did you use?
14	A. Probably Newsweek guidelines.
15	Photo. Maybe photos for Web. And then usually
16	something with an attachment; that would be the
17	easiest way to find it.
18	Q. Did you ever search your e-mail
19	using the search term Hignett?
20	A. In relation I'm sorry, I'm a
21	little confused as to what you're asking.
22	Q. Well, you were asked to produce
23	certain e-mails relating to this dispute,
24	correct?
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. And when looking for those documents
2	and e-mails, did you ever search your e-mail
3	for the term Hignett?
4	A. As far as a recipient?
5	Q. In any way?
6	A. I did search my e-mail for her.
7	Q. And it's your testimony that you
8	were only able to locate one e-mail; is that
9	correct?
10	A. Well, I have past e-mail
11	correspondence with her, but not related to the
12	story.
13	Q. What do you recall relating to the
14	substance of those other e-mails?
15	A. They were about a story that she was
16	working on in relation to someone who was
17	murdering cats.
18	Q. Have you spoken with Ms. Hignett
19	regarding this dispute?
20	A. I have not.
21	Q. When was the last time you spoke to
22	Ms. Hignett?
23	A. Probably in 2019, I believe.
24	Q. Okay. Was Ms. Hignett let go by
25	Newsweek?

1	A. I'm not involved with hiring or
2	removal of people. I wouldn't know directly.
3	Q. What do you know about her
4	separation from Newsweek?
5	A. It's my understanding she left on
6	her own will.
7	Q. Okay. Did her leaving have anything
8	to do with the McGucken dispute?
9	A. Not that I'm aware of.
10	Q. And currently, she has no
11	relationship with Newsweek, correct?
12	A. I'm not involved with firing
13	writers, so I wouldn't know directly, but I
14	wouldn't know.
15	Q. Okay. Are you aware of her having
16	any relationship with Newsweek currently?
17	A. Not that I'm aware of, but I
18	wouldn't be the person working with her so I
19	wouldn't know.
20	Q. Does Newsweek still hold Ms. Hignett
21	out to the public as being on staff at
22	Newsweek?
23	A. I'm not involved with those
24	practices, so I wouldn't know. But not to my
25	knowledge.

Q. If it did, would it surprise you
given that your understanding is that she left
the company in 2019?
A. I suppose, but I'm not involved with
that, or I wouldn't know why they would or
wouldn't.
MS. WOLFF: This is outside the
scope of what was in the notice and so the
witness has not been prepared on these
questions.
BY MR. BURROUGHS:
Q. In your experience, is it industry
standard for a publication to hold out a
particular reporter to the public as employed
by the publication after they've separated from
the publication?
MS. WOLFF: Objection.
A. I'm not familiar with that practice.
Q. Does your website have terms and
conditions?
A. Could you elaborate on the question
in terms of what?
Q. Does Newsweek.com have terms and
conditions?
A. For what?

1	Q. For the website.
2	A. For readers? For writers posting
3	content? I'm not really sure I understand the
4	question.
5	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today,
6	you're not aware of Newsweek.com having any
7	terms and conditions; is that accurate?
8	A. I'm not familiar with
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
10	A the terms and conditions of
11	Newsweek's website.
12	Q. Understood. And you yourself have
13	never reviewed the terms and conditions of
14	Newsweek's website, correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay. All right. We're going to
17	put a document in front of you marked as
18	Exhibit 1, a deposition notice.
19	(Exhibit 1 marked for
20	identification.)
21	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
22	Q. And take a moment, Ms. Zaharia will
23	scroll slowly throughout it. If you want her
24	to go faster or slower, please let her know.
25	But just take a moment and tell me if you've

1	seen this document before?
2	A. I believe I've seen this.
3	Q. And you understand that it indicates
4	that you are testifying on behalf of Newsweek
5	on particular topics?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. Now, to prepare for
8	testimony, to give testimony on those topics,
9	who did you speak to other than your lawyer?
10	A. Yuliya. That's my main point of
11	contact regarding this.
12	Q. Okay. And when did you first speak
13	with Yuliya?
14	A. The first time she brought it to my
15	attention was October of 2019.
16	Q. Okay. And what did she tell you
17	when she brought it to your attention?
18	A. She just let us know that it existed
19	and asked me to look into it.
20	Q. Was that done by e-mail or phone
21	conversation or an in-person conversation or a
22	combination?
23	A. It would have been e-mail.
24	Q. Okay. Do you recall having any
25	in-person conversations or telephone
	1

1	conversations with Yuliya in or around October
2	2019 regarding this dispute?
3	A. I do not recall having a phone
4	conversation with her regarding this case.
5	Q. Okay. And in October of 2019, did
6	Yuliya request that you remove the disputed
7	McGucken article?
8	A. She did not request me to remove it.
9	Q. What did she tell you or communicate
LO	to you?
L1	A. She just simply asked me to look
L2	into look into how the image or the visual
L3	in question was to be displayed on the site as
L4	part of the story.
L5	Q. And did you do that?
L6	A. Yes, I put her yeah, I put her in
L7	touch with the appropriate people to get in
L8	touch with the writer of the story.
L9	Q. And who did you put her in touch
20	with?
21	A. I believe it was one of the people
22	from our HR department, Nancy, to see if they
23	can they had an e-mail on record for the
24	writer.
25	Q. Did you yourself reach out to

1	Ms. Hignett?
2	A. I did not.
3	Q. In November of 2019, did Newsweek
4	remove the disputed McGucken post?
5	A. No.
6	Q. In December of 2019, did Newsweek
7	remove the disputed McGucken article?
8	A. No.
9	Q. In January of 2020, did Newsweek
10	remove the disputed McGucken article?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And why did it do so in
13	January?
14	A. I was requested to do so. I don't
15	know the reasoning.
16	Q. Did Yuliya request that you do so?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Was it your understanding that the
19	result of Yuliya's communication with Ms.
20	Hignett and potentially others resulted in the
21	discovery that this use was without consent?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. I don't recall. I couldn't
24	speculate what her reasoning was.
25	Q. Okay. So no one at Newsweek ever

1	told you why they wanted the McGucken article
2	removed; is that accurate?
3	A. It was not the information was
4	not relayed to me as to why.
5	Q. Okay. Are you aware of what that
6	information is?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you
9	have no understanding as to why you were asked
10	to remove the McGucken article from
11	Newsweek.com, correct?
12	A. Correct.
13	Q. Currently, is Newsweek embedding
14	content from Instagram without the creator's
15	consent?
16	A. It does happen, yes.
17	Q. When was the last time that
18	happened?
19	A. I couldn't say. I don't know.
20	Q. As you sit here today, can you
21	recall any instance in which Newsweek has
22	embedded Instagram content without the
23	creator's consent?
24	A. I cannot recall.
25	Q. Other than the McGucken article, can

1	you recall any instance in which Newsweek
2	embedded Instagram content without the
3	creator's consent?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that
6	Newsweek did not have a pattern of practice of
7	embedding Instagram content without the
8	artist's consent?
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
LO	A. It's not against our policy, but I
L1	don't know how often it happens.
L2	Q. In fact, you don't recall it ever
L3	happening other than the article for which the
L4	lawsuit is taking place, correct?
L5	A. I do not. I'm not involved with the
L6	embedding of images in stories, so I couldn't
L7	state when or how often it happens. It's not
L8	something I'm involved in.
L9	Q. Okay. And before today, you didn't
20	look to see whether or not there were other
21	articles in which a creator's content had been
22	stolen from Instagram or embedded from
23	Instagram on Newsweek's page?
24	A. We don't steal.
25	Q. Do you understand the question?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
2	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
3	Q. Okay.
4	A. If you could rephrase it.
5	Q. Sure. Before today, have you
6	reviewed Newsweek's site to see if there were
7	any other instances in which a creator's
8	content was taken and used from Instagram
9	without the creator's consent on Newsweek.com?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
11	A. I cannot I do read Newsweek
12	articles, but I don't I can't recall any
13	situations where I've frequently seen that or
14	can recall the last time I had seen an
15	Instagram image embedded in a story.
16	Q. Okay. As part of your job at
17	Newsweek, do you review the articles posted to
18	Newsweek.com?
19	A. Only the ones that are involved with
20	the magazine.
21	Q. Are you referring to the physical
22	magazine?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. So you have no involvement with the
25	articles that are posted to Newsweek.com; is

1	that accurate?
2	A. Occasionally, I'm asked to help out
3	on a Web story, but for the most part, I do not
4	on a day-to-day am involved in it.
5	Q. Okay. Does anyone have your role as
6	it relates to the Newsweek.com site?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. So there is no director of
9	photography for Newsweek.com, correct?
LO	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
L1	A. No.
L2	Q. Is there a director of photography
L3	for Newsweek.com?
L4	A. I am director of photography for the
L5	company, but my focus is the magazine.
L6	Q. Okay. Does anyone fill the role
L 7	that you fill for the magazine for the website?
L8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L9	A. There is no other photo editor, if
20	that's what you're asking.
21	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that
22	you're Newsweek's director of photography, but
23	your primary focus is the print magazine?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And don't regularly review the

г	7
1	articles posted on Newsweek.com, correct?
2	A. Correct. There's hundreds of
3	stories posted a day. It's not feasible.
4	Q. Okay. And you've never seen any
5	article on Newsweek.com other than the McGucken
6	article that used content taken from Instagram
7	without the creator's consent, correct?
8	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Have you had any writers or other
11	Newsweek team members contact you in the last
12	year and ask you if they can embed an Instagram
13	photograph on Newsweek without the artist's
14	consent?
15	A. No one's asked me.
16	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek.com run
17	advertising?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have any involvement with the
20	sale or placement of the advertising?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you know if it's programmatic or
23	some other form?
24	A. I'm not involved with the
25	advertisements. I couldn't say.

1	Q. Okay. So after Yuliya sometime in	
2	January of 2020 asked you to remove the	
3	McGucken photograph, did you have any further	
4	conversations with Yuliya about this dispute?	
5	A. She asked me if I had any guide	
6	she asked me for my guidelines and for dates	
7	related to the guidelines and any other	
8	educational material.	
9	Q. Okay. And did you respond?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And did you send her the material?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Did that include the Getty material?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Okay. After you sent that to her,	
16	did you have any further conversations or	
17	communications relating to this dispute?	
18	A. Not specifically that I could	
19	recall.	
20	Q. Okay. Did you discuss this	
21	deposition with her or communicate with her	
22	regarding this deposition?	
23	A. Only in relation to timing.	
24	Q. So Yuliya advised you what time the	
25	deposition was; is that correct?	

1	A. She asked about availability.
2	Q. Was there anything else communicated
3	between the two of you regarding this
4	deposition or the substance of the case?
5	A. There was some correspondence, yes.
6	Q. Okay. What was covered in that
7	correspondence?
8	A. I just gave her feedback as to
9	questions that I felt comfortable answering and
10	ones that I felt less familiar with.
11	Q. Okay. Did you ever indicate to her
12	whether or not you thought the McGucken article
13	was a violation of your social media
14	guidelines?
15	A. By industry standards, it is not
16	considered a violation. So no.
17	Q. So you never advised her one way or
18	the other?
19	A. I did not.
20	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you
21	never advised Yuliya or anyone at Newsweek that
22	the use of the McGucken content from Instagram
23	was not a violation of your guidelines?
24	A. Can you rephrase? I'm sorry.
25	Q. Sure. Well, I can ask it this way:

1	Did you ever advise Newsweek that the use of
2	the McGucken content from Instagram did not
3	violate your social media guidelines?
4	A. Based on our knowledge of what is
5	allowed and what is not, it did not violate.
6	Q. Did you ever advise anyone at
7	Newsweek of that fact or that belief?
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Who did you advise of that?
10	A. Yuliya.
11	Q. And Yuliya agreed with you?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. And is that why Yuliya continued to
14	run the post from October until January
15	of 2020?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. I can't speculate as to her
18	reasoning.
19	Q. Okay. To your understanding, why
20	did Newsweek continue to run the McGucken
21	article from October 2019 to January 2020?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. My understanding is it didn't
24	violate any policies.
25	Q. And this is something that you

1	communicated with Yuliya, correct?
2	A. I mean, it was up to her to make the
3	call whether or not to remove it, but by our
4	understanding, it was not, you know, it wasn't
5	against any policy.
6	Q. Okay. So is it your understanding
7	that Yuliya's decision not to remove the
8	McGucken content in October of 2019, November
9	of 2019, or December of 2019 was based on the
10	communication with you and your belief that the
11	use of the McGucken content from Instagram
12	without his consent did not violate your social
13	media guidelines?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. I don't get final say in the matter,
16	so it's up to them to decide. I just provide
17	them with what I'm aware of.
18	Q. Understood. So it sounds like you
19	advised Yuliya that the post at issue or the
20	article at issue did not violate the social
21	media guidelines and Newsweek continued to
22	publish the image with notice of the dispute,
23	but you don't know if it's because of your
24	interaction with Yuliya or some other reason.
25	Is that fair to say?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. In your experience, has Newsweek
3	ever removed content in response to a claim by
4	an artist that the content was being used
5	without consent?
6	A. I believe that's happened in the
7	past.
8	Q. When was the last time you remember
9	that occurring?
10	A. Maybe about a year ago.
11	Q. Do you recall the circumstances?
12	A. Not off the top of my head.
13	Q. Okay. But do I have the essence
14	right that a content creator contacted Newsweek
15	and advised that the material posted on
16	Newsweek violated its intellectual property
17	rights in some way?
18	A. It has happened.
19	Q. Okay. What is Newsweek's business
20	practice for responding to such notices?
21	A. I don't know what our legal under
22	I'm not involved with that, so I couldn't speak
23	to it.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. I don't know.

1	O Doog Mouseupola horro a formal malian
1	Q. Does Newsweek have a formal policy,
2	which you're aware, for responding to copyright
3	notices?
4	A. We have a process, yes.
5	Q. And what's that process?
6	A. Like I said, I'm sorry, I don't know
7	what the process is, I just know we have one
8	and I'm asked to advise occasionally when it
9	does.
10	Q. How do you know that such a process
11	exists?
12	A. Because we have an e-mail addressed
13	specific for copyright claims, so I know that
14	we have the availability to receive those
15	requests.
16	Q. Is that copyright@newsweek.com?
17	A. I believe it is.
18	Q. Okay. To your understanding, has
19	that e-mail address been fully functional
20	during your tenure at Newsweek?
21	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
22	A. I'm not involved with the e-mail
23	system, so I couldn't say if it's ever not
24	worked, but as far as I know it works.
25	Q. So it's fair to say that you're

aware that a copyright e-mail address exists 1 2. but you have no personal experience as to 3 whether or not it's functional. Is that fair 4 to say? Α. Yeah, the e-mails do not go to me, 5 so I don't know. 6 Okav. So is the process by which Ο. Newsweek responds to these notices an informal 8 9 one? 10 MS. WOLFF: Objection. I don't know what would constitute 11 Α. formal, so I couldn't say. 12 13 Q. Well, is the policy a written 14 policy? 15 I'm not involved with the procedure, Α. 16 so I couldn't say. 17 Are you aware of any written policy Ο. 18 that speaks to Newsweek's process for responding to copyright notices? 19 20 Α. Beyond what's on the website, I do 2.1 not know. 22 Okay. Have you ever been instructed Ο. 2.3 about a formal process that Newsweek uses to 24 respond to copyright notices? 25 Α. Not beyond what I've already stated.

1	Q. Okay. So as far as you know when
2	Newsweek receives a copyright notice, an e-mail
3	goes out and you look into it. Is that fair to
4	say?
5	A. I know someone receives the e-mails
6	and they're relayed to the appropriate people.
7	Q. Okay. Is there anything more formal
8	than that?
9	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
LO	A. I'm not familiar with the process,
L1	so I couldn't say for sure.
L2	Q. Can you say anything about a more
L3	formal process?
L4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L5	A. I'm not familiar with the process
L6	specifically, the specifics of the process so I
L7	couldn't say.
L8	Q. Okay. Can you estimate for me how
L9	many times you've been involved in the process?
20	A. I don't know the exact number, but I
21	would say in the past year it's probably maybe
22	five times I've been asked to look into
23	something.
24	Q. Okay. And of those approximately
25	five times, did you remove the content in each

1	situation?
2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
3	A. Most of the cases I believe they
4	were not removed because they didn't end up
5	being an issue.
6	Q. Okay. Did any of those instances
7	relate to embedded content from Instagram or
8	any third-party social media site?
9	A. We had one, I think, instance
10	someone asked us to take something down, but it
11	was nothing to do with the copyright and it was
12	just a preference.
13	Q. And what was the preference?
14	A. Someone just asked they we didn't
15	have a video that had them in it.
16	Q. Was that removed?
17	A. They disabled it on their end. So
18	we removed it, but it was disabled on their end
19	so there was no, there was no action needed.
20	Q. Okay. To your understanding, the
21	McGucken content at issue here has been removed
22	though at this point, correct?
23	A. At this point I believe it's been
24	removed, yes.
25	Q. Is the article still online?

1	
1	A. The article is still online.
2	Q. And was the photo asset replaced?
3	A. The photo asset was not replaced.
4	Q. Okay. So do you know what's in the
5	place of the photo asset now?
6	A. It was removed.
7	Q. When I say the "photo asset," I'm
8	referring to the McGucken embedded photograph.
9	A. It's the same story just minus the
10	Instagram embed.
11	Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to
12	learn that the photography asset was replaced
13	with a different photograph?
14	A. It would. Last time I looked at the
15	site it was not replaced.
16	Q. Okay. When was the last time you
17	looked at the site?
18	A. This morning.
19	Q. Okay. To the extent that the site
20	now has a new photograph, that would have
21	happened sometime after this morning; is that
22	correct?
23	MS. WOLFF: Object to form.
24	A. Yes, it would.
25	Q. Okay. Do you know who would have

1	been responsible for placing that photograph?
2	A. There's multiple people that have
3	access to the CMS, so it's, you know, I
4	wouldn't know there's one person specifically.
5	Q. Okay. Other than yourself who has
6	access?
7	A. Pretty much every writer or editor.
8	Q. Okay. Can you give me the names of
9	those that you can recall?
LO	A. There's many. There's many.
L1	Q. Okay. Other than Yuliya, did you
L2	speak with anyone else about this case or in
L3	preparation for today's deposition?
L4	A. Nancy Cooper has been involved in
L5	some of the e-mails.
L6	Q. Okay. Have you had any telephone
L 7	conversations with Nancy or Yuliya about this
L8	deposition or this dispute?
L9	A. No phone conversations.
20	Q. Okay. And when did you first
21	communicate with Nancy about this dispute?
22	A. She probably would have been on the
23	first e-mail.
24	Q. Okay. And did she have any response
25	or did she give you any indication about

1	whether or not she believed that the use of the
2	McGucken content violated Newsweek's policies?
3	A. Not that I recall.
4	Q. Okay. When was the last time you
5	communicated with her?
6	A. Regarding this case?
7	Q. Correct.
8	A. Maybe about a week ago, two weeks.
9	I'm not sure.
10	Q. Okay. And what was discussed during
11	that conversation?
12	A. Just specifics of timing, my
13	availability, and including one additional
14	person to be involved in answering your
15	questions.
16	Q. Okay. And who is that additional
17	person?
18	A. That'll be James who you'll speak
19	with tomorrow.
20	Q. Okay. Can you give me James's last
21	name?
22	A. Etherington-Smith. James
23	Etherington-Smith. It's hyphenated. Dash
24	Smith.
25	Q. Have you ever had any communication

1	with Etherington-Smith?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Were those by e-mail or telephone or
4	some other way?
5	A. It was by e-mail and by phone.
6	Q. Okay. When did you last speak with
7	James?
8	A. I believe it was Monday or
9	yesterday. The days are blurring.
10	Q. Did he call you or did you call him?
11	A. It was a group call.
12	Q. Okay. Were attorneys on the call?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Other than that call, have
15	you had any other conversations with James?
16	A. There was one previous phone call we
17	had together.
18	Q. Okay. And what was the substance of
19	that call?
20	A. It was just preparations for this
21	case.
22	Q. Okay. What did you discuss in
23	preparation?
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection. I believe
25	attorneys were on that call.

r		
1	A.	Correct.
2	Q.	Were attorneys on that call as well?
3	A.	Correct.
4	Q.	Have you ever had a conversation
5	with James	regarding this case other than with
6	attorneys o	on the line?
7	A.	No.
8	Q.	Okay. Does James work out of
9	Newsweek's	U.S. office or a different one?
10	A.	He's in our London office.
11	Q.	Okay. Do you work with James on a
12	day-to-day	basis in your role as the in your
13	role at New	vsweek?
14	A.	Not day-to-day, but we have
15	corresponde	ed in the past.
16	Q.	Okay. Other than in connection with
17	this case,	when was the last time you recall
18	correspond	ing with him?
19	A.	I can't recall.
20	Q.	Okay. Okay. Other than Yuliya,
21	Nancy, and	James, did you speak with anyone
22	else about	this case or about today's
23	proceedings	5?
24	A.	No, not that I can recall.
25	Q.	Did you communicate with anyone else

1	by e-mail, text message, anything like that?
2	A. All our correspondence for this case
3	were over e-mail or the calls that I've had
4	with our attorneys.
5	Q. Okay. In your day-to-day at
6	Newsweek, is the vast majority of your
7	communication done by e-mail?
8	A. Most of it is over e-mail or over
9	our Slack channel.
10	Q. Okay. Slack, S-L-A-C-K.
11	Is there a dedicated Slack channel as
12	Newsweek for photography or photo assets?
13	A. Not specific to photography.
14	Q. The channel in which photography is
15	discussed on your Slack program, what's it
16	titled?
17	A. General.
18	Q. Are photos uploaded to that general
19	channel?
20	A. I suppose they have been in the
21	past. It's mostly used just for conversation.
22	Q. Conversation about the photographs?
23	A. Or stories. It's mostly pictures or
24	some ideas; just anything that might be needed
25	to go to, you know, go to the newsroom.

1	Q. Okay. So would a photographer at
2	Newsweek upload a photograph and say, "I'd like
3	to do an article about this photograph," has
4	that happened?
5	A. I'm not directly involved with the
6	creation of stories, but I presume.
7	Q. Have you seen that?
8	A. Yes, I believe I've seen that.
9	Q. Have you ever accessed the storage
10	for your for the Newsweek Slack program and
11	looked at the photo assets that have been
12	uploaded?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Has this case ever been discussed on
15	the Newsweek Slack channel?
16	A. Not that I'm aware.
17	Q. Any of them?
18	A. Not that I'm aware.
19	Q. Was Newsweek using Teams in 2019?
20	I'm sorry, withdraw the question.
21	Was Newsweek using Slack in 2019?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. In or around February of 2019?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. Have you had occasion to

1	search your Slack platform using the search
2	term "Instagram"?
3	A. I have not, but I don't believe we
4	our Slack stores information for very long.
5	Q. What's that based what's your
6	basis for that belief?
7	A. Typically, when I've gone back to
8	look at old conversations, they've disappeared.
9	Q. Okay. Do you know if Newsweek uses
10	the free version of Slack or the paid version?
11	A. I don't know which version they're
12	using.
13	Q. Are you aware of anyone at Newsweek
14	searching the Slack files to look for messages
15	relevant to this dispute?
16	A. I'm not aware of that.
17	Q. Okay. But you are aware of writers
18	pitching stories on Newsweek's Slack program,
19	right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And the Newsweek Slack is
22	used by everyone in the U.S. office, the London
23	office, and elsewhere, correct?
24	A. As far as I'm aware.
25	Q. All right. We're going to put in

1	front of you an exhibit well, before we do
2	that. Other than the e-mails that we've
3	already discussed, did you review any other
4	documents in preparation for today's
5	deposition?
6	A. Not specific to this case, no.
7	Q. What did you review generally?
8	A. I generally reviewed the
9	correspondence that I had in relation to this
10	story.
11	Q. Okay. So aside from the
12	correspondence, did you review anything else,
13	any other documents or evidence to prepare
14	yourself for today?
15	A. I read the terms of service for
16	LinkedIn oh, I'm sorry, Instagram.
17	Q. Was that the first time you had read
18	those?
19	A. I've reviewed them in the past.
20	Q. When do you recall was the first
21	time that you had reviewed those?
22	A. I couldn't recall exactly.
23	Q. Would it have been within the last
24	six months?
25	A. Most likely, yes.

1	Q. Okay. Do you recall ever reviewing
2	the Instagram terms of service before the last
3	six months?
4	A. I may have, I couldn't say for
5	certain.
6	Q. Do you recall that happening?
7	A. I likely did. I couldn't recall
8	when.
9	Q. Okay. When is the first time that
10	you recall reviewing those Instagram terms of
11	service?
12	A. Probably fairly early on when I
13	signed up with Instagram.
14	Q. And when would that have been?
15	What's your best estimate?
16	A. Oh, boy. 2013, maybe. I don't
17	recall the exact date. I'd have to look that
18	up.
19	Q. Understood. And at that time, were
20	you reviewing them in connection with your
21	personal Instagram account?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. At the time, did you read the
24	embedding section of the terms?
25	A. Yeah, I would have.

1	Q. Okay. Do you recall reading the
2	embedding terms in 2013?
3	A. I likely would have.
4	Q. Would you recall doing that?
5	A. It's a long time ago. I can't
6	recall specifically.
7	Q. So as you sit here today, you don't
8	recall reading the embedding language in the
9	Instagram terms of service in 2013, correct?
10	A. I don't recall doing it, but I
11	believe I would have.
12	Q. Okay. When do you recall actually
13	reading those embedding terms in Instagram's
14	terms?
15	A. I recall reading them when
16	photographers were signing off of the platform
17	because they were concerned that Instagram now
18	owned all their images.
19	Q. Okay. So that would have been in
20	the middle of middle to late 2019?
21	A. I don't recall exactly when it was.
22	Q. Okay. Would it have been any
23	earlier than June of 2019?
24	A. It probably would have been earlier
25	than that.

1	Q. Okay. And is that because you
2	believed the story about photographers' work
3	being stolen from Instagram came out earlier
4	than that?
5	A. I believe so, yes.
6	Q. But is it fair to say that your
7	recollection is that you first reviewed the
8	Instagram embedding terms at or around the time
9	the stories came out about photographers
10	removing their work from Instagram?
11	A. Yes, I would have read it at that
12	time.
13	Q. Okay. Let's take a look at
14	Exhibit 2, which I believe it's Bates stamped
15	Newsweek 1 and 2.
16	(Exhibit 2 marked for
17	identification.)
18	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
19	Q. Okay. Have you well, do you want
20	us to scroll through to the next page so you
21	can take a look at this entire document?
22	A. I've seen the document.
23	Q. Okay. So you recognize this
24	document?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. What is it?
2	A. This is the guidelines, the photo
3	guidelines for Web use that we provide to our
4	writers.
5	Q. Okay. Is this the document that you
6	yourself drafted?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Okay. And this was drafted based
9	solely on your personal experience, correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Okay. And you don't recall anyone
12	at Newsweek other than yourself having input
13	into this particular document, correct?
14	A. Not that I recall, but it was based
15	off of my experience and things that I know
16	that are problematic.
17	Q. Okay. When was the last time you
18	looked at this document?
19	A. This morning.
20	Q. Okay. Now, I want you to direct
21	your attention to paragraph 3 where it says,
22	"Do not use images without requesting
23	permission."
24	Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Okay. Did you write that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Would you say that that lead as a
4	general tenet is industry-standard, that a
5	publication should not, quote, use images
6	without requesting permission?
7	A. When it comes to hosting images
8	within your site, yes.
9	Q. Okay. So is it your position that
10	if you're not hosting the image you can use any
11	other artist's content for any purpose?
12	A. As long as you're not taking it out
13	of context.
14	Q. And what does that mean?
15	A. It means it remains within the
16	format it was originally created. For example,
17	if someone wanted to use a Newsweek cover, they
18	can use a Newsweek cover and description of
19	that context.
20	Q. So is it your understanding that
21	right now you can go to Instagram and embed any
22	photograph from any of the public's accounts
23	there on Newsweek and it wouldn't be a
24	violation of these terms?
25	A. If it was newsworthy and if it was

1	within context of the story.
2	Q. So is the answer yes?
3	A. If it was newsworthy and in context.
4	You can't do it if it's not newsworthy or not
5	in context.
6	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that
7	Newsweek's position relating to the use of
8	social media content, is that so long as the
9	use on Newsweek.com is newsworthy and within
10	context, Newsweek has the right to pull any
11	photograph from any artist on Instagram without
12	that artist's consent?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	Mischaracterizes.
15	A. As an embed, yes.
16	Q. Okay. Now, do you see the word
17	"embed" anywhere in your social media
18	guidelines?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay. Is there any written document
21	anywhere within Newsweek's records that
22	reflects this embedding use that you're
23	describing now?
24	A. Not that I can recall.
25	Q. Okay. And you can't recall any

1	other times in which Newsweek has used that
2	embed process on Newsweek.com, correct?
3	A. You mean have they embedded other
4	photographs in other stories?
5	Q. Correct.
6	A. Yes, they have.
7	Q. And when was the last time you can
8	recall that happening?
9	A. Not in relation to Instagram I can't
10	think of any.
11	Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you
12	don't recall a single time that Newsweek has
13	ever embedded an Instagram photograph without
14	the author's consent, correct?
15	A. Not that
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
18	Q. Go ahead.
19	A. Not that I can recall.
20	Q. Okay. You note here that "The
21	legalities around social media images are
22	continually evolving."
23	Do you see that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And "What may be okay today, could

1	put us in violation of copyright tomorrow."
2	Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And you're familiar with
5	what's been happening in this case, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. So given that a Court has
8	ruled at least preliminary that embedding
9	photographs or taking work from artists on
10	social media without their consent even if
11	embedded
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
14	Q may be a violation of copyright
15	
16	MS. WOLFF: There's no ruling.
17	Objection. I'm stopping you right there.
18	There's no ruling.
19	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
20	Q. Have you thought to update these
21	social media guidelines?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Do not
23	answer that question. There's been no ruling
24	that says anything like that.
25	BY MR. BURROUGHS:

1	Q. Okay. Let me rephrase. Given the
2	rulings that have come out of the court so far,
3	have you thought to update your social media
4	guidelines to reflect a different approach to
5	taking content from Instagram creators without
6	their consent?
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection. She's not an
8	attorney. She's not opining on rulings. Do
9	not answer.
10	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
11	Q. Okay. Have you thought to amend the
12	social media guidelines to reflect the position
13	on embedding?
14	A. We may eventually, but we have not
15	decided to do that at this moment.
16	Q. Okay. What conversations have you
17	had in that regard?
18	A. We've questioned whether or not the
19	policy is changed, but from our understanding,
20	Instagram still allows us. It's an
21	industry-standard still; it hasn't changed.
22	Q. Okay. So as of today, it's
23	Newsweek's intent to continue to embed
24	photographs from Instagram without the consent
25	of the creator; is that accurate?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. No decision has been made.
3	Q. Okay. So as of today, it would
4	still be within your social media guidelines
5	for a Newsweek.com writer to take content from
6	an artist on Instagram and post it without
7	their consent on Newsweek.com, correct?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. Our policies haven't changed at this
10	moment.
11	Q. Okay. So if today a Newsweek writer
12	takes a photograph from Instagram.com without
13	the artist's consent and embeds it in a
14	Newsweek.com post, that would still be within
15	the social media guideline use at Newsweek,
16	correct?
17	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
18	A. If it's newsworthy and it's within
19	context.
20	Q. So the answer is yes?
21	A. In specific
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. In specific circumstances.
24	Q. And the answer would be yes?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Asked and

1	answered.
2	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
3	Q. Sorry, I couldn't hear because of
4	the objection. Is the answer yes?
5	MS. WOLFF: Asked and answered. You
6	asked that three times in a row now.
7	MR. BURROUGHS: I don't think I
8	heard the answer because you were speaking over
9	the witness.
10	MS. WOLFF: No, she did answer. You
11	could repeat maybe the reporter can repeat
12	what she answered.
13	MR. BURROUGHS: I don't think so.
14	MS. WOLFF: I think she can. Let's
15	try. Why don't you read back the question for
16	us and the answer that she gave
17	MR. BURROUGHS: We're not going to
18	read back the question.
19	MS. WOLFF: Okay. Move on.
20	MR. BURROUGHS: So I'll rephrase.
21	I'll rephrase it.
22	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
23	Q. So today if a Newsweek.com writer
24	takes a photograph from Instagram via an embed
25	without that artist's consent and publishes it

1	to Newsweek.com, that would still be within and
2	compliant with Newsweek's guidelines for social
3	media use, correct?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. I believe I did answer the question.
6	Q. Was the answer yes?
7	A. Within certain context.
8	Q. So within certain context the answer
9	would be yes, correct?
10	A. Within the context that it's in
11	relation a newsworthy story and it's within
12	referencing that specific image.
13	Q. In such a context, the answer would
14	be yes?
15	A. In that context, yes.
16	Q. Okay. And what is that context?
17	A. If it's newsworthy and if it's
18	referencing that specific image.
19	Q. Can you think of any situation in
20	when that context wouldn't apply?
21	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Speculation.
22	A. I mean, there's always situations
23	where it wouldn't apply.
24	Q. All right. So looking at the second
25	bullet point in paragraph 3. Do you see that?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Does it say, "We meaning
3	Newsweek "must request permission for every
4	image we publish"?
5	Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Now, what is your definition of
8	publish?
9	A. That would be hosted within our CMS.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. The physical image hosted in our
12	CMS.
13	Q. So in your understanding, publish
14	does not mean display; is that accurate?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Do you recall if you've ever
17	indicated to the contrary that to display
18	something is to publish something?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Legal.
20	A. Can you rephrase that?
21	Q. Sure. Do you ever recall indicating
22	on social media or elsewhere that to display
23	something is to publish something?
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
25	A. I mean, if we're talking

1	specifically about what I wrote here, it's in
2	reference to hosting an image in our CMS.
3	Q. Does it say that anywhere?
4	A. The whole document is about the CMS.
5	Q. Where does it say that that is
6	limited to hosting or that publishing is on
7	hosting?
8	A. I mean, the whole point of this
9	piece I mean, I believe for Web use
10	Photos for Web Use is the name of the document
11	and we do not specifically talk about links or
12	embeds.
13	Q. So is it fair to say that nowhere in
14	this document do you actually refer to hosting
15	as displayed or as publishing?
16	A. That specific word?
17	Q. Correct.
18	A. I don't believe I used that specific
19	word.
20	Q. Okay. So have you ever indicated in
21	the past that to display something on a website
22	is publishing it?
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection. We're
24	getting into legal definitions under copyright.
25	She's not an attorney.

1	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
2	Q. I'm just asking as your personal
3	experience, do you recall ever seeing or
4	writing something like that?
5	A. There's more than one word that
6	could be used to describe the same thing, but
7	in this case, this was written by me and I
8	intended it to be hosting images as in
9	physically in our CMS, not links. Linking to
LO	stories is a separate thing.
L1	Q. So you're saying that publish could
L2	be read in this context to mean either hosting
L3	or displaying?
L4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L5	A. I mean, we're talking about
L6	definitions. That's not what this was intended
L 7	for.
L8	Q. Sure. But you wrote this, right?
L 9	A. Correct. And it was with relation
20	to physical images being posted up to our
21	website. I mean, the first line of the
22	document in number 1 was "Downloading an
23	image." You wouldn't download an embed.
24	Q. Okay. So is it do you want to
25	scroll to the top and you can tell me what

1	you're referring to?
2	A. Number 1 post bullet one: "Each
3	download comes with a one-time license."
4	Re-download I do believe I used the word
5	"download" a number of times.
6	Q. Sure. In paragraph I see that in
7	paragraph 1?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And I see in paragraph 2 "Press
10	Images," which I don't see any reference to
11	download. Do you?
12	A. I didn't feel like it was necessary.
13	It was already stated in the previous one.
14	Q. In paragraph 3 I don't have any
15	reference to download or hosting or anything.
16	Do you?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. Although I do refer to screen grabs,
20	which would be a physical image.
21	Q. Did you ever think given the
22	potential ambiguity in a word like "publish"
23	that you indicate in the only social media
24	guidelines used at Newsweek, that you're
25	referring to display as opposed to hosting

1	here?
2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
3	A. I'm not a lawyer. We did hold
4	classes around this document and I think it was
5	very clear within that class what we were
6	talking about.
7	Q. Do you recall ever thinking to
8	consult with a lawyer about some of the words
9	and some of the language in this agreement or
10	any of the language in the agreement?
11	A. I did provide this to Yuliya. He
12	usually handles legal matters. So if there
13	and I asked for guidance, if they thought any
14	changes should be made to the document.
15	Q. Okay. So just so we're on the same
16	page, it's your testimony under oath that when
17	you write, quote, "We must request permission
18	for every image we publish," you're referring
19	to only articles that are displayed on the site
20	via upload and not displayed on the site via
21	embed. Is that accurate?
22	A. That's my intention, yes.
23	Q. Well, is that the truth?
24	A. Yes.
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
2	Q. Okay. So then you write: "This is
3	work on the front end but will save us
4	thousands of unnecessary costs in legal fees."
5	What are you referring to there?
6	A. If someone were to use an image and
7	upload it to our site without that
8	photographer's permission, it opens us up to
9	problems.
10	Q. Okay. Now, do you believe that even
11	that conduct would violate your social media
12	guidelines?
13	A. Could you rephrase?
14	Q. Sure. You said it would open you up
15	to problems. So I'm wondering if you think
16	that that would be a violation of these
17	guidelines or if you just think that would,
18	quote, open you up to problems?
19	A. I mean, if you took an image without
20	permission and uploaded it to our website
21	through our CMS, that would be against our
22	guidelines.
23	Q. Okay. So let me ask you this
24	question: When a viewer, and I know you said
25	you don't regularly read Newsweek.com, but if a

1 regular viewer of Newsweek.com went to the website to read the articles, does the image 2. 3 itself look any different whether or not it's uploaded directly by Newsweek or embedded? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Objection. 6 MS. WOLFF: 7 BY MR. BURROUGHS: In which ways? 8 Q. If it's embedded, it shows within --9 Α. 10 it's like -- embedding an image is essentially a window to another website. So it would look 11 12 like it would look on Instagram or Twitter or 13 whoever, whatever the embed link was for. 14 Ο. Sure. But please -- I understand 15 that, but listen to my question and answer the question I'm asking because it's slightly 16 different. 17 18 The photograph itself, does it appear 19 any differently to the viewer on Newsweek.com when it's uploaded directly as opposed to 20 2.1 embedded? MS. WOLFF: 22 Objection to form. You 2.3 can answer. 24 Α. The image itself doesn't change, but 25 the context with which it's displayed.

1	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the
2	image itself when appearing on Newsweek.com via
3	either embed or direct upload appears exactly
4	the same?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. The context looks different. It
7	doesn't if you were to upload an image, it
8	wouldn't show look like it was on Instagram.
9	Q. Again, you're answering a slightly
LO	different question, so that maybe you don't
L1	want to answer my question, but let me ask it
L2	one more time and just try to answer the
L3	question. Okay?
L4	When a viewer goes to Newsweek.com and
L5	views a photograph on Newsweek.com, does the
L6	photograph itself appear any different to the
L7	viewer depending on whether it's uploaded
L8	directly on Newsweek.com or embedded from
L9	Instagram?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Asked and
21	answered.
22	A. The photograph looks the same, but
23	the context is not the same.
24	Q. So the photograph looks exactly the
25	same, correct?

1	A. We don't make changes to the image.
2	Q. So it looks exactly the same as it
3	appeared on Instagram?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection to the form.
5	You've asked her now five times. She's
6	answered to the best she can. Just move on.
7	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
8	Q. Does the photograph look exactly the
9	same as it does as appearing on Instagram?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
11	A. Yes. The image looks the same, but
12	the context is different.
13	MR. BURROUGHS: Okay. We've been
14	going for an hour and a half so let's take a
15	quick five-minute break and then we'll come
16	back on the record.
17	MS. WOLFF: Can we take ten minutes,
18	please?
19	MR. BURROUGHS: Sure.
20	(At this point in the proceeding, a
21	recess is taken from 2:34 p.m. to 2:45 p.m.)
22	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
23	Q. So back on the record. Ms. Rice,
24	you understand you're still under oath?
25	A. Yes.
	ı

1	Q. Okay. So let's direct our attention
2	to paragraph 13 of your social media
3	guidelines. Do you see paragraph 13?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And I want to direct your attention
6	within that paragraph to the following
7	language, quote: When it comes to
8	user-generated content, exclusive interviews
9	etc., you absolutely cannot take it. You need
10	permission.
11	Do you recall writing that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And why did you write that?
14	A. Because there was an issue with the
15	screenshots.
16	Q. Okay. Now, in the sentence, you
17	call it, quote, user-generated content. What
18	does that mean to you?
19	A. Someone created the visual.
20	Q. Okay. So user-generated content
21	would be visuals created by users?
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. Okay. And then you indicate that
24	such visuals for the Newsweek team, they
25	absolutely cannot take it. Do you see that?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Now, is it your position that
3	when writing this, you were referring only to
4	screenshots and not to all user-generated
5	content?
6	A. This was specifically in relation to
7	screenshots from video.
8	Q. So is it your testimony that that
9	sentence relates only to screenshots from
10	video?
11	A. That's what the paragraph is meant
12	for.
13	Q. Okay. And then it says, quote, You
14	need permission. Do you see that?
15	A. Uh-huh. I do.
16	Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that
17	you're advising the Newsweek team that they
18	needed permission to use user-generated content
19	only when that content was a screenshot?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. This is in relation to
22	user-generated content from videos and taking a
23	screenshot from that.
24	Q. So is my statement correct?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. If you're referring to
2	user-generated taking screenshots from video
3	and user user-generated video.
4	Q. Well, I'm just reading, you know,
5	your language and it says, "When
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
8	Q "When it comes to user-generated
9	content."
10	Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And it doesn't say "screenshot,"
13	correct?
14	A. The paragraph is about screenshots
15	from video.
16	Q. Understood. But that sentence says
17	user-generated content, correct?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
19	A. Yes, in reference to video.
20	Q. And then it gives an example of
21	"exclusive interviews."
22	Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. And then it says, "You
25	absolutely cannot take it."

[
1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Now, there's nothing in here that
4	relates to whether or not you can take it with
5	an embedding process, correct?
6	A. Correct.
7	Q. Okay. And there's nothing in here
8	that narrows user-generated content to only
9	screenshots, correct?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
11	A. The headline of the paragraph is
12	Screenshots from Video. This is specifically
13	related to that.
14	Q. Okay. And you see the example
15	"exclusive interviews," correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. And the next paragraph 14
18	says, "When in doubt contact the photo
19	department."
20	Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you know if any Newsweek team
23	members ever contacted the photo department
24	about the social media guidelines?
25	A. I do occasionally get questions from

1	writers regarding use of images and that
2	includes social media.
3	Q. Have any of them ever indicated to
4	you that they were contacting you because they
5	had a question about your social media
6	guidelines?
7	A. Occasionally it happens.
8	Q. When was the last time that
9	happened?
L O	A. Maybe a few weeks ago.
L1	Q. And what was the question?
L2	A. I can't remember the specific
L3	question, but someone might have asked me
L4	they needed an image of a specific person and
L5	they want to know if it's okay to use something
L6	from social media.
L7	Q. Okay. And what did you tell them?
L8	A. If they want to host the image in
L9	our CMS and upload it, you must have
20	permission.
21	Q. Did you tell them if they wanted to
22	embed it, they did not need permission?
23	A. I don't recall telling them that.
24	Q. Okay. Tell me the name of the
25	person you're talking to.

1	A. I can't recall. I get we have
2	many writers and I can't recall.
3	Q. So you had this conversation a
4	couple of weeks ago and you don't remember the
5	person's name. Is that accurate?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And you're under oath?
8	A. I can't recall. I could probably
9	look it up, but I can't recall at this moment.
LO	Q. Where would you go to look that up?
L1	A. I'd see if I had a Slack
L2	conversation that was still active.
L3	Q. When is the last time you can recall
L4	the person's name that you spoke to after they
L5	inquired about the social media guidelines?
L6	A. I can't recall.
L7	Q. So as you sit here today, you can't
L8	recall the name of a single person that you
L9	ever spoke to regarding the social media
20	guidelines, correct?
21	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
22	A. Not off the top of my head. Like I
23	said, we have many writers and it's difficult
24	to keep track of all their names. I'm not
25	particularly great at remembering everyone's

1	name.
2	Q. Okay. In the future iterations of
3	your social media guidelines, did you remove
4	this paragraph 13?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Have you ever removed any of the
7	language from paragraph 13?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. Do you recall what, if
LO	anything, that you ever removed from the
L1	numbered paragraphs in these guidelines?
L2	A. There was an earlier iteration that
L3	had an e-mail address requesting people to send
L4	their permissions, approvals, to that e-mail
L5	address. That was removed.
L6	Q. Okay. Do you recall any substantive
L7	edits to the guidelines themselves?
L8	A. Not off the top of my head.
L9	Q. Okay. In your copy of this
20	document, how is it titled?
21	A. Probably Photos for Web Use and
22	there would be a date at the top.
23	Q. Is there a reason why that title
24	doesn't appear on this document?
25	A. I don't know.

1	Q. Okay. So this isn't the actual copy
2	of the document from your files, correct?
3	A. It looks like the same context.
4	It's in the version that I would have provided.
5	Q. Okay. But it's been altered at
6	least to remove the title, correct?
7	A. I mean, I don't see the title in the
8	when I'm referring to the title, I mean the
9	name of the actual document. There's been no
10	title removed from this, if we're talking about
11	the header.
12	Q. Okay. Are you referring to the file
13	name for the document?
14	A. The file name for the document, yes.
15	Q. What's your file name for the
16	document?
17	A. It would be something to the effect
18	of photos for web use and the date.
19	Q. Okay. What's the date on this one,
20	if you know?
21	A. I believe this might have been 8/20.
22	Q. So August 2020?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. And is this the first
25	iteration of the guidelines to your knowledge?

r	
1	A. This is not the first.
2	Q. Is it the second?
3	A. I don't believe it's the second.
4	Q. Okay. Did the first or second
5	iteration include that paragraph 13?
6	A. No.
7	Q. So you added that paragraph 13 at
8	some point during your revisions to these
9	guidelines, correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And that is a substantive revision
12	that you made at some point, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do you recall what spurred you to
15	make that revision?
16	A. There was a question about taking
17	screenshots from videos, so we decided to
18	clarify it.
19	Q. Was Newsweek doing that prior to
20	your clarification of the guidelines?
21	A. I'm sure there had at some point
22	been a screenshot from a video.
23	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek maintain its
24	own internal library of photography?
25	A. We have a CMS system where all

1	images are uploaded to and that's where they
2	live. I don't know if I'd necessarily call it
3	a library.
4	Q. And are some or all those photos
5	proprietary Newsweek photos, by which I mean
6	photographs for which Newsweek owns the
7	copyright?
8	A. There probably are some images that
9	we might hold the copyright to that exist in
10	our CMS, yes.
11	Q. Okay. How is it demarcated in the
12	CMS to reflect photographs that Newsweek owns
13	the copyright for and for third-party work?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Outside the
15	scope.
16	A. Typically, if we own it, it might
17	say for Newsweek in the credit.
18	Q. Is the credit in the metadata?
19	A. Yeah, it would be in the file.
20	Q. Got it. Got it. Okay. We're going
21	to put Exhibit 3 in front of you, which is
22	going to be Newsweek 104 and 105.
23	(Exhibit 3 marked for
24	identification.)
25	///

1	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
2	Q. Take a moment and tell me if you
3	recognize this document. Scroll all the way to
4	the bottom.
5	A. I recognize it, yes.
6	Q. Okay. What is this document?
7	A. It's an earlier iteration of our
8	guidelines.
9	Q. Okay. And how can you tell it's an
LO	earlier iteration?
L1	A. It doesn't have the thirteenth
L2	paragraph and there's some minor changes.
L3	Q. Okay. So comparing this exhibit
L4	with the one prior, does it refresh your
L5	recollection as to when you added paragraph 13
L6	relating to screencaps?
L7	A. I need to double-check dates of when
L8	I added that iteration. I'm not sure of the
L9	specific date.
20	Q. Okay. And other than adding the
21	we'll call it paragraph 13, do you recall
22	adding anything else to this particular
23	iteration of the guidelines that's substantive?
24	A. I need to compare it to other ones.
25	I don't know of any other substantive changes,

1	additions.
2	Q. Okay. And you indicated earlier
3	that part of the in-house rights training that
4	took place in Newsweek, was it a Getty seminar;
5	is that accurate?
6	A. One of them was a Getty seminar. I
7	hosted one, or at least one. I think I held
8	two.
9	Q. Okay. Was it your decision to hold
10	the Getty seminar?
11	A. They offered it to us and we
12	accepted.
13	Q. Okay. Do you recall when the first
14	one of those took place?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	A. You mean the Getty one or one that
17	I've held?
18	Q. The Getty.
19	A. We've only held one with Getty.
20	Q. Okay. And when was that held
21	approximately?
22	A. I know it was in 2019. Might have
23	been the fall. I believe you have the dates
24	somewhere in the documents we provided.
25	Q. Okay. Do you recall if that Getty

seminar included any information about
-
embedding material from social media without
the creator's consent?
A. I don't recall that specific
instance being covered. It might have been,
but I don't recall.
Q. Okay. And you indicated you
yourself had at least one seminar for the team
dealing with intellectual property?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did that seminar include any
conversation regarding embedding?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What was discussed in that
regard during that conference?
A. It was discussed that if it was
within context of the story, and you weren't
taking it we're not taking a screenshot or
hosting it on our site, that Instagram allowed
for that.
Q. And when did that conference take
place?
A. Probably would have been the early
winter of sorry, early spring of 2019.
Q. Okay. And that conference was led

1	by you?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And was that the information you
4	gave there based entirely on your personal
5	experience?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. Was any lawyers at that
8	meeting?
9	A. Not that I'm aware of.
10	Q. Okay. Did you seek any legal input
11	before holding that meeting?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. Like I said previously, I've shown
14	this document to the person I usually talk with
15	about legal matters and I always ask for
16	feedback if they have any.
17	Q. Sure. And I'm not asking
18	A. The conversation was based off of
19	this document, so.
20	Q. Okay. Was it based off of anything
21	else?
22	A. These were the points that were
23	covered.
24	Q. Okay. Was it based on anything
25	else?

1	A. Just my knowledge of the industry.
2	Q. Anything else?
3	A. I believe I've answered the
4	question.
5	Q. No. So you've testified that you
6	gave this training to Newsweek relating to
7	intellectual property and social media use
8	based on your personal knowledge, and I'm
9	asking you is it based on anything else, and
10	you haven't quite answered me yet.
11	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Asked and
12	answered.
13	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
14	Q. Do you want me to ask the question
15	again?
16	A. I thought I answered it. I'm sorry.
17	Q. Not quite yet. So this conference
18	that you gave to the Newsweek team relating to
19	the subject matter of these guidelines, you
20	testified that your guidance during that
21	conference was based on your personal
22	knowledge. Was that guidance based on anything
23	else?
24	A. My it was based off of this
25	document, which has been seen by people who

1	advise us on legal matters and it's based off
2	my experience.
3	Q. Okay. Anything else?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection. You've asked
5	that three times and she's told you everything.
6	Asking it again will not get, you know,
7	something else.
8	MR. BURROUGHS: Just trying to close
9	the loop here.
10	MS. WOLFF: You closed the loop.
11	You asked that same question three times and
12	she told you everything she knows.
13	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
14	Q. Let's go about it a different way.
15	Other than your personal experience
16	and this document that you prepared based on
17	your personal experience, was your instruction
18	to the Newsweek team related to the subject
19	matter based on anything else?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. It was based off this document and
22	my experience.
23	Q. Was it based on anything else?
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Asked and
25	answered.

1	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
2	Q. You should still respond, if you
3	can.
4	A. I did respond. I'm not sure what
5	you want from me.
6	Q. Okay. Tell me at the end of this
7	question, anything else that this guidance was
8	based on aside from your personal experience
9	and this document that was also based on your
10	personal experience.
11	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
12	A. Well, as I've said, that I've shown
13	this to our legal team and, you know, asked
14	them to review it as well. So I wrote this
15	document up as you're aware and the
16	conversation was based off of this and what I
17	know about the industry.
18	Q. Okay. And I'll give you a chance
19	now. If there's anything else that your
20	guidance is based on, please tell me now.
21	A. I have nothing else to add.
22	Q. Thank you. We're going to now put a
23	document in front of you that we're going to
24	mark as Exhibit 4. It's McGucken 9.
25	///

1	(Exhibit 4 marked for
2	identification.)
3	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
4	Q. Take a moment and look at Exhibit 4
5	and tell me if you've seen it before.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. When was the first time you saw this
8	publication?
9	A. When it was brought to my attention
10	in November of 2019.
11	Q. Okay. And who brought it to your
12	attention?
13	A. Yuliya.
14	Q. Okay. And did she send that to you
15	by e-mail?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. And you understand this to
18	reflect the publication we've been discussing
19	today involving Elliot McGucken's photography,
20	correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. And I believe you testified
23	that you yourself weren't involved in the
24	embedding of this photograph to Newsweek's
25	page, correct?

r	
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Do you know who was?
3	A. I don't know. Probably the writer.
4	Q. Okay. If you wanted to figure that
5	out, where would you look?
6	A. You might be able to look in the
7	our CMS.
8	Q. Okay. Where in your CMS would you
9	look?
10	A. There's an edit page; you need to
11	click on it and you can see the back end of the
12	story.
13	Q. Does that edit page include a copy
14	of the photograph that's being embedded?
15	A. You are able to see it as it shows a
16	rough display of how it would look on the
17	external side.
18	Q. Okay. And when you say "a rough
19	display," what do you mean?
20	A. I believe it includes screenshots of
21	what it would look like, so you'll be able to
22	see that. It doesn't look exactly like it
23	looks on the page here. It's minus ads.
24	Q. Understood. So there is a
25	screenshot of the McGucken photograph used in
ļ	

1	the embedding process at Newsweek, correct?
2	A. It would be visible from the back
3	end.
4	Q. Okay. Visible to whom?
5	A. The writers producing the story.
6	Q. Okay. And it's your belief that you
7	can look at that material and ascertain the
8	individual responsible for doing this
9	particular embedding, correct?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
11	A. I'm not sure if it actually would
12	show that. It does show dates of edits to a
13	story, but I'm not sure if it shows
14	specifically who or what the change was. Like
15	I said, I'm not involved with that portion of
16	creation of stories, so I can't speak to it.
17	Q. What is your understanding of how
18	the embed process works?
19	A. You copy a link from Instagram and
20	you paste it into the CMS in the back end of
21	the story.
22	Q. And have you yourself done that?
23	A. I have not, no.
24	Q. Okay. Do you have any experience
25	working with the CMS program?

1	A. I have experience uploading images
2	to the CMS.
3	Q. Okay. In your own language, how
4	does the CMS transport the linked content from
5	the original host to the display on Newsweek's
6	website?
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
8	A. That's outside my purview.
9	Q. Okay. So do you know how the
10	photograph comes to be hosted on Newsweek's
11	CMS?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. It's via the link. That's all I
14	know.
15	Q. Okay. So your understanding is that
16	the photograph is copied from the link and then
17	hosted on the CMS program on the Newsweek
18	platform; is that correct?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. Instagram provides a link that
21	allows embedding and that's what's used. They
22	include descriptions [sic] on how to do it on
23	Instagram's website. It's similar to that
24	practice.
25	Q. Have you ever followed those

1	instructions?
2	A. I have read the instructions, but I
3	haven't personally done it.
4	Q. Okay. So is it your understanding
5	that those instructions allow Newsweek to copy
6	the photograph via the link and then host it on
7	the Newsweek platform?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. Now, when you're looking at
11	the data in CMS on the back end, other than a
12	copy of the photograph that's being imported
13	from Instagram
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
15	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
16	Q and the link data, what other
17	information is there?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection to form.
19	A. I'm not sure I understand the
20	question.
21	Q. Okay. Well, what else other than
22	what we talked about so far, what do you see
23	when you go into the back end?
24	A. I'm not sure what you're getting at.
25	Q. Okay. Well, have you ever looked in

1	the back end yourself?
2	A. I mean, I know what it looks like
3	once you go in to edit a story and what it
4	looks like. I mean, it's like I said, it
5	essentially looks like what you see here minus
6	the ads and there's boxes. It looks boxy I
7	mean, we provided screenshots of exactly what
8	it looks like. You can reference those. It
9	would be easier if you looked at those versus
LO	me trying to describe it.
L1	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that
L2	without relying on the document, you don't have
L3	any personal knowledge of how that process
L4	works?
L5	A. I'm not involved with the creation
L6	of the website and the back-end data on what
L7	makes it function. I couldn't speak to that.
L8	Q. Understood. That's because your
L9	experience or your involvement with the website
20	itself is actually limited, correct?
21	A. It's limited to uploading and
22	yes.
23	Q. Okay. During your tenure at
24	Newsweek, have you worked with David Sim?
25	A. Yeah, we corresponded.

1	Q. Okay. To your understanding, what
2	
	is David Sim's position at the company?
3	A. He was a photo editor.
4	Q. Okay. Did you work closely with
5	him?
6	A. Not closely. He was based out of
7	the London office. We correspond on occasion,
8	but we weren't direct.
9	Q. Did you ever confer with him
LO	regarding your social media guidelines?
L1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L2	A. I probably would have shared it with
L3	him when I was first writing them. I don't
L4	recall the date that he left, so I'm not sure.
L5	Q. At a certain point was he the one
L6	that people were supposed to contact if they
L7	had any questions about the guidelines?
L8	A. If that was in the London office.
L9	Q. Okay. So is it partitioned that way
20	that there's different guidelines for the
21	different offices, U.S. and London?
22	A. To my knowledge they're the same.
23	Q. Okay. Is there anything in your
24	guidelines or in the Newsweek company policy in
25	general that relates to the different laws in

[
1	the U.K. versus the U.S. relating to the use of
2	third-party content?
3	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
4	A. Sorry, you were breaking up on that
5	question.
6	Q. Okay. Is there anything in your
7	social media guidelines or Newsweek's policies
8	in general that addresses any differences
9	between the legal ramifications of using
10	third-party content in the U.S. versus the
11	U.K.?
12	A. We have the same guidelines for
13	both, both offices.
14	Q. Okay. So Newsweek did not have the
15	artist's consent to post the photograph we're
16	looking at here on Exhibit 4, correct?
17	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
18	A. He did not he did not
19	specifically give us permission to include the
20	link.
21	Q. Okay. And did Newsweek have any
22	conversations or communications with
23	Mr. McGucken whereby he gave any sort of
24	consent for the use?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. To my knowledge, the writer reached
2	out, and from what I understand he didn't
3	respond.
4	Q. Okay. So here is it accurate to say
5	that Newsweek reached out to Mr. McGucken to
6	obtain consent to use his content on their
7	website?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. From what I understand, they reached
10	out to be able to have a hard copy and host the
11	image on our site.
12	Q. And what's that understanding based
13	on?
14	A. A message that was sent to the
15	photographer.
16	Q. Does that message indicate a request
17	for a hard copy?
18	A. That was what it implied based on
19	what I saw.
20	Q. How did that imply that?
21	A. If you could pull up the screenshot,
22	I could better speak to it.
23	Q. Okay. But as you sit here today,
24	you have no independent recollection of that
25	implication?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. I'm sorry, what was the question?
3	Q. We can move on. Mr. McGucken did
4	not consent to this use, correct?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. We didn't request permission to
7	embed the image because permission is not
8	required to embed images.
9	Q. Did Mr. McGucken respond providing
10	any sort of consent for Newsweek to use his
11	work?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. I'm not aware of any response that
14	he made.
15	Q. Okay. Is it a business practice of
16	Newsweek to use content from photographers
17	after Newsweek reaches out to that photographer
18	for consent and the photographer does not
19	consent?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. Our guidelines say that if we're
22	going to host a hard copy of an image,
23	permission is necessary.
24	Q. Okay. But in this case, where
25	Newsweek reached out to the photographer to

1	request consent to use his work, the
2	photographer declined to grant that consent,
3	the work was used notwithstanding the fact that
4	he did not provide the consent, correct?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. I'm not sure I understand the
7	question.
8	Q. Okay. So you admit that Newsweek
9	did contact Mr. McGucken requesting some sort
10	of consent to use this work, correct?
11	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
12	A. Like I said, to my knowledge, the
13	writer did reach out to the photographer.
14	Q. To your knowledge, the photographer
15	did not grant to Newsweek any consent; isn't
16	that so?
17	A. To my knowledge, the photographer
18	didn't respond.
19	Q. And yet Newsweek used the content
20	for which it sought permission even
21	notwithstanding this lack of response, correct?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. Permission is not required to embed
24	an image.
25	Q. That's remember, you have to

1	answer my question. I understand these answers
2	and what you're testifying to, but they're
3	simply not responsive to the question. So
4	please listen closely to the question and try
5	to answer the question I'm asking you. Okay?
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. So after not receiving a response
8	from Mr. McGucken relating to the use of this
9	photography, Newsweek, notwithstanding that
10	lack of response, displayed the photography
11	anyway, correct?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. And is it a practice of
15	Newsweek to do that, to reach out for consent
16	from photographers, and if they decline
17	consent, Newsweek uses the content anyway?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
19	A. If a photographer declines or tells
20	us explicitly they don't want us to use their
21	content, we are unlikely to use it.
22	Q. But if they do not respond, is it
23	Newsweek's practice to use the material that
24	they sought consent for notwithstanding the
25	fact there was no response?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. If it was an embed, no response is
3	necessary.
4	Q. Okay. So it's fair to say then that
5	it's Newsweek's practice to reach out to
6	photographers in search of consent and if
7	consent isn't given, Newsweek will then just
8	embed their photography anyway, correct?
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
LO	A. We don't have a policy against
L1	embedding images. We wouldn't take an image
L2	without a photographer's permission and upload
L3	it to our site.
L4	Q. Okay.
L5	A. But embedding is not is allowed
L6	as per Instagram's terms.
L7	Q. Okay. So even after a photographer
L8	declines to grant consent, it's Newsweek's
L9	practice to display their photography anyway by
20	the embedding process, correct?
21	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
22	A. If a photographer were to tell us
23	they don't want us to use their visuals it's
24	unless there was a really strong newsworthy
25	reason to do so that fell under fair use, we'd

1	
1	likely respect the photographer's wishes, but,
2	you know.
3	Q. So is it Newsweek's practice when a
4	photographer declines their request for consent
5	to still use the work anyway as an embed?
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	A. If a photographer asked us not to
8	use an image, we are unlikely to use that
9	image.
10	Q. I understand that. You said that a
11	couple of times, but you have to respond to the
12	question I'm asking. Okay?
13	A. Are you simply wanting me to say yes
14	or no?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
17	Q. That would be helpful, but what I'm
18	asking you is what happens in cases where
19	there's no response? You're responding telling
20	me, Well, here's what happens if they say no,
21	okay, but that's not my question. So please
22	listen carefully to the question; otherwise
23	there's going to be issues with the record.
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
25	BY MR. BURROUGHS:

1	Q. First and foremost, just one more
2	time. In situations where Newsweek reaches out
3	to the photographer to request consent to use
4	their work, and the photographer does not
5	respond, is it Newsweek's practice to use that
6	material anyway through the embedding process?
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
8	A. It's possible we would do that.
9	Q. Okay. And doing so would not
10	violate Newsweek's social media guidelines,
11	correct?
12	A. Embedding images does not violate
13	the guidelines that I had written out.
14	Q. Okay. And that's the case even if
15	the photographer declines a request for consent
16	or doesn't respond to a request for consent,
17	correct?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
19	A. Declining and not responding aren't
20	the same thing.
21	Q. So is it your testimony that if the
22	photographer does not respond to a request for
23	consent, Newsweek takes that as consent to use
24	the work on its website?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. We would embed we embed images.
2	Q. So it's Newsweek's business practice
3	when it is unable to obtain consent to simply
4	embed the image at issue; is that correct?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. If the image is newsworthy and in
7	relation to the story, embedding images is
8	allowed as per our practice.
9	Q. And your practice at Newsweek is to
10	do so even when you've directly contacted the
11	photographer and they did not respond providing
12	consent, correct?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Asked and
14	answered.
15	A. No permission is required when it
16	comes to embedding images.
17	Q. And that's your position
18	irrespective of whether you've asked for
19	consent or received consent, correct?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
22	question?
23	Q. Okay. Your position is that
24	well, strike that.
25	Is it Newsweek's practice to use

1	material from third parties, after seeking
2	consent and not obtaining it, via the embed
3	process?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. If it falls under fair use.
6	Q. Is that the only circumstance?
7	A. That I'm aware of.
8	Q. Okay. So if it's not fair use,
9	Newsweek will not use third-party content that
10	they've sought permission for and not obtained?
11	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
12	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
13	Q. Is that accurate?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. We only use images that relate to
16	our stories.
17	Q. In situations other than fair use
18	situations, is it Newsweek's policy to use
19	third-party content that it sought consent for
20	and did not receive?
21	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
22	A. Instagram doesn't require permission
23	to embed images.
24	Q. Again, I'm not asking about
25	Instagram. I'm asking about your company

1	policies. So you keep telling me what
2	Instagram guides, but I'm asking about your
3	company policies.
4	A. We follow Instagram's policies.
5	Q. How do you ensure that you follow
6	Instagram's policies?
7	A. We've read their policy and I'm
8	familiar with industry standards.
9	Q. Other than reading their policy, how
10	does Newsweek ensure that it follows that
11	policy?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
14	Q. I just want the record to reflect
15	that time is going by without an answer.
16	A. I mean, I'm not sure what you want
17	me would you rephrase the question one more
18	time, please?
19	Q. Sure. You told me that you followed
20	Instagram's policies, I asked you how, and you
21	said you read them. And I asked, Well, do you
22	do anything else at Newsweek to ensure that you
23	follow those policies, and you sat there for a
24	while without answering.
25	A. We hold classes regularly to inform

	ı
1	people what the current industry standards are.
2	Q. Does that have anything to do with
3	Instagram?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
6	Q. Are you looking at the screen or
7	A. What is the current question?
8	Q are you typing? I can't tell
9	what's happening.
10	A. I'm sitting here. I'm trying to
11	understand what you want from me.
12	Q. Sure. I'll ask one more time.
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
15	Q. I asked if Newsweek does anything
16	else aside from reading Instagram's terms in
17	order to ensure that they comply with those
18	terms?
19	A. Well, I'm not sure what else could
20	be done.
21	Q. Okay. Then you can just tell me we
22	don't do anything other than read them.
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
24	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
25	Q. Is that your answer?

1	A. I mean, yes. I read the policy
2	MS. WOLFF: You're badgering the
3	witness right now. Okay? Let the record
4	reflect that he's badgering the witness.
5	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
6	Q. Has Newsweek ever violated any of
7	Instagram's terms?
8	A. Not to my knowledge.
9	Q. And is it fair to say that it's
10	Newsweek's policy, even in cases where it
11	reached out to the photographer to request
12	consent to use their work and having not
13	received that consent, Newsweek will still
14	publish and display that consent [sic] via the
15	embed process?
16	MS. WOLFF: Asked and answered.
17	A. By what consent? I'm sorry, I don't
18	understand what you mean by that question.
19	Q. So you've testified that Newsweek
20	reached out to Mr. McGucken to request his
21	consent to use his photography, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And you've testified that Mr.
24	McGucken did not give that consent, correct?
25	A. I testified that he did not respond.

1	Q. Correct. And you testified that
2	Newsweek, notwithstanding the fact that he
3	didn't respond, just went ahead and published
4	his photography, correct?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. Permission is not required for
7	embedding an image
8	Q. And I'm not asking you whether or
9	not
10	A so we did not
11	MS. WOLFF: Objection. You're
12	putting words in her mouth.
13	MR. BURROUGHS: Not correct.
14	MS. WOLFF: She did not use the word
15	"publish." Please let her answer the question.
16	If she can't answer yes or no, she has to
17	explain.
18	A. You need to repeat the question
19	again.
20	Q. Sure. So, and I don't want to put
21	words in your mouth, but I believe you
22	testified that Newsweek admits that it
23	contacted Mr. McGucken to request a right to
24	use his photography. And I believe you
25	testified that Mr. McGucken did not respond to

1	provide that consent. And I believe you
2	testified that Newsweek published the
3	photography anyway. Is that accurate?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. We requested permission to have a
6	hard copy and host that image on the website.
7	That was the request. We did not get a
8	response from the photographer and we instead
9	decided to include a link as per what's allowed
10	by Instagram's policy and that is what
11	happened.
12	Q. And so Newsweek did display
13	Mr. McGucken's photograph on its website after
14	he declined to provide consent, correct?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection. You're using
16	legal terms.
17	A. The image was visible on our website
18	via an Instagram link.
19	Q. Okay. And is it in accord with
20	Newsweek's policies and practices to display
21	photography on its website after it has reached
22	out to the photographer for that photograph and
23	not receive consent?
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
25	A. We include Instagram links on our

1	website and we don't always seek permission.
2	Q. And in cases where you do seek
3	permission and don't receive it, is it your
4	practice to use the work anyway?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. I've answered the question. I don't
7	understand what else I can add.
8	Q. It's a yes-or-no question, so I'm
9	not really looking for much else other than yes
10	or no?
11	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
12	A. It's a nuance answer. We include
13	links to Instagram stories. We do not host
14	images in our CMS without permission.
15	Q. Let me ask it this way: The article
16	that you see in Exhibit 4, it's undisputed that
17	Newsweek reached out to Mr. McGucken and asked
18	for some sort of consent, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And it's undisputed that
21	Mr. McGucken did not give Newsweek any consent,
22	correct?
23	A. He did not respond.
24	Q. So did you take that lack of
25	response to be consent?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. We asked for permission to host the
3	image. We did not hear back, so we did not
4	host the image in our site; therefore, we
5	included a link. They are two different
6	questions.
7	Q. Well, when you include a link, are
8	you displaying the Instagram content?
9	A. Correct.
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection. That calls
11	for a legal conclusion.
12	MR. BURROUGHS: Madam Court
13	Reporter, did you get the answer?
14	THE REPORTER: Yes.
15	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
16	Q. Okay. So here you are displaying
17	McGucken's photograph on Newsweek, correct?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Legal
19	conclusion.
20	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
21	Q. Do you understand the question? I'm
22	happy to rephrase it.
23	A. Please rephrase.
24	Q. Sure. Did Newsweek display
25	Mr. McGucken's photograph?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. It was visible on our website, yes.
3	Q. Okay. What's your definition of
4	display?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. There's multiple definitions of
7	display.
8	Q. Okay. Can you give me yours based
9	on your industry experience?
10	A. What you can see.
11	Q. Okay. So per your definition, is it
12	possible for you to see McGucken's photograph
13	on the Newsweek website?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. You can see it on our website, yes.
16	Q. Okay. So then it is fair to say
17	that the Newsweek website displayed Mr.
18	McGucken's photograph, correct?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And Newsweek displayed
22	Mr. McGucken's photograph despite the fact that
23	he had never affirmatively consented to that
24	display, correct?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. As I've stated, there's two
2	questions at hand. We asked for permission to
3	have a hard copy. He didn't respond, so we
4	included a link. We didn't ask permission to
5	include a link to his Instagram page.
6	Q. So is it your understanding that his
7	failure to respond to your request gave you a
8	was it implied consent or was it some other
9	type of consent to embed?
10	A. We don't need permission to embed.
11	We didn't ask for permission for an embed.
12	Q. Understood. So is it Newsweek's
13	position that it can embed photographers' work
14	even in situations where they've reached out to
15	that photographer for any type of consent?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. As I've stated, we wouldn't host an
18	image we wouldn't take a hard copy of an
19	image and host that without permission, but we
20	would include a link to an Instagram page.
21	Q. And that link would display the
22	photograph on Newsweek's site, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
25	A. Correct.

1	Q. Okay. And you'll do that even in
2	cases where you've reached out to the
3	photographer and not heard back, correct?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection. Asked and
5	answered.
6	A. I have answered the same question
7	several times. I'm not sure what more I could
8	add.
9	Q. Okay. Let me ask you this: Does
10	it, under Newsweek's business practices, affect
11	in any way whether or not Newsweek decides to
12	display somebody's photograph via an embed
13	whether or not Newsweek has reached out to them
14	and/or heard a response from them in regards to
15	the use of the photograph?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. I've answered your questions
18	already. I'm not sure what else I could add.
19	Q. So I think what you've told me, and
20	tell me if this is wrong, is that it does not
21	affect Newsweek's policy. Newsweek is going to
22	embed a photograph from Instagram even in cases
23	where it's reached out to the artist and asked
24	for consent?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

BY MR. BURROUGHS:
Q. Is that incorrect?
A. We don't ask for consent for
embedded images.
Q. But let's say that you did. Let's
say that you reached out and asked an author
for consent, that author did not respond, would
that impact your decision whether or not to use
their content?
MS. WOLFF: Objection.
A. We wouldn't do that. It's not
relevant.
Q. Can you think of instances where you
yourself have corresponded with photographers
or artists about using their social media
content on your site?
A. I can't recall personally doing
that.
Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection
of anybody else at the company ever doing that?
A. I'm sure it's been done, but I can't
speak to what other people have done
specifically.
Q. Okay.
A. May I take a break for just a

1	moment? My light is coming in my window and I
2	need to adjust it.
3	Q. Sure. Yeah, we can take a
4	five-minute break. Is that okay?
5	(At this point in the proceeding, a
6	brief recess is taken.)
7	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
8	Q. Okay. You understand you're still
9	under oath?
LO	A. Yes.
L1	Q. Okay. Now, when Newsweek obtains
L2	photographs from Getty, does it pay a license
L3	fee for the photographs?
L4	A. We have a subscription model with
L5	them, so yes.
L6	Q. And when Newsweek uses photos from
L7	commissioned photographers or even from the
L8	reporter that you mentioned earlier who
L9	submitted the photograph, does it compensate
20	those parties for use of the photograph?
21	A. We wouldn't compensate a reporter if
22	they're staff. Typically, we wouldn't
23	compensate a reporter, but if it's a
24	commissioned work we would.
25	Q. Okay. Do you recall Newsweek

1	actually paying independent contractor
2	photographers for use of the work?
3	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. When was the last time you
6	recall that happened?
7	A. The most recent case was the one I
8	described earlier with a medal of the arts
9	recipient.
10	Q. Okay. And what did Newsweek pay for
11	the use of that work?
12	A. \$150.
13	Q. Okay. And do you recall what the
14	terms of use were for that payment?
15	A. It was used for that story in
16	perpetuity.
17	Q. Okay. Online only?
18	A. And print.
19	Q. Okay. Was it used in print?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Do you know if Newsweek
22	well, let me withdraw the question.
23	Did Newsweek offer to compensate
24	Mr. McGucken for the use of his photograph?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. Not that I'm aware of. There was no
2	correspondence with him. So he never
3	responded, so there was no opportunity to do
4	so.
5	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek regularly reach
6	out via social media to ask if it can display
7	or use in some way social media content?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. It's something that writers do.
10	Q. You're aware of that happening
11	numerous times during your tenure at Newsweek,
12	correct?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. I don't work directly with these
15	writers, so I can't say how frequently it
16	happens, but I know it happens.
17	Q. And has it happened throughout your
18	tenure at Newsweek?
19	A. As far as I'm aware, it's happened
20	while I've been with the company.
21	Q. Okay. And in those instances, would
22	it also be the understanding that when they're
23	reaching out and asking to use the social media
24	content, they're, in fact, asking for a hard
25	copy to be sent?

1	A. Typically, when they're reaching
2	out, that's what they're seeking.
3	Q. Okay. To your knowledge, is it
4	possible to send photos via Instagram DM?
5	A. Not to my knowledge.
6	Q. Okay. Have you ever heard of that
7	happening?
8	A. I'm not familiar with that
9	happening.
10	Q. Okay. Do you still have an
11	Instagram account?
12	A. I have an Instagram account.
13	Q. Okay. Do you use it?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. Have you ever sent a
16	photograph via I'm sorry. Have you ever
17	sent a photograph file via Instagram DM?
18	A. A personal file? It would be a link
19	usually.
20	Q. Okay. So you never sent a hard copy
21	or an actual TIFF or JPEG file via Instagram
22	DM, correct?
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
24	A. I don't know how Instagram functions
25	internally or what type of file is being

1	transferred if I were to send an image to show
2	someone else. I don't know how that process
3	works. I don't know what it would be called.
4	Q. Okay. So you don't recall doing
5	that, correct?
6	A. To my knowledge, I don't know what
7	how the file is transferred within
8	Instagram's platform.
9	Q. And you have no knowledge?
10	A. I don't know how to answer that
11	question specific to what you're asking.
12	Q. Okay. And that's because you have
13	no knowledge in that regard, correct?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. I don't know how Instagram is built.
16	Q. Fantastic. Okay. Does Newsweek
17	have a standard release form that it uses with
18	outside photographers or artists?
19	A. We have a release form, yes.
20	Q. Have you seen that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. When is the last time you saw that
23	form?
24	A. I probably looked at it when we were
25	licensing the image for the story which I

1	referenced earlier.
2	Q. Okay. And what do you recall about
3	the substance of that form?
4	A. It's a pretty simple statement just
5	saying that we're granted permission to use the
6	image within context of that story that we're
7	requesting it for.
8	Q. Okay. And is it Newsweek's practice
9	to have that form signed by third-parties when
10	it uses third-party content?
11	A. If we're hiring someone to do
12	something. It's not always required when we're
13	seeking permission to offer an image that
14	already exists. It's not always required. A
15	simple yes or no is usually sufficient.
16	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that it
17	is Newsweek's practice to not have a
18	photographer execute just a license when it
19	licenses a photograph to Newsweek?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. Typically, when someone requests
22	permission to use an image and they respond,
23	Yes, you are allowed to use this image for the
24	story, that's sufficient.
25	Q. And it's fair to say that what

1	you've just articulated is the Newsweek policy,
2	correct?
3	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
4	A. I'm not sure if I would call it a
5	standard policy, but it is a practice.
6	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek have a policy
7	in that regard?
8	A. It's just our standard function to
9	do things that way.
10	Q. Okay. So if I were to ask you to
11	describe the policy to me, you wouldn't be able
12	to because there is no such policy, correct?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. It's a pretty standard practice
15	across the industry to do things that way, so
16	it's not really something that warrants being
17	written in stone.
18	Q. To do things which way? To obtain a
19	license? Or to obtain go ahead.
20	A. To request an image and for the
21	person to respond affirmatively.
22	Q. Okay. So it's not your
23	understanding that industry practice generally
24	requires the photographer to sign a licensing
25	statement or agreement?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. Not for Web use.
3	Q. Okay. And certainly, you're not
4	contest you're not asserting that McGucken
5	signed anything or gave any affirmative consent
6	to Newsweek for any purposes here, correct?
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
8	A. Not that I'm aware.
9	Q. We got a bit sidetracked, but is it
10	your position that by not responding, he had
11	given Newsweek implied or some other type of
12	consent to use his work?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. I believe we covered this already.
15	Q. Was that your belief?
16	A. Well, we ask permission to host the
17	image on our site. We didn't hear a response
18	so we did not host the image on our site. We
19	included a link instead, which permission is
20	not necessary for.
21	Q. Okay. And that link displayed the
22	image on Newsweek.com, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And you don't believe that your
25	correspondence or lack thereof with

1	Mr. McGucken authorized that use to the extent
2	it has to be authorized? I understand your
3	position that it doesn't have to be authorized.
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Okay. Are there any processes in
6	place to ensure that photography is properly
7	licensed or being used properly before it goes
8	live and is published on Newsweek.com?
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
10	A. That would probably be a better
11	question for James. He would be able to speak
12	to that.
13	Q. Okay. So it's certainly not your
14	role, correct?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	A. I'm not involved with posting the
17	stories, the daily stories. There's hundreds
18	done a day, so I can't speak specifically to
19	that.
20	Q. Okay. And you testified earlier
21	that the writers themselves publish the stories
22	at Newsweek.com, correct?
23	A. Writers or with the approval of
24	editors. It's often more than one person.
25	Q. But a writer can upload an article

1	directly and it would publish in Newsweek.com
2	without any further approval?
3	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
4	A. As I said, I can't speak to the
5	exact processes of that. That would be a
6	question for James.
7	Q. Okay. Are you aware of anyone at
8	Newsweek that's tasked with reviewing articles
9	to ensure that they don't violate third-party
10	copyrights before they're published on
11	Newsweek.com?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. As I've said, I don't work
14	specifically with that process.
15	Q. Are you aware of anyone?
16	A. I couldn't speculate.
17	Q. Okay. So are you aware of anyone?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
19	A. Of course, the editors review
20	stories. They would catch errors or anything
21	of concern. I'm sure they would flag it if
22	they saw something.
23	Q. Okay. Which is understandable, but
24	again, listen to my question and try to answer
25	the question that I'm asking. Okay?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
3	Q. Is there anyone at Newsweek whose
4	responsibility is to review articles before
5	they're published in Newsweek.com and ensure
6	that they're not violating anyone's copyrights?
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
8	A. Any editor assigned to a story would
9	flag a copyright issue if they saw it.
LO	Q. Okay. And how do they know whether
L1	or not there's a copyright issue as you say?
L2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L3	A. Hopefully, they would refer to my
L4	guidelines and the industry professionals with
L5	an understanding how copyright works.
L6	Q. Okay. So it's Newsweek's
L7	understanding that all of its editors and
L8	writers have an understanding of how copyright
L9	works and they rely on that when approving
20	stories and that's the extent of the approval
21	process?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. I'm not involved with the hiring
24	practices of the writers and what questions and
25	how they're vetted. I couldn't speak to that.

1	Q. That's fair. So as far as you know,
2	there's no one at Newsweek whose job is to
3	review these articles to make sure they're not
4	violating a photographer's rights before they
5	go up on the site, correct?
6	A. We have educated writers [sic] that
7	if they saw a problem with a story, I'm sure
8	they would flag it. And if the writer is
9	following our guidelines, they shouldn't be
10	violating copyrights.
11	Q. Understood. And aside from that,
12	there's nothing in place to ensure that the
13	articles are not copyright infringed, correct?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. Beyond editors reviewing stories and
16	people following guidelines that we have set
17	forth, I'm not aware of any additional levels
18	of checks.
19	Q. Okay. And there's no one at
20	Newsweek whose job title or job
21	responsibilities include reviewing these
22	articles to look at specifically copyright
23	issues, correct?
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
25	A. As I've stated before, I can't say

1	what an editor looks at specifically for a
2	story. I'm not involved in that process.
3	Q. Okay. So it sounds like you're
4	telling me that it's up to the editors
5	basically to look at the content and figure out
6	whether or not there are any copyright issues
7	at Newsweek?
8	A. I'm saying I'm not involved
9	specifically with that process, so I can't
L O	speak specifically to what they're doing.
L1	Q. Understood. So you're unaware of
L2	anything that Newsweek does to review these
L3	articles for copyright issues before them being
L4	uploaded to Newsweek.com, correct?
L5	MS. WOLFF: Objection. And we have
L6	designated particular people to speak to
L7	particular subjects. I don't see where this is
L8	in our list of questions.
L9	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
20	Q. Is that correct?
21	A. I've answered the question to the
22	best of my ability.
23	Q. Okay. And you yourself had no
24	involvement whatsoever with the McGucken
25	article that's at issue in this case, correct?

1	A. As I've stated, I wasn't
2	specifically involved with the posting of this
3	story.
4	Q. Did you have any involvement with
5	the story or its posting?
6	A. I was not involved with the creation
7	of this story.
8	Q. Did you have any involvement
9	whatsoever with the story being created or
10	posted to Newsweek.com?
11	A. I've already answered the question.
12	Q. If you had any involvement with the
13	creation or publication of the article, please
14	tell me that now.
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	A. I've already answered the question.
17	I was not involved with the posting of this
18	story.
19	Q. And you were not involved with the
20	creation of the story, correct?
21	A. That's saying the same thing. I
22	didn't create the story. I didn't post the
23	story.
24	Q. Okay. So you weren't involved in
25	the creative process, correct?

1	MS. WOLFF: Asked and answered.
2	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
3	Q. Did you write the story?
4	A. No.
5	MS. WOLFF: You asked her that five
6	times.
7	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
8	Q. Did you choose the photography for
9	the story?
10	A. No.
11	MS. WOLFF: Asked and answered.
12	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
13	Q. Had you even seen the story before
14	this lawsuit arose?
15	MS. WOLFF: Asked and answered.
16	A. As I've stated earlier, the first
17	time I saw the story was in November of 2019.
18	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any
19	documents that would give you any information
20	or knowledge relating to the creation of the
21	article at issue?
22	A. I believe we covered this already.
23	Q. No.
24	A. We provided the documents that we
25	had available in relation to the creation of

1	this story.
2	Q. Did you look at any records
3	whatsoever relating to the creation of the
4	McGucken article?
5	A. I've seen some e-mails in relation
6	to this story.
7	Q. You've seen e-mails relating to the
8	creation of the McGucken article?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And aside from those e-mails,
11	did you see any other documents that relate to
12	the creation of the McGucken article?
13	A. Outside of the e-mails that were
14	provided, no.
15	Q. Have you spoken to Ms. Hignett about
16	the creation of the McGucken article?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you have any knowledge or
19	information relating to Ms. Hignett's creative
20	decisions in connection with her creation of
21	the McGucken article?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. I can't speak to her thought
24	process.
25	Q. Okay. As you sit here today, you

1	simply have no information whatsoever relating
2	to Ms. Hignett's creative process or anything
3	having to do with the creation of the McGucken
4	article, correct?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. Beyond the creation of our
7	guidelines and the fact that as far as we are
8	aware she followed those guidelines. I wasn't
9	involved with her thought process or her
10	creation of the story.
11	Q. Okay. So other than your knowledge
12	of your guidelines, you have no information
13	relating to the choices made in creating and
14	publishing the McGucken article on
15	Newsweek.com, correct?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. The first time I saw the story was
18	in November of 2019.
19	Q. Okay. And that was months after it
20	had already gone online, correct?
21	A. I believe it was posted in August.
22	Q. Okay. And so you're unaware of why,
23	for example, Ms. Hignett shows the McGucken
24	photograph to publish on Newsweek.com, correct?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. I believe the story was about his
2	photo, so I assume that's why she included it.
3	Q. I understand your assumption, but
4	you don't have any personal knowledge as to why
5	she chose that photograph, correct?
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	A. As I've said, I can't speak to her
8	thought process on the creation of the story.
9	Q. You can't speak to her creative
10	process at all as it pertains to the McGucken
11	article, correct?
12	A. I don't wasn't involved with the
13	creation of this story, so I cannot speak to
14	it.
15	Q. Okay. Do you recall ever conversing
16	or communicating with Ms. Hignett relating to
17	the substance of any of her articles?
18	A. I have worked with her in the past
19	on a previous article.
20	Q. Which article?
21	A. In the story that we ran in the
22	magazine about somebody who had been murdering
23	cats.
24	Q. Okay. So aside from that, which you
25	mentioned earlier, did you ever communicate

1	with her relating to any other article that she
2	put together?
3	A. It's possible. That's the one that
4	stands out.
5	Q. And do you recall any others other
6	than the cat murderer?
7	A. That's the only one that I could
8	recall off the top of my head, but it's
9	possible we worked together on another story
LO	that I'm not thinking of.
L1	Q. Okay. Did you ever give her any
L2	guidance as to her creative process?
L3	A. I provided the document that I
L4	created that we reviewed earlier to everyone in
L5	the company, so I'm assuming that she would
L6	have received a copy.
L7	Q. You yourself provided that to her?
L8	A. Not directly, but it went to the
L9	entire company, so I assume she would have been
20	included on that.
21	Q. Okay. And you're basing that
22	testimony on the fact that you saw an e-mail,
23	correct?
24	A. An e-mail did go out that included
25	that, but it's meant to go to every single

1	person in the company.
2	Q. Okay. But you don't know as you sit
3	here today whether or not she ever received
4	that social media guideline document, correct?
5	A. I didn't have access to every single
6	person whose name would have been included on
7	that mass e-mail list.
8	Q. And you certainly don't know whether
9	or not she ever read those social media
LO	guidelines, correct?
L1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L2	A. I have no way of knowing if she
L3	absolutely read them, but she, when she
L4	answered one of our earlier e-mails, she spoke
L5	as if she was familiar with them.
L6	Q. Okay. You never had any
L7	conversation with her about the guidelines,
L8	correct?
L 9	A. Not specifically.
20	Q. And you're not aware of anyone at
21	Newsweek ever having any conversation with her
22	about the guidelines, correct?
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
24	A. I can't speak to what other people
25	might have spoken to her about.

1	Q. Okay. And you're not aware of
2	Newsweek ever enforcing any part of the
3	guidelines against Ms. Hignett, correct?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. I can't speak to what other people
6	might have had conversations with her about.
7	Q. In fact, Newsweek has never enforced
8	these guidelines against any of its writers,
9	has it?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
11	A. I'm not sure I understand what you
12	mean by "enforced."
13	Q. Has Newsweek ever penalized any of
14	its staff members for violating your social
15	media guidelines?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. I'm not any of the writers'
18	reporting editor, so I wouldn't be involved
19	with that if there were.
20	Q. So as you sit here today, you're not
21	aware of Newsweek ever enforcing these social
22	media guidelines in any way upon any of its
23	team members, correct?
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection. And this is
25	outside of the scope of the contents in your
	l l

1	request.
2	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
3	Q. Go ahead.
4	A. I don't work I'm not any of the
5	writers' direct report, so I wouldn't have been
6	involved with enforcing rules upon them. So I
7	can't speak to what another editor would have
8	done to penalize someone or otherwise. I can't
9	speak to that.
LO	Q. Understood. So as far as you know,
L1	they may or they may not have been enforced at
L2	some point?
L3	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L4	A. It's outside my purview, so I
L5	couldn't speak to it.
L6	Q. Okay. So you have no knowledge in
L7	that regard, correct?
L8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
L9	A. Correct.
20	Q. Okay. Fantastic. Thank you. Do
21	you know if anyone from Newsweek has spoken
22	with Ms. Hignett over the last year or two?
23	A. I wouldn't know.
24	Q. Okay. And you didn't ask anyone at
25	Newsweek whether or not they communicated with

1	Ms. Hignett relating to this article of
2	dispute, correct?
3	A. I believe there were communications
4	with her regarding this case.
5	Q. And why do you believe that?
6	A. Because I've seen the e-mails, which
7	you have access to as well.
8	Q. Okay. Aside from those e-mails,
9	were there any other conversations or
10	communications that you're aware of?
11	A. I don't know. I'm not familiar with
12	any other conversations that may have happened,
13	but they wouldn't have come from me so I
14	wouldn't be able to speak to that.
15	Q. Okay. So the only contact between
16	Ms. Hignett and Newsweek that you're aware of
17	over the past couple of years are the e-mails
18	that you've produced, correct?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. That I'm personally aware of, yes.
21	Q. Okay. I'm going to put another
22	exhibit in front of you. We're going to mark
23	it as Exhibit 5. It's Newsweek 5.
24	(Exhibit 5 marked for
25	identification.)

1	
1	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
2	Q. Have you seen this image before?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Were you responsible for
5	providing this image to your attorney?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. From where did you obtain
8	this image?
9	A. The website.
10	Q. Okay. Was this Elliot McGucken
11	photograph live at this URL when you went to
12	this website?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And do you recall when you
15	went to this website to make this screencap?
16	A. It would have likely been the same
17	day I became aware of the case.
18	Q. So that would have been back in the
19	summer to fall of 2019; is that correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And is this I know it's
22	small, but is this your personal avatar in the
23	upper right-hand corner there?
24	A. I can't see it. I'm not sure where
25	you're looking.

1	MR. BURROUGHS: Thank you, Ms.
2	Zaharia.
3	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
4	Q. Is that you?
5	A. That's me.
6	Q. Okay. And we can back out.
7	So Newsweek does not dispute that it
8	published McGucken's photograph at this URL,
9	correct?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
11	A. Yes. This is what I saw when I went
12	to this URL.
13	Q. Okay. And it was this McGucken
14	photograph that's in front of you in Exhibit 5,
15	correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Okay. And when you made this
18	screencap, did you first send it to Yuliya?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And it was at that time that
21	you had the back and forth regarding the
22	creation of this particular article, correct?
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
24	A. It's when we started corresponding
25	about it.

1	Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 6,
2	which is going to be Newsweek 23 through 27.
3	(Exhibit 6 marked for
4	identification.)
5	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
6	Q. Take a moment. Ms. Zaharia will
7	slowly scroll through these. I want to make
8	sure that you have a chance to read them.
9	(Pause in the Proceedings.)
10	Q. Okay. Have you had the opportunity
11	to review the e-mail?
12	A. To the best of my ability, yes.
13	Q. Okay. Do you recognize those as
14	e-mails to which you were a party?
15	A. I was not cc'd on all of these
16	e-mails, but I have seen them in the documents
17	that have been provided.
18	Q. The ones for which you're not cc'd,
19	do you know where those came from?
20	A. It would be like the one you're
21	looking at right here between Yuliya and the
22	writer directly.
23	Q. Okay. Aside from these e-mails, you
24	haven't had any e-mail contact with
25	Ms. Hignett, correct?

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Okay. And does the is it your
3	understanding that staff writers for Newsweek
4	could reach out to photographers and other
5	content creators using their personal Instagram
6	account in connection with official Newsweek
7	business?
8	A. Yes, that's something they can do.
9	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek have its own
10	account on Instagram?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Does the Newsweek team ever
13	use that team to reach out to content creators?
14	A. I don't manage the account, so I'm
15	not sure if that's something that they do.
16	Q. Okay. Do you know who manages the
17	account?
18	A. At the time that this happened,
19	would have been Adam's one of Adam Silvers'
20	subordinates.
21	Q. Do you know who that would have
22	been?
23	A. I don't know specifically. He
24	manages he had a team.
25	Q. Who was on that team that you

1	recall?
2	A. I don't recall. Like I said, we've
3	got people in multiple offices so I don't
4	always know. But Adam Silvers would have been
5	the lead.
6	Q. And does this e-mail thread confirm
7	that Ms. Hignett did not receive a response
8	from Mr. McGucken providing any sort of consent
9	for use of his work?
10	A. I mean, that's subject to
11	interpretation, but based on what I interpret
12	from her e-mail, she said she did not receive a
13	response. And if you looked at his Instagram
14	page where her question was, there was no
15	response.
16	Q. Okay. So is there any way to
17	interpret that other than Mr. McGucken did not
18	respond to this request for consent?
19	A. That I'm aware of.
20	Q. Okay. And, in fact, to the extent
21	that Newsweek did use his work, it was done
22	without him granting consent?
23	A. As we've stated earlier, no
24	permission is required for embedding an image.
25	We only asked for permission to have the image

1	hosted in our CMS and we did not do that.
2	Q. Okay. So when you say that We asked
3	for permission to have it hosted in our CMS,
4	where is that in Ms. Hignett's request?
5	A. If you go up to where it's in the
6	e-mail here. I don't know if that's the exact
7	wording she used.
8	Q. We can scroll up and she quotes her
9	message. Give us a moment. I just want you to
10	point out to me where it mentions CMS or
11	anything to that effect.
12	A. I mean, I'm using different jargon,
13	but that's what the request stated. That's how
14	I interpreted it.
15	Q. Understood. So what you're telling
16	me is your interpretation of her message to a
17	third-party, correct?
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
19	A. Yes. I believe she said "upload"
20	was the word.
21	Q. Okay. So is it your understanding
22	that, in fact, Ms. Hignett asked for consent to
23	upload the photograph on the Newsweek website?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And also based on your review of

1	Newsweek's records, there was never any consent			
2	or there was never any advisement to Mr.			
3	McGucken that the embed process or CMS process			
4	was going to be used?			
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
6	A. I mean, you can see what her			
7	question is. That's what was requested.			
8	Q. Okay. Did Newsweek separately			
9	contact Mr. McGucken to either: A) request			
10	some other type of consent; or B) advise him			
11	that they're going to be displaying his			
12	photography on their website?			
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
14	A. Not that I'm aware. You see the			
15	question that she's presented to him.			
16	Q. Is it Newsweek's practice to advise			
17	artists and photographers that Newsweek plans			
18	to display their photography on their website			
19	before doing so?			
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
21	A. There's different forms of display.			
22	Q. Okay. So is that the practice?			
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
24	A. We always request permission to			
25	upload an image to our website. We do not			

1	always request permission to embed a link to			
2	somebody else's Web page.			
3	Q. Okay. Do you ever advise the artist			
4	that you're going to be displaying their work			
5	on your site before you do so?			
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
7	A. As I've stated earlier, we request			
8	permission to upload images to our website. We			
9	do not always request permission to include an			
LO	embedded link.			
L1	Q. Do you want me to ask the question			
L2	again?			
L3	A. I feel like I've answered it.			
L4	Q. Well, maybe we're getting caught up			
L5	on the language. We talked a little bit about			
L6	consent and you conceded, look, we did not have			
L7	any consent from him. Fine. Now I'm asking			
L8	about notice.			
L9	So is it Newsweek's practice to			
20	provide notice to these artists? Not seek			
21	consent, but to give them notice that Newsweek			
22	plans to exploit their photography on their			
23	website?			
24	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
25	A. We request permission to use images.			

We wouldn't request permission and say, Hey, 1 2. we're also going to -- I think we're talking 3 about two different things, but we don't use 4 images without permission when it's necessary. And now I'm asking about 5 0. Sure. So my question is: 6 notice. Okay? Is it Newsweek's practice to provide notice to tell the artist that Newsweek intends to display the 8 9 artist's photography on its website before 10 doing so? 11 MS. WOLFF: Objection. 12 Α. As I've stated, we do not need to 13 give notice for an embed, so we wouldn't 14 provide notice for an embed. 15 Ο. Okay. Fair enough. So it's News --16 Α. And we do not use an image uploaded 17 to our CMS without permission. So no notice would be made because we wouldn't do it without 18 permission. 19 20 Ο. Okay. So is it fair to say or is it 2.1 accurate to say that it's Newsweek's business 22 practice, when using an embed, to exploit 2.3 photography without the photographer's consent 24 or without giving notice to the photographer? 25 MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. We follow Instagram's guidelines,			
2	which don't exploit. If you			
3	Q. I understand			
4	A. Sorry. Go ahead.			
5	Q. I understand the statement that			
6	you're giving, but it's just simply not			
7	responsive to the question. So let me ask the			
8	question one more time, and please listen to			
9	the question and try to answer the question.			
10	Okay?			
11	Is it accurate to state that it's			
12	Newsweek's business practice to display on its			
13	website photography via embeds without the			
14	consent of the photographer and without giving			
15	prior notice to the photographer?			
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
17	A. Correct.			
18	Q. Thank you. Now, when looking at the			
19	article at issue, did you see advertisements			
20	surrounding Mr. McGucken's photograph?			
21	A. I gave a full screenshot to what was			
22	visible to me and I showed the whole page.			
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall seeing			
24	advertisements?			
25	A. I don't recall.			

1	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek run			
2	advertisements on its website?			
3	A. Yes.			
4	Q. Is Newsweek paid for those			
5	advertisements?			
6	A. I'm not involved with the			
7	advertising side of the business, but I would			
8	assume that they are paid.			
9	Q. Okay. And per your guidelines, does			
LO	the fact whether or not there are			
L1	advertisements along with the photography			
L2	that's being used from a third-party site via			
L3	embed, does that impact your decision whether			
L4	or not to either get the consent of the			
L5	photographer or give notice to the			
L6	photographer?			
L7	A. The journalistic organization ads			
L8	don't impact our stories in the way we write			
L9	them.			
20	Q. So I, and correct me if I'm wrong, I			
21	don't want to put words in your mouth, so			
22	you're telling me it doesn't matter whether or			
23	not there's advertising alongside their work or			
24	not, correct?			
25	A. Editorial content is editorial			

content.		
Q. Okay. So as far as the content of		
the piece goes, it's immaterial to you whether		
or not there is advertising or not on the page		
with the content, correct?		
A. Correct.		
Q. All right. We're going to look at		
Exhibit 7, which is Newsweek 3.		
(Exhibit 7 marked for		
identification.)		
BY MR. BURROUGHS:		
Q. Just tell me if you recognize these		
e-mails?		
A. This is familiar, yes.		
Q. And you see the date there,		
January 24, 2021. Do you see that?		
A. Yes.		
Q. And below that January 21, 2020. Do		
you see that?		
A. Yes.		
Q. Okay. And it indicates that on		
January 21, 2020, Ms. Rice is working on		
removing the, quote/unquote, image. Do you		
know what image she's referring to there?		
A. It would have been referring to the		

₁	embed.			
1				
2	Q. Would that be the embed of			
3	Mr. McGucken's photograph?			
4	A. Most likely, yes.			
5	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that			
6	Newsweek removed Mr. McGucken's photograph from			
7	Newsweek's website no earlier than January 21,			
8	2020?			
9	A. Yes.			
10	MR. BURROUGHS: All right. Ms.			
11	Zaharia, what exhibit was this? Okay. So mark			
12	this as Exhibit 7?			
13	BY MR. BURROUGHS:			
14	Q. And I'm next going to direct your			
15	attention to Exhibit 8, which is going to be			
16	Newsweek 23 to 27.			
17	Oh, I apologize. We've actually			
18	already marked this as Exhibit 26 I'm sorry,			
19	it's Exhibit 6, I believe. Is that correct,			
20	Ms. Zaharia? Okay.			
21	So there is an indication we have			
22	to scroll through it; this is one of the			
23	difficulties of Zoom depositions that this			
24	article may have been pitched by a Newsweek			
25	editor. Do you see that in the e-mail?			

1	(Pause in the proceedings.)	
2	Q. Do you see that language?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. Do you know whether or not it was	
5	pitched by an editor, this particular article?	
6	A. I can't I don't know.	
7	Q. Okay. Do you know who her editor	
8	was at the time?	
9	A. James would probably know; I don't.	
10	Q. Now, we're going to direct your	
11	attention to the real Exhibit 8, which is	
12	Newsweek 28.	
13	(Exhibit 8 marked for	
14	identification.)	
15	BY MR. BURROUGHS:	
16	Q. Do you recognize this screen?	
17	A. I've seen it.	
18	Q. When did you first see this screen?	
19	A. Maybe a couple of weeks ago.	
20	Q. Okay. In what context did you see	
21	this screen?	
22	A. Yuliya provided it to me.	
23	Q. Okay. So is it your understanding	
24	that this is the message sent by Newsweek to	
25	Mr. McGucken requesting consent?	

1	A. To my knowledge, yes.			
2	Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, this			
3	is the only message that was sent by Newsweek			
4	to Mr. McGucken, correct?			
5	A. That I'm aware of.			
6	Q. Okay. Okay. So looking back at			
7	Exhibit 4 real briefly, that's, I believe, the			
8	screenshot of the McGucken publication at issue			
9	or article at issue.			
10	(Pause in the Proceedings.)			
11	Q. Okay. So have you reviewed the			
12	entire article, the text, and everything else			
13	for this particular item?			
14	A. I've read the story.			
15	Q. You read it. Okay. Now, in your			
16	words, what is the story about?			
17	A. The story is about this specific			
18	lake that was created and it also references			
19	the photographer's experience on it.			
20	Q. Okay. Is the story about the			
21	photograph?			
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
23	A. The story is about the formation of			
24	this lake.			
25	Q. Okay. So it's fair to say that the			

1	story is not about the photograph, correct?			
2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
3	A. The image illustrates the lake that			
4	the story is about.			
5	Q. Okay. So is it accurate to say that			
6	the story is not itself about the photograph?			
7	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
8	A. I don't know the thought process in			
9	the creation of this story, so I don't know			
10	what started the piece. But the story is about			
11	this specific lake and I think at least two			
12	paragraphs worth of the story is about the			
13	photographer's experience of this lake.			
14	Q. Okay. Does the story comment at all			
15	on the photograph?			
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
17	A. I believe it does or at least			
18	photographs in plural.			
19	Q. How does it do that?			
20	A. I mean, you'd need to go to the			
21	story. I could point to it specifically where			
22	it says that.			
23	Q. Okay. Did Newsweek add anything to			
24	the photograph?			
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			

1	BY MR. BURROUGHS:			
2	Q. Before publishing it here?			
3	A. We didn't manipulate the photograph.			
4	Q. Okay. Do you know how long it took			
5	Ms. Hignett to write this particular item?			
6	A. I'm not aware of the length of time			
7	it took her to produce this story.			
8	Q. Okay. And you're not aware of any			
9	of the other specifics relating to the actual			
10	creation of this article, correct?			
11	A. I'm not.			
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
13	BY MR. BURROUGHS:			
14	Q. Okay. Now, you said earlier that			
15	you felt that you could use any photographer's			
16	work without their consent so long as it was			
17	newsworthy?			
18	MS. WOLFF: Objection.			
19	BY MR. BURROUGHS:			
20	Q. In what circumstances would you			
21	decide, as the photo editor for the magazine or			
22	for the website, that something is newsworthy?			
23	A. I mean, it's an event that happens.			
24	In relation to this specific, it's a weather			
25	event. I would consider a weather event			

1	newsworthy.		
2	Q.	Okay. So in your estimation,	
3	weather is	newsworthy?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Okay. And why is that?	
6		MS. WOLFF: Objection.	
7	A.	Because	
8		MS. WOLFF: You can answer.	
9	A.	I mean, if it affects people.	
10	Q.	Okay. So it sounds to me like	
11	you're saying anytime a story affects people,		
12	it's newsworthy enough to use an artist's work		
13	without consent. Is that accurate?		
14		MS. WOLFF: Objection.	
15	A.	I think you're putting words in my	
16	mouth.		
17	Q.	Oh, please can you explain to me a	
18	little bit	more about why you think that this	
19	particular	photograph is newsworthy?	
20	A.	It's an unusual occurrence.	
21	Q.	Okay. Anything else? From where	
22	did you lea	arn your definition of the phrase	
23	"newsworthy	" as you're using it today?	
24		MS. WOLFF: Objection.	
25	A.	I couldn't say exactly. I've been	

in the industry a long time.
in the inabery a rong time.
Q. Okay. Let's say hypothetically
that, you know, I was in the news because I won
a Grammy award. In such a circumstance, do you
feel that using a photograph of me would be
newsworthy?
MS. WOLFF: Objection.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that
you're using or Newsweek is let me withdraw
the question.
Is Newsweek using McGucken's
photograph to illustrate this story about the
weather in Death Valley?
MS. WOLFF: Objection.
A. I mean, it's included as part of the
story to illustrate what was seen.
Q. Okay. For what other purposes is it
being included, if any?
A. It's informative.
Q. Okay. In what sense?
A. It shows the lake that was described
in the story.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the
sole reason to use McGucken's photograph is to

1	illustrate what's being talked about in the
2	story?
3	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
4	A. I can't speak to the writer's
5	thought process, but I believe she used it
6	because it related to the piece.
7	Q. Okay. Any other reason?
8	A. No, not that I can think of.
9	Q. Okay. Now, assuming that you didn't
LO	embed this photograph here and we're looking
L1	for a picture of Death Valley to illustrate the
L2	piece, would you obtain one from Getty under
L3	your paid subscription?
L4	A. That would be the proper means, the
L5	first means that we would have used.
L6	Q. Okay. And if you were obtaining it
L7	from a third-party photographer, would you make
L8	some sort of license payment to them?
L 9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. Unlikely. We would have asked
21	permission. If they said no, we just would
22	have moved on.
23	Q. Okay. So in certain circumstances
24	when the artist tells you no or doesn't give
25	you consent, you'll move on and not use the

1	work?
2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
3	A. That was in reference to uploading
4	an image to our CMS.
5	Q. Okay. Well, let's say that you
6	weren't able to copy Mr. McGucken's work and
7	had to reach out to another source, another
8	photographer
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
10	Q for a photograph to illustrate
11	this story, would you typically pay for such a
12	license?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. No.
15	Q. Okay. Has Newsweek ever, other than
16	the one instance you told me earlier, ever paid
17	a third-party photographer for the use of their
18	work during your tenure?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And other than the one you've
22	already told me about, when is the last time
23	that happened?
24	A. It doesn't happen very frequently.
25	Q. Okay. But in certain circumstances,

1	if Newsweek wants to publish a photograph to
2	illustrate one of its stories, it will pay the
3	creator and/or owner a license fee to use it,
4	correct?
5	A. Only under very specific
6	circumstances. It's not something we typically
7	do. If we can't get an image from a
8	photographer, we just won't use it or possibly
9	not publish the story.
10	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that
11	Newsweek's business practice in terms of visual
12	assets and publishing photography is to rely on
13	its Getty subscription and rely on obtaining
14	free photographs from creators?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	A. That's our typically what we do.
17	Q. Okay. Now, does Newsweek claim to
18	own the copyright for the articles posted on
19	its site?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. The words, yes.
22	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek claim to own
23	the copyright on the photographs it posts on
24	its site?
25	A. We license the photographs from

1	Getty in most cases, so they license it to us.
2	Q. Okay. In your experience over the
3	years as the photo director at Newsweek, has
4	Newsweek ever claimed to own the copyright for
5	the photographs on its website?
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	A. There's maybe a handful of places
8	where we might, but for the most part, we
9	consider things one-time use specific to the
10	story it was originally created for.
11	Q. So if you were to learn that
12	Newsweek was claiming to own copyright in the
13	photographs on its website, that would be a
14	surprise to you and it would be a false
15	statement to copyright ownership, correct?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. I'm not aware of such a statement.
18	Q. Okay. We're going to put in front
19	of you Exhibit 9, which there's no Bates stamp,
20	but I believe it's the Newsweek's terms and
21	conditions.
22	(Exhibit 9 marked for
23	identification.)
24	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
25	Q. Have you ever reviewed these before?

1	A. I don't believe so.
2	Q. Okay. We can pull that exhibit
3	down.
4	So as you sit here today, and maybe
5	this makes sense given that you're not involved
6	with the website to a great degree, you've
7	never reviewed the website's terms and
8	conditions, correct?
9	A. Not the ones that you presented to
10	me.
11	Q. Okay. Do you recall reviewing other
12	terms and conditions?
13	A. I do not recall.
14	Q. Okay. Okay. Let's go off the
15	record for five minutes. We're probably just
16	about done.
17	(At this point in the proceeding, a
18	brief recess is taken.)
19	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
20	Q. Let's go back on the record. You
21	understand you're still under oath?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. So looking again at
24	Exhibit 4, the McGucken article at issue as
25	posted on Newsweek.com.

1	Okay. When Newsweek distributed this
2	article to its viewers, did it distribute the
3	entirety of the McGucken photograph?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. I'm not sure what you mean. Like if
6	it was visible on Facebook, the only thing you
7	would see would actually be the Getty image and
8	then you wouldn't see the full story until you
9	click on it.
10	Q. Okay. So when Newsweek distributed
11	this particular article, the one that
12	incorporates the McGucken photograph to
13	viewers, the viewers would see the entirety of
14	the McGucken photograph. Is that accurate?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	A. If they clicked on the story, they
17	would see it.
18	Q. Okay. And the version they would
19	see, would that be modified or altered at all?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	A. Not that I'm aware of.
22	Q. Okay. Would it appear to the viewer
23	of the Newsweek site exactly as it appears on
24	Mr. McGucken's Instagram?
25	A. It would.

	,
1	Q. Okay. And looking at the
2	photograph, you know, as someone with
3	experience in the industry, does this strike
4	you as a creative photograph?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. It's subjective. It could be
7	creative or it could be editorial depending on
8	its use.
9	Q. Okay. Based on your experience
10	looking at the work in front of you, would you
11	consider this to be a creative photograph?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. I'd say it could be either.
14	Q. Would you lean one way or the other?
15	A. I'd say it's editorial. It's
16	showing a moment in time.
17	Q. Okay. Do you believe that the
18	photograph reflects any artistic choices?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. It does look like there's a bit of a
21	filter on it.
22	Q. Anything else?
23	A. I mean, when anyone takes an image,
24	they are deciding on composition.
25	Q. Okay. Anything else?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Okay. And how do you define
3	editorial?
4	A. It's a news event.
5	Q. Would you agree that this photograph
6	is entirely different in terms of creativity
7	from a photograph, let's say, shot by a
8	paparazzi of a sports player?
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
10	A. I mean, that's apples and oranges.
11	They're different.
12	Q. And why are they different?
13	A. One's a sports fall under a
14	different category typically for photography.
15	Q. And can you explain a little bit
16	more to me why is that different?
17	A. It's a different type of
18	photographer, typically.
19	Q. Okay. Anything else?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Okay. Would you say based on your
22	experience that the photograph you see in front
23	of you by Mr. McGucken is more creative than a
24	paparazzi photograph of a professional athlete?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	
1	A. They're different. It's apples and
2	oranges. One is a sporting event.
3	Q. Is one more creative than the other
4	in your mind?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. It's very subjective. I think a
7	sporting event can be very creative.
8	Q. So is it Newsweek's position that
9	paparazzi photographs of athletes are more
10	creative than the type of photograph we see in
11	front of us here in the exhibit?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. When you say "paparazzi," you're
14	thinking about a street photographer taking
15	pictures of a random individual versus an
16	actual event where someone is playing a sport.
17	I think you need to clarify what you mean. I'm
18	not really sure what you're getting at.
19	Q. I think you have the distinction
20	you seem to have stated it perfectly. A
21	photograph of an athlete walking down the
22	street, let's say. Will that type of
23	photograph be more creative than the photograph
24	in front of you?
25	A. It depends on the photographer, I
J	

1	suppose.
2	Q. Okay. Do you know why Newsweek
3	chose to publish Mr. McGucken's photograph as
4	part of this article as opposed to some other
5	photograph of Death Valley?
6	A. I believe because they wanted to
7	write about this specific photographer's
8	experience in seeing this lake.
9	Q. And what's that knowledge based
10	upon?
11	A. I mean, you asked me to assume what
12	was thought. That's all I can tell you.
13	Q. Okay. So your testimony about why
14	they chose this photograph is a guess or
15	speculation, correct?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. I wasn't involved with the creation
18	of the story.
19	Q. And as you sit here today, you have
20	no understanding or no knowledge as to why this
21	particular photograph was chosen to be
22	published as part of this story, correct?
23	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
24	A. I believe it was chosen because they
25	wanted to write about this specific instance,

1	this specific photographer's experience.
2	Q. But that belief is based on your
3	speculation, correct?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. There's also a reference to the
6	story, I believe, in one of the e-mails.
7	Q. Okay. What reference are you
8	referring to?
9	A. There's a reference to this
10	photographer experiencing this lake. There's a
11	story that was referenced in there.
12	Q. Okay. Is there any other basis for
13	your testimony?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Okay. Let's look at well, strike
16	that.
17	Earlier you testified, and I don't
18	want to put words in your mouth, but I believe
19	you testified that Newsweek has never violated
20	any of Instagram's terms. Do you stand by that
21	testimony?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. To my knowledge.
24	Q. Okay. I'm going to put a document
25	in front of you, Exhibit 10. It's Newsweek

1	157, I believe.
2	(Exhibit 10 marked for
3	identification.)
4	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
5	Q. And Ms. Zaharia will scroll through
6	it, but can you tell me if you've ever seen
7	this before?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. When was the first you recall
10	reading this particular document?
11	A. I would say about a week ago.
12	Q. Okay. And when you read it, were
13	you at home or were you somewhere else?
14	A. I was at home.
15	Q. Okay. And do you have any
16	understanding of these terms other than what
17	you gleaned from your review that took place
18	recently?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. Can you rephrase the question?
21	Q. Sure. So you testified that you
22	reviewed these terms recently, correct?
23	A. Uh-huh.
24	Q. Had you reviewed them in the past or
25	conferred with anyone regarding their contents?

1	A. This specific document?
2	Q. Correct.
3	A. I haven't since I read this one last
4	week.
5	Q. What about before that?
6	A. As I stated, this particular
7	document I saw about a week ago. I've seen
8	other terms of service for Instagram.
9	Q. Okay. All right. Let's take a look
10	at paragraph A-11. Okay. Well, let me ask
11	you, what's your understanding of this these
12	terms or this document?
13	A. It's my understanding that you can
14	embed images and the only time you need
15	permission is if it's commercial use.
16	Q. Okay. Do you understand that these
17	are the terms that one has to comply with if
18	they want to embed content from Instagram?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. So take a look at paragraph
21	11. I'll read it to you.
22	It says, "Comply with any requirements
23	or restrictions imposed on usage of Instagram
24	user photos and videos ("User Content") by
25	their respective owners. You are solely

1	responsible for making use of User Content in
2	compliance with owners' requirements or
3	restrictions?"
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. In connection with Newsweek's
7	use of McGucken's photography, did Newsweek
8	comply with paragraph 11 of this policy?
9	A. As far as I'm aware, there were no
10	terms of compliance written on his site, so I'm
11	not sure what that would be referencing to.
12	Q. Did Newsweek comply with paragraph
13	11 when publishing McGucken's content?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. As far as I'm aware.
16	Q. Okay. What steps did Newsweek take
17	to ensure that they complied with paragraph 11?
18	A. I'm not sure what steps could be
19	taken.
20	Q. Okay. Well, per paragraph 11, were
21	you aware that Newsweek was, quote, solely
22	responsible for making use of user content in
23	compliance with owners' requirements or
24	restrictions?
25	A. Yes, but I'm not sure what

1	restrictions it's a pretty vague statement.
2	Q. What's your understanding of it?
3	A. I mean, if the owner has
4	requirements that they state to us, we follow
5	them.
6	Q. So it's Newsweek's position that all
7	paragraph 11 requires is that for Newsweek to
8	comply with restrictions that are affirmatively
9	provided to Newsweek?
10	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
11	A. I'm not sure where else that
12	information would come from.
13	Q. So is that Newsweek's position?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. You asked my interpretation of it.
16	I'm trying to provide my interpretation of it.
17	Q. Remember, as discussed at the
18	outset, you are testifying on behalf of
19	Newsweek.
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
22	Q. So I'm looking for how Newsweek
23	interprets this. I think you're telling me,
24	and I don't want to put words in your mouth,
25	you're telling me that Newsweek doesn't have to

1	comply with paragraph 11 unless the artist
2	somehow reached out to them with restrictions.
3	Is that what you're saying?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection. The document
5	speaks for itself.
6	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
7	Q. Is that what you're saying?
8	A. I think you're putting words in my
9	mouth. I don't really have a response to this.
10	Q. Okay. What steps did Newsweek do to
11	comply with McGucken's requirements and
12	restrictions in connection with the use of the
13	McGucken photograph at issue?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. Unless there's something written in
16	his site about his requirements and rules on
17	the Instagram page, I'm not I don't know
18	where there was no information provided by
19	the photographer there.
20	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that
21	it's Newsweek's position that unless the
22	Instagram account has some sort of restrictions
23	written on the account, Newsweek does not need
24	to take any further steps to ensure they are,
25	quote, in compliance with the owners'

1	requirements or restrictions, unquote?
2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. BURROUGHS: Did you get the
5	answer, Madam Court Reporter?
6	THE REPORTER: Yes.
7	MR. BURROUGHS: Correct. Okay.
8	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
9	Q. And as you sit here today, you don't
10	recall, other than the message sent by Ms.
11	Hignett, Newsweek doing anything to ensure it
12	was in compliance with McGucken's requirements
13	or restrictions relating to the photograph at
14	issue, correct?
15	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Okay. Now let's take a look at
18	paragraph 13 and I'll read it.
19	It says you must, "Obtain a person's
20	consent before including their User Content in
21	any ad."
22	Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. What do you take that to mean?
25	A. That means you need permission for

1	an ad, but it also implies that since it says
2	you need permission for an ad, it would state
3	that you would need it for editorial, if it was
4	required for editorial.
5	Q. Okay. Do you recall advertising
6	being incorporated with the subject photograph
7	on the Newsweek page at issue?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. There may have been ads on the page,
10	but that doesn't mean a visual was incorporated
11	in the ad.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. It specifically says in an ad.
14	Q. Okay. Let's take a look at
15	paragraph 16. Well, let me ask you one more
16	time. On 13, do you believe Newsweek complied
17	with that paragraph
18	A. Yes.
19	Q in using Mr. McGucken's work?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. 16 says, "Don't use the
22	Instagram Platform to simply display User
23	Content, import or backup content, or manage
24	Instagram relationships, without our prior
25	permission."

1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Did Newsweek use the Instagram
4	platform simply to display Mr. McGucken's
5	content on its website?
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	A. No. It used it to illustrate the
8	concept in the story. It was not random.
9	Q. Okay. Do you believe that the
10	McGucken photograph was displayed on the
11	Newsweek website?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. It was visible on the website by an
14	Instagram link.
15	Q. Okay. And I believe your definition
16	of "display" is to make something visible,
17	correct?
18	A. That's a broad definition of it,
19	yes.
20	Q. Okay. Was that your definition?
21	A. I would say that's accurate.
22	Q. Okay. Did you obtain Instagram's
23	prior permission before displaying McGucken's
24	content on Newsweek?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. I don't see where that's required.
2	Q. Okay. So your read of paragraph 16
3	is that no such permission is required,
4	correct?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
7	Q. Take a look well, is that
8	correct?
9	A. It says that without permission if
10	you wanted to simply display random content.
11	We didn't display random content.
12	Q. I don't believe it says random
13	content. I believe it says user content.
14	Isn't that correct?
15	A. That is correct.
16	Q. And isn't your understanding that
17	Mr. McGucken's photograph as published on your
18	website is user content?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. It would simply be defined as user
21	content.
22	Q. I'm sorry, I wasn't able to hear
23	that. Can you repeat the answer?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. So we're in agreement that

1	Mr. McGucken's photograph, as published on your
2	website, fits within the user content
3	definition in paragraph 16, correct?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. I don't know if there's an earlier
6	definition for user content in the site, but
7	images posted to Instagram, I believe, this is
8	referring to as user content.
9	Q. Okay. And we're in agreement that
10	Newsweek displayed that user content in the
11	form of the McGucken photograph, correct?
12	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
13	A. I believe I've answered this
14	question multiple times.
15	Q. Okay. So, correct?
16	A. The image was visible on our
17	website, yes, via an Instagram link.
18	Q. Okay. And before displaying Mr.
19	McGucken's user content on Newsweek.com, you
20	did not obtain the prior permission of
21	Instagram, correct?
22	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
23	A. I'm not sure how that's relevant.
24	Q. Did you obtain the permission or
25	not?

1	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
2	A. We did not communicate with
3	Instagram regarding this image.
4	Q. Okay. Have you ever had has
5	withdraw the question.
6	Has Newsweek had any communications
7	with Instagram or Facebook relating to this
8	image?
9	A. Not to my knowledge.
10	Q. Has Newsweek had any communications
11	with Instagram or Facebook relating to the
12	publication of user content from those
13	platforms?
14	A. Not that I'm aware of it.
15	Q. Okay. So it sounds like we're in
16	agreement that there was no outreach by
17	Newsweek to Instagram in connection with the
18	McGucken photograph that would in any way
19	relate to paragraph 16, correct?
20	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
21	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
22	Q. Is that correct?
23	A. I'm sorry, I didn't realize that was
24	a question.
25	Q. Looking at paragraph 16, it's

1	accurate to say that Newsweek at no point has
2	reached out to Instagram or Facebook to obtain
3	any permission to publish or display content
4	from those platforms?
5	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
6	A. We did not communicate with
7	Instagram regarding this particular case.
8	Q. And Facebook
9	A. That I'm aware of. That I'm aware
10	of.
11	Q. Understood. So Newsweek is not
12	aware of any such communications with
13	Instagram. How about Facebook?
14	A. Not that I'm aware of.
15	Q. Okay. Let's look at paragraph 35.
16	Okay. I'm going to read you this section.
17	It says, quote: Comply with all
18	applicable laws or regulations. Don't provide
19	or promote content that violates any rights of
20	any person, including but not limited to
21	intellectual property rights, rights of
22	privacy, or rights of personality. Don't
23	expose Instagram or people who use Instagram to
24	harm or legal liability.
25	Do you see that?
	i de la companya de

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Do you believe that Newsweek
3	is in compliance with that?
4	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Do you believe that Newsweek's
7	publication of McGucken's photograph violated
8	any intellectual property rights?
9	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
10	A. I believe that's what is trying to
11	be decided here. So if there's no outcome,
12	then I don't have a response.
13	Q. Okay. So you have no position as to
14	whether or not the use violated intellectual
15	property rights as we sit here today?
16	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
17	A. We're under the impression we
18	followed the terms of service, so no.
19	Q. Okay. Let's look down at D-8. I'll
20	read to you the relevant section.
21	It says, "Please note that User
22	Content is owned by users and not by
23	Instagram."
24	Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And "All rights not expressly
2	granted to you are reserved by Instagram."
3	Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. So do you believe after
6	reviewing that language that Instagram gave you
7	the right to display McGucken's photograph?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. It's industry-wide belief that, yes.
10	Q. Other than the, quote, industry-wide
11	belief, is there any other basis for that
12	answer?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. It's our interpretation that we did.
15	Q. Okay. Has anyone at Instagram or
16	Facebook ever communicated with Newsweek to
17	confirm that Newsweek can use any content on
18	Facebook or Instagram?
19	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
20	A. Not to my knowledge.
21	Q. Okay. Let's take a look at
22	paragraph 9.
23	It says, "You represent and warrant
24	that you own or have secured all rights
25	necessary to display, distribute and deliver

1	all content in your app or website."
2	Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What steps, if any, did Newsweek
5	take to secure the rights in the McGucken
6	photograph before using the content on its
7	website?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. It's my interpretation that's in
10	reference to what you're putting on your own
11	site, as in your own images, that you're not
12	violating your own copyright.
13	Q. And what's that understanding based
14	on?
15	A. How I interpret what I'm seeing
16	here.
17	Q. Okay. At the time you wrote your
18	social media guidelines for Newsweek, did you
19	consult these terms?
20	A. Not these specific terms, but I have
21	read other terms for Instagram.
22	Q. Okay. At the time you wrote the
23	social media guidelines, did you review any
24	Instagram terms?
25	A. I likely did.

1	
1	Q. Do you recall doing so?
2	A. I don't recall offhand.
3	Q. Do you recall why you chose not to
4	indicate in your social media guidelines any of
5	the requirements of Instagram?
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	A. Because we only felt that writing
8	about the embeds were sorry, the Instagram
9	uploads to our CMS system was what needed to be
10	covered.
11	Q. Okay. Take a look at paragraph
12	11 I'm sorry, 13.
13	I'll read you the relevant language
14	that "You are responsible for obtaining the
15	necessary rights from all applicable rights
16	holders to grant this license."
17	Do you see that?
18	A. I'm sorry, point at it, please.
19	Q. There it is.
20	A. Again, I believe that's in reference
21	to content that's being uploaded.
22	Q. Okay. Did Newsweek take any steps
23	to obtain the necessary rights from McGucken
24	per this paragraph?
25	MS. WOLFF: Objection.

1	A. This is in reference to content
2	that's being uploaded to Instagram, not
3	embedding from Instagram.
4	Q. Okay. So it's fair to say that
5	Newsweek didn't reach out to McGucken for any
6	reason in connection with this paragraph,
7	right?
8	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
9	A. I believe we covered that in our
10	correspondence.
11	Q. Okay. And Newsweek doesn't claim
12	that Instagram or Facebook gave them a
13	sublicense to use McGucken's work, correct?
14	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
15	A. I mean, that's interpretive
16	according to these terms of service. It's
17	believed that we had permission to do so via
18	Instagram.
19	Q. And are you basing that entirely on
20	these terms of service?
21	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
22	A. That and the industry, I believe,
23	and the interpretation of these terms of
24	service.
25	Q. Any other basis?

1	A. No.
2	Q. Okay. Did Instagram or Facebook
3	ever advise you specifically that you had the
4	right to publish Mr. McGucken's content on your
5	website?
6	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
7	A. As I've stated before, we have not
8	communicated directly with the platform.
9	Q. Okay. Has the platform communicated
10	with you in any way to indicate to you that
11	they grant to you a sublicense to use
12	McGucken's work on your website?
13	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
14	A. We haven't specifically spoken with
15	the platform.
16	Q. Okay. Give me one moment to go over
17	my notes here. I think we're just about done.
18	One more question. Does Newsweek keep
19	copies of its archived posts?
20	A. Define what you mean by posts.
21	Q. Articles.
22	A. I mean, stories stay on the website;
23	they don't come down.
24	Q. Okay. To the extent that
25	withdrawn.

1	Earlier you testified that you believe
2	that the McGucken article at issue is still
3	online but with a different photograph,
4	correct?
5	A. The story remained up, but the
6	Instagram embed was removed.
7	Q. Okay. And it's your understanding
8	that the embed was not replaced, right?
9	A. The embed was not replaced.
10	Q. Okay. Is does Newsweek maintain
11	a copy of that article with the McGucken
12	photograph in place?
13	A. No.
14	MR. BURROUGHS: Okay. All right, I
15	have no further questions. We can relieve the
16	court reporter of her duties at this time. We
17	can go off the record.
18	MS. WOLFF: Can we just have a
19	moment? Can we just have a moment to see if
20	there's anything we would like to ask?
21	MR. BURROUGHS: Oh, sure.
22	MS. WOLFF: Thank you. I just want
23	to go over my notes for a minute. Okay?
24	(Pause in the Proceedings.)
25	MS. WOLFF: Okay. Can you see the

```
We just have a follow-up question
 1
     screen?
 2
     about one document. And I think Sara is going
 3
     to do it because she's more proficient with
 4
     Zoom here.
                MS. GATES: Well, we'd like to share
 5
     an exhibit. Do you have a preference for
 6
     whether we would designate this as Exhibit 11
     or start a new naming convention?
 8
 9
                MR. BURROUGHS: A new Exhibit 11 is
10
     fine.
11
                MS. GATES:
                             Okay.
12
13
                          EXAMINATION
14
     BY MS. GATES:
15
                      So I'm sharing a document on
         Q.
                Okay.
     my screen here. This is Newsweek 81 through
16
17
     83.
                 (Exhibit 11 marked for
18
     identification.)
19
     BY MS. GATES:
20
2.1
         Ο.
                Does this document -- have you -- do
22
     you recognize this?
2.3
         Α.
                Yes.
24
         Q.
                What is this document?
25
         Α.
                This is the story that's been in
```

1	question regarding an ephemeral lake that
2	formed.
3	Q. And this is the full article?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Does this refresh your recollection
6	about what the article was about?
7	A. Yes. It's specific to this specific
8	photographer's capture of this lake.
9	Q. Where is that in the article if you
10	can point it out for me?
11	A. If you can scroll down. Right about
12	there. The top there. It starts there and
13	there's two paragraphs based on the
14	photographer's experience and quoting him as
15	well.
16	Q. And you're referring to Newsweek 82,
17	the Bates number?
18	A. I can't see the number, sorry oh,
19	yes, there it is. Yes. Correct.
20	Q. So the article talks about the
21	photographer Elliot McGucken's experience. Is
22	that correct?
23	A. Correct. And the capture of these
24	images and posting to Instagram. Hence, like
25	including the Instagram link was relevant.

1	Q. So does this document refresh your
2	recollection about why this specific photo on
3	Instagram was selected for the article?
4	MR. BURROUGHS: I just object that
5	you're leading your own witness.
6	BY MS. GATES:
7	Q. You can answer.
8	A. Yes, it most likely it was
9	started from the San Francisco Gate's piece.
LO	It was, probably, inspired the piece about that
L1	specific photographer's experience of this
L2	lake.
L3	Q. And when you refer to the San
L4	Francisco Gate piece, what do you mean?
L5	A. The quote with which the
L6	photographer is included in the story.
L7	Q. What is this image on Newsweek 83?
L8	A. It's an illustrative image that was
L9	included so that it can post to social media.
20	We can't use embedded images for social media
21	purposes. So this image was illustrative of
22	the area and you can see the date on it, it's
23	from a previous formation.
24	Q. So this image is from Getty?
25	A. Yes. Correct. Correct.

1	Q. And of the images in the article, do
2	you know which image would have been the I
3	believe the term was hero image or lead image?
4	A. Usually the first image that's
5	visible is considered the hero. That's a
6	video.
7	Q. So would the hero image in this
8	instance be of the Getty image?
9	A. No, the first image that's visual is
LO	the Instagram image.
L1	Q. Okay. Do you recall if this story
L2	was popular at the time?
L3	A. Not particularly. At least in terms
L4	of the amount of views that this story
L5	received. I believe there were other stories
L6	based off of this specific instance.
L7	Q. My apologies. I think my Zoom froze
L8	there a bit, so I may have missed the end of
L9	your answer?
20	A. The last bit I said was that there
21	were other stories, I believe, that other
22	organizations may have done on this specific
23	experience.
24	MS. GATES: Okay. I think that may
25	be it for our questions subject to potential

1	redirect if you have any further questions.
2	You're muted.
3	MR. BURROUGHS: Let's put Exhibit 11
4	back on the screen, please.
5	MS. GATES: Is that for me?
6	MR. BURROUGHS: Yes, Ms. Gates. Do
7	you mind putting the exhibit back on the
8	screen?
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. BURROUGHS:
12	Q. Okay. Ms. Rice, in response to your
13	attorney's questions, you provided some
14	testimony about the context or creation of this
15	article, correct?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. And that testimony was based
18	entirely on speculation and guesswork, correct?
19	A. I can't assume to know what the
20	photographer was thinking, but there are
21	indications that that may have been the case.
22	Q. Okay. So to the extent that you
23	testified regarding the substance of this
24	article, that was based on your own feelings,
25	your own assumptions, and your own take,

1	correct?
2	MS. WOLFF: Objection.
3	MS. GATES: Objection.
4	A. It's my interpretation.
5	Q. That's not based in any way on
6	records or conversations with the writer or
7	being there and involved in the article at the
8	time, correct?
9	A. Correct. James might have further
10	insight that he could provide.
11	Q. And looking at Exhibit 11, does it
12	refresh your recollection as to whether or not
13	Newsweek ran advertisements alongside
14	Mr. McGucken's photograph?
15	And if I can ask Ms. Gates to slowly
16	scroll through Exhibit 11. I'd like you to
17	count for me the number of advertisements that
18	you see on the piece. If you can go down a
19	little bit further. Okay.
20	Okay. So scroll back up to the
21	McGucken photograph? Do you see an
22	advertisement for what appears to be Frozen
23	directly adjacent to Mr. McGucken's photograph?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. Would that have been a

1	paid-for advertisement?
2	A. I don't know how our ads work, but I
3	would assume so. I should also add that
4	there's two versions this is what the
5	standard view would be.
6	Q. What would be the other version?
7	A. What I can see on my end. My
8	perception is not with ads since I'm an admin.
9	Q. I see. So when you see the post,
10	it's in admin mode. That's a copy of the site
11	without ads?
12	A. Correct. Because once before
13	when it's not live, there's no ads.
14	Q. And so the admin view does have a
15	copy of the McGucken photograph on it?
16	A. Not anymore it doesn't. It's been
17	removed.
18	Q. And you testified that there were
19	other stories about this particular instance
20	that did better. Do you recall that?
21	A. I don't know if they did better. I
22	just know there were other stories about this
23	on other websites.
24	Q. What other websites?
25	A. At least the one that's referenced

Г							
1	by the photographer, San Francisco Gate, I						
2	believe, or SFGATE.						
3	Q. Okay. Do you know if that						
4	particular publication obtained a license from						
5	Mr. McGucken to use the work?						
6	A. I wouldn't know what the process is.						
7	Q. Do you know if the writer of this						
8	Newsweek piece copied content from that article						
9	as well?						
10	A. I don't know how she generated the						
11	story, but she had her own sources.						
12	Q. Do you know if the Newsweek author						
13	that wrote this story copied McGucken's quotes						
14	from the SFGATE article?						
15	A. I believe so, but that's standard						
16	practice. It's not unusual as long as there's						
17	a source to where the quote came from.						
18	Q. Do you know if the Newsweek writer						
19	reached out to Mr. McGucken for any quote for						
20	this article?						
21	A. You're aware of the communications						
22	I'm aware of.						
23	Q. Okay. So as far as you know, she						
24	did not reach out to Mr. McGucken for quotes,						
25	correct?						

1	A. Had he responded, she probably would
2	have requested quotes.
3	Q. And why do you say that?
4	A. Because that's journalistic
5	practice, so I imagine she would have liked the
6	original quote.
7	Q. Again, like your testimony about the
8	context and creation of the article, you're
9	purely speculating, correct?
10	A. I'm speaking of a standard practice.
11	Q. Any other basis?
12	A. No.
13	Q. And you said that other stories
14	performed better than your Newsweek story. How
15	do you know that?
16	A. I don't know if other stories
17	performed better. I don't if I said that,
18	it's not what I was implying.
19	Q. Okay. Other than potentially that,
20	is there any other statements that you made
21	today that you feel are false or perjurious?
22	MS. GATES: Objection.
23	A. I didn't make any false statements.
24	Q. So as you sit here today, you don't
25	know whether or not these other stories you

1	referenced did that, correct?					
2	A. I have no way of knowing that.					
3	MR. BURROUGHS: All right. I have					
4	nothing further. Unless you guys have any					
5	other questions, Ms. Gates, Ms. Wolff.					
6	MS. GATES: Nothing further.					
7	MR. BURROUGHS: Okay. Then we can					
8	relieve the court reporter of her duties under					
9	the Code and go off the record.					
10	[Reporter requested direction in					
11	handling the read and sign.]					
12	MS. GATES: You can send it to me					
13	and I can make sure it's directed to the proper					
14	party.					
15	THE REPORTER: Would you like a copy					
16	of the transcript?					
17	MS. GATES: Yes.					
18	MR. BURROUGHS: And to the extent					
19	that, Ms. Gates, you're going to be ordering a					
20	copy, do you want to agree that that copy can					
21	be the one reviewed by your client to make any					
22	revisions, and if she's going to make any					
23	revisions, she do so within 30 days of your					
24	receipt, and if there are no revisions in that					
25	time period, we'll agree that the transcript					

om aid re
re
,
•
as
е
ipt
by
I

1	confidential and I would assume the testimony
2	would relate to same, we'd like to just go
3	ahead and designate the transcript as
4	confidential and we can clean up anything later
5	within that 30-day period.
6	MR. BURROUGHS: We can't agree to a
7	blanket designation, but send us the lines and
8	certainly if it's really sensitive information,
9	we'll agree to that.
10	MS. GATES: Yeah, happy to do that.
11	I just wanted to put in that placeholder for
12	now because I believe the protective order
13	considers the transcript as confidential during
14	that 30-day period as we are going over the
15	specific portions.
16	MR. BURROUGHS: All right. Thanks,
17	everyone. Take care. We'll see you tomorrow.
18	
19	(Whereupon, the proceeding is then
20	concluded at 5:22 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:19-cv-09617-KPF Document 67-2 Filed 06/21/21 Page 224 of 247

1	STATE OF) ss.
2	COUNTY OF) ss.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of
8	perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript,
9	and I have made any corrections, additions, or
10	deletions that I was desirous of making; that the
11	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my
12	testimony contained therein.
13	EXECUTED this day of,
14	20, at
15	(City) (State)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Diane Rice
23	
24	
25	

1						
2	CERTIFICATION					
3						
4						
5	I, AMELINDA LOPEZ, a Certified Court					
6	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and					
7	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, certify					
8	that the foregoing is a true and accurate					
9	transcript of the testimony at the time and the					
10	date hereinbefore set forth.					
11	I further certify that I am neither attorney					
12	nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any					
13	of the parties to the action in which the testimony					
14	was taken; and further that I am not a relative or					
15	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the					
16	parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in					
17	the action.					
18	Signature reserved.					
19	Dated this 23rd day of March, 2021.					
20						
21	Soulinde John					
22	AMELINDA LOPEZ, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter & Notary Public					
23	License No. 30XI00229700					
24						
25						

Index: \$150..advise

	13,17,20 195:7	27 164:2 174:16	22 205:22	Adam's 165:19
Exhibits	196:1 207:12 211:7,9,18 215:3	28 175:12	99 19:12	add 14:12 29:9
Exhibit 1 5:0	216:11,16	2:34 93:21		110:21 133:7 137:8,18 177:23
37:6 46:18,19	13 94:2,3 100:4,7 102:5,7 104:15,	2:45 93:21		217:3
Exhibit 2 5:0 75:14,16	21 197:18	3	A-11 193:10	added 102:7 104:15,18
Exhibit 3 5:0	198:16 207:12		ability 20:5 151:22 164:12	adding 13:16
103:21,23	14 97:17	3 76:21 84:25 88:14 103:21,23	absolutely 94:9,	104:20,22
Exhibit 4 5:0 110:24 111:1,4	157 192:1 16 198:15,21	173:8	25 96:25 158:13	additional 66:13,16 150:17
118:16 133:16	200:2 201:3	30 8:17 220:23	accepted	additions 105:1
176:7 185:24	202:19,25	35 203:15	105:12	address 21:8
Exhibit 5 5:0 161:23,24	1:00 5:1		access 13:15 15:21 65:3,6	60:19 61:1
163:14	2	4	158:5 161:7	100:13,15
Exhibit 6 5:0 164:1,3 174:19		4 110:24 111:1,4	accessed 70:9	addressed 60:12
Exhibit 7 5:0	2 75:14,15,16 88:9	118:16 133:16 176:7 185:24	accord 132:19	addresses
173:8,9 174:12	2013 27:4 73:16		account 73:21 142:11,12 165:6,	118:8
Exhibit 8 5:0	74:2,9	5	10,14,17 196:22,	adjacent 216:23
174:15 175:11, 13	2017 27:18	5 161:23,24	23	adjust 139:2
Exhibit 9 5:0	2018 23:24 27:17	163:14	accounts 77:22	admin 217:8,10,
184:19,22	2019 8:4 9:14	6	35:20	admit 121:8
Exhibit 10 5:0 191:25 192:2	14:18,19,20 40:3		accurate 32:17	admits 131:22
Exhibit 11 5:0	43:23 45:3 47:15 48:2,5 49:3,6	6 164:1,3 174:19	34:24 46:7 50:2 53:1 81:25 85:14	adopted 28:17
211:7,9,18 215:3	57:21 58:8,9	7	89:21 99:5 105:5	30:15 31:5
216:11,16	70:19,21,23 74:20,23 105:22		119:4 127:13 132:3 170:21	ads 112:23 116:6 172:17
\$	106:24 111:10 153:17 155:18	7 173:8,9 174:12	171:11 177:5 179:13 186:14	198:9 217:2,8,
#450 440 40	162:19	8	199:21 203:1	11,13
\$150 140:12	2020 14:15,16		accurately	advertisement 216:22 217:1
1	49:9 55:2 57:15, 21 101:22	8 174:15 175:11,	10:22	advertisements
1 37:6 46:18,19	173:18,22 174:8	8/20 101:21	action 63:19	54:25 171:19,24 172:2,5,11
75:15 87:22	2021 5:1 173:16	81 211:16	active 99:12 actual 39:19	216:13,17
88:2,7	21 173:18,22 174:7	82 212:16	101:1,9 142:21	advertising
10 5:1 191:25 192:2	23 164:2 174:16	83 211:17 213:17	178:9 189:16	54:17,20 172:7, 23 173:4 198:5
104 103:22	24 13:3 173:16		ad 197:21 198:1, 2,11,13	advise 57:1,6,9
105 103:22	25 19:23	9	Adam 165:19	60:8 109:1 168:10,16 169:3
11 193:21 194:8,	26 174:18	9 110:24 184:19,	166:4	209:3
,				

Index: advised..based

advised 04.4				
advised 24:1	answering 56:9	48:7 49:7,10	assets 25:21	availability 56:1
55:24 56:17,21	66:14 92:9	50:1,10,25 51:13	69:12 70:11	60:14 66:13
58:19 59:15	128:24	54:5,6 56:12	183:12	avatar 162:22
advisement	answers 122:1	57:21 58:20 63:25 64:1 70:3	assigned 149:8	award 180:4
168:2	anymore 217:16	133:15 147:25	associates 10:8	
advising 95:17	anyone's 149:6	151:25 152:13	assume 11:22	aware 9:24 17:4, 5 20:10 23:23
affect 137:10,21	_	153:21 154:4,8, 12,16,21 155:4,	41:19 156:2	26:23 28:21 30:8
affects 179:9,11	anytime 179:11	14 156:11,19,20	157:19 172:8	39:2 44:9,15,17
affirmative	AP 14:12	157:1 161:1	190:11 215:19 217:3	46:6 50:5 58:17 60:2 61:1,17
146:5	apologies	163:22 171:19		70:16,18 71:13,
	214:17	174:24 175:5 176:9,12 178:10	assuming	16,17,24 107:9
affirmatively 135:23 145:21	apologize 24:21	185:24 186:2,11	157:15 181:9	110:15 120:13
195:8	174:17	190:4 210:2,11	assumption	127:7 141:1,10,
	app 206:1	212:3,6,9,20	156:3	19 146:8 148:7,
agencies 14:7	appeared 19:1	213:3 214:1	assumptions	15,17 150:17 155:8 158:20
agency 6:9,10,	93:3	215:15,24 216:7	215:25	159:1,21 161:10,
11 27:8		218:8,14,20 219:8	athlete 188:24	16,20 162:17
agree 188:5	appearing 20:22 92:2 93:9		189:21	166:19 168:14
220:20,25		articles 40:23	athletes 189:9	176:5 178:6,8
agreed 18:21	appears 92:3	51:21 52:12,17, 25 54:1 89:19	attachment	184:17 186:21 194:9,15,21
57:11	186:23 216:22	91:2 148:8 149:4	42:16	202:14 203:9,12,
agreement	apples 188:10	150:3,13,22		14 218:21,22
89:9,10 145:25	189:1	151:13 156:17	attend 8:20	
200:25 201:9	applicable	183:18 209:21	attendance	_
				В
202:16	203:18 207:15	articulated	8:13	В
		articulated 145:1	8:13 attention 47:15,	back 21:16 71:7
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25		8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16,
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2,
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2,
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity 88:22	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22 155:21	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately 62:24 105:21	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23 badgering
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity 88:22	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately 62:24 105:21 archived 209:19 area 213:22	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18 168:17 169:20	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22 155:21 author 138:6,7 218:12	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23 badgering 130:2,4 based 26:18,21 28:9 34:20 36:14
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity 88:22 amend 81:11	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately 62:24 105:21 archived 209:19 area 213:22 arose 153:14	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18 168:17 169:20 arts 18:17 140:8 ascertain 113:7	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22 155:21 author 138:6,7 218:12 author's 79:14	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23 badgering 130:2,4 based 26:18,21 28:9 34:20 36:14 57:4 58:9 71:5
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity 88:22 amend 81:11 amount 214:14 amounts 12:2	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately 62:24 105:21 archived 209:19 area 213:22	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18 168:17 169:20 arts 18:17 140:8 ascertain 113:7 asserting 146:4	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22 155:21 author 138:6,7 218:12 author's 79:14 authorized	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23 badgering 130:2,4 based 26:18,21 28:9 34:20 36:14 57:4 58:9 71:5 76:8,14 107:4,
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity 88:22 amend 81:11 amount 214:14	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately 62:24 105:21 archived 209:19 area 213:22 arose 153:14 art 16:10,13,16 article 35:14	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18 168:17 169:20 arts 18:17 140:8 ascertain 113:7 asserting 146:4 asset 64:2,3,5,7,	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22 155:21 author 138:6,7 218:12 author's 79:14	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23 badgering 130:2,4 based 26:18,21 28:9 34:20 36:14 57:4 58:9 71:5
202:16 ahead 79:18 131:3 145:19 160:3 171:4 alcohol 13:3 allowed 57:5 106:19 123:15 126:8 132:9 144:23 alongside 172:23 216:13 altered 101:5 186:19 ambiguity 88:22 amend 81:11 amount 214:14 amounts 12:2 and/or 137:14	203:18 207:15 applied 31:25 apply 84:20,23 applying 7:19 approach 81:4 approval 147:23 148:2 149:20 approvals 100:14 approving 149:19 approximately 62:24 105:21 archived 209:19 area 213:22 arose 153:14 art 16:10,13,16	145:1 artist 59:4 78:11 82:6 137:23 169:3 170:8 181:24 196:1 artist's 34:7,8 36:12 51:8 54:13 77:11 78:12 82:13 83:25 118:15 170:9 179:12 artistic 187:18 artists 80:9 138:15 143:18 168:17 169:20 arts 18:17 140:8 ascertain 113:7 asserting 146:4	8:13 attention 47:15, 17 76:21 94:1,5 111:9,12 174:15 175:11 attorney 81:8 86:25 162:5 attorney's 215:13 attorneys 9:22 10:1,5 12:17 24:7,9 67:12,25 68:2,6 69:4 August 101:22 155:21 author 138:6,7 218:12 author's 79:14 authorized	back 21:16 71:7 83:15,18 93:16, 23 112:11 113:2, 20 115:11,23 116:1 134:3 137:3 162:18 163:6,21 176:6 185:20 215:4,7 216:20 back-end 116:16 backtrack 18:15 backup 198:23 badgering 130:2,4 based 26:18,21 28:9 34:20 36:14 57:4 58:9 71:5 76:8,14 107:4, 18,20,24 108:8,

Atkinson Baker, a Veritext Company www.depo.com Index: basically..communications

109:1,16,19,21,	briefly 176:7	94:17 103:2	channel 69:9,	closely 117:4,6
23 110:8,9,16,20	broad 199:18	104:21 145:4	11,14,19 70:15	122:4
117:6 119:12,18 135:8 166:11	brought 47:14,	called 143:3	checks 150:18	closet 17:13
167:25 187:9	17 111:9,11	calls 69:3	chief 25:12	CMS 38:5 41:6
188:21 190:9		134:10		65:3 85:9,12
191:2 206:13	built 143:15		choices 155:13	86:2,4 87:9
212:13 214:16	bullet 84:25 88:2	captions 13:16	187:18	90:21 98:19
215:17,24 216:5	BURROUGHS	capture 212:8,	choose 153:8	102:25 103:10,
basically 151:5	5:8 21:3,13	23	chose 156:5	12 112:7,8
_	22:18 23:21	career 27:3,10	190:3,14 207:3	113:20,25 114:2,
basing 157:21 208:19	35:3,6 45:11	,		4,11,17 115:11 133:14 167:1,3,
	46:21 52:2 75:18	carefully 124:22	chosen 190:21,	10 168:3 170:17
basis 36:10	79:17 80:13,19,	Caribbean		182:4 207:9
68:12 71:6	25 81:10 83:2,7,	27:25	circulated	
191:12 205:11	13,17,20,22 87:1	case 11:14	28:19	Code 220:9
208:25 219:11	90:1 91:7 93:7, 13,19,22 96:7	12:21 39:18 48:4	circulation 42:9	coffee 34:15,16
Bates 75:14	104:1 108:13	56:4 65:12 66:6	circumstance	college 7:3,5
184:19 212:17	109:8,13 110:1	67:21 68:5,17,22	127:6 180:4	
begins 25:6	111:3 115:15	69:2 70:14 72:6		combination 47:22
behalf 15:8 47:4	124:16,25	80:5 87:7 120:24 125:14 140:7	circumstances 31:20 59:11	47:22
195:18	127:12 128:13	151:25 161:4	82:23 178:20	comfortable
	129:5,14,24	162:17 203:7	181:23 182:25	56:9
belief 57:7 58:10	130:5 131:13	215:21	183:6	comment 11:13
71:6 113:6 146:15 191:2	134:12,15,20 138:1 139:7	cases 63:3	claim 59:3	177:14
205:9,11	149:2 151:19	124:18 130:10	183:17,22	commercial
	153:2,7,12 160:2	133:2 137:2,22	208:11	6:11,12 33:22,25
believed 66:1 75:2 208:17	162:1 163:1,3	184:1		193:15
	164:5 173:11	cat 157:6	claimed 184:4	commissioned
beneath 16:23	174:10,13		claiming 184:12	18:5,17 19:4,7
bit 146:9 169:15	175:15 178:1,13, 19 184:24	catch 148:20	claims 60:13	139:17,24
179:18 187:20	185:19 192:4	category 188:14	clarification	commissioning
188:15 214:18,	195:21 196:6	cats 43:17	102:20	18:8
20 216:19	197:4,7,8 200:6	156:23		
blue 11:13	202:21 210:14,		clarify 20:17	communicate
blurring 67:9	21 211:9 213:4	caught 169:14	102:18 189:17	48:9 55:21 65:21 68:25 156:25
	215:3,6,11	cc'd 164:15,18	class 89:5	202:2 203:6
Bonnier 27:21	220:3,7,18	CCO 25:12,14	classes 89:4	
bottom 104:4	business 10:8	CCO's 25:17	128:25	communicated 56:2 58:1 66:5
boxes 116:6	59:19 120:15		clear 10:25 89:5	160:25 205:16
	126:2 137:10 165:7 170:21	CFO 24:13 25:10		209:8,9
boxy 116:6	171:12 172:7	chance 110:18	click 112:11	communicating
boy 73:16	183:11	164:8	186:9	9:17 156:16
brand 17:12		change 91:24	clicked 186:16	
		113:14	client 220:21	communication
break 27:1 93:15 138:25 139:4		changed 31:12		49:19 58:10 66:25 69:7
	call 16:25 58:3	81:19,21 82:9	close 109:8	
breaking 118:4	67:10,11,12,14,	01.10,2102.0	closed 109:10	communication
	16,19,25 68:2			s 55:17 118:22

Index: Community..correct

161:3,10 202:6,	45:20,24 46:7,	consented	contents 159:25	115:5,12 119:10,
10 203:12	10,13 184:21	135:23	192:25	17 120:22 132:6
218:21	185:8,12			136:3,18 141:25
Community 7:6	conduct 90:11	considered	contest 146:4	142:20 157:16
Community 7:0	Conduct 90:11	56:16 214:5	context 34:11,	182:6 210:11
companies	confer 117:9	constitute	12 35:16,17,24	217:10,15
16:1	conference	61:11	77:13,19 78:1,3,	220:15,20
company 14:23	106:15,21,25	consult 89:8	5,10 82:19 84:7,	copyright 60:2,
15:6 24:3,11	108:17,21	206:19	8,10,13,15,16,20	13 61:1,19,24
25:17 27:6	,		87:12 91:25	62:2 63:11 80:1,
28:20,24 30:22	conferred	contact 47:11	92:6,23 93:12	14 86:24 103:7,
31:3 41:19 45:3	192:25	54:11 97:18 117:16 121:9	101:3 106:17 144:6 175:20	9,13 149:9,11,
53:15 117:2,24	confirm 166:6	161:15 164:24	215:14 219:8	15,18 150:13,22
127:25 128:3	205:17	168:9		151:6,13 183:18,
138:20 141:20	confused 42:21		continually	23 184:4,12,15
157:15,19 158:1		contacted	79:22	206:12
compare 104:24	connection	59:14 97:23	continue 57:20	copyright@
comparing	15:3 40:22 41:2,	126:10 131:23	81:23	newsweek.com
comparing 104:13	3 68:16 73:20 154:20 165:6	contacting	continued	60:16
	194:6 196:12	38:23 98:4	57:13 58:21	copyrights
compensate	202:17 208:6	content 15:17		148:10 149:6
139:19,21,23		25:12 31:11,13	contractor	150:10
140:23	consent 32:21,	32:16,19 33:5,9,	140:1	
compliance	22 33:4,14,23	14 39:14,15 46:3	contrary 85:17	corner 162:23
194:2,10,23	34:8,24 36:6,12 49:21 50:15,23	50:14,22 51:2,7,	convention	Corporation
196:25 197:12	51:3,8 52:9 54:7,	21 52:8 54:6	211:8	27:21
204:3	14 58:12 59:5	56:22 57:2 58:8,		correct 16:11
compliant 84:2	78:12 79:14	11 59:3,4,14	conversation	20:8 22:7 26:19,
-	80:10 81:6,24	62:25 63:7,21	47:21 48:4 66:11	20 30:23 32:23
complied	82:7,13 83:25	66:2 77:11 78:8	68:4 69:21,22	34:25 38:24,25
194:17 198:16	106:3 118:15,24	81:5 82:5 94:8, 17,20 95:5,18,	99:3,12 106:12 107:18 110:16	39:15,20,21
comply 129:17	119:6 120:4,10,	19,22 96:9,17	158:17,21	40:18 41:13
193:17,22 194:8,	18,19 121:1,2,4,	97:8 114:4	,	42:24,25 43:9
12 195:8 196:1,	10,15 122:15,17,	118:2,10 119:6	conversations	44:11 46:14,15
11 203:17	24 123:6,7,18	120:16 121:19	33:12 47:25 48:1	50:11,12 51:14
composition	124:4 125:3,15, 16,23 126:3,12,	122:17,21 127:9,	55:4,16 65:17,19 67:15 71:8 81:16	52:23 53:9,24
187:24	19 127:2,19	19 134:8 138:9,	118:22 159:6	54:1,2,7,9 55:25 58:1 63:22 64:22
conceded	130:12,13,14,17,	16 141:7,24	161:9,12 216:6	66:7 68:1,3
169:16	21,24 132:1,14,	144:10 151:5		71:23 74:9 76:7,
	23 133:18,21,25	165:5,13 172:25	conversing	9,10,13 79:2,5,
concentration	136:8,9,15	173:1,2,5	156:15	14 80:5 82:7,16
7:10	137:24 138:3,7	193:18,24 194:1, 13,22 197:20	Cooper 30:6	84:3,9 85:15
concept 199:8	146:5,12 166:8,	198:23 199:5,24	65:14	86:17 87:19 88:8
concern 148:21	18,22 167:22	200:10,11,13,18,	copied 39:3	92:25 95:24
CONCENT 140.21	168:1,10 169:16,	21 201:2,6,8,10,	114:16 218:8,13	96:13,17 97:5,6,
concerned	17,21 170:23	19 202:12 203:3,		9,15 99:20
74:17	171:14 172:14	19 204:22	copies 209:19	101:2,6 102:9,
conclusion	175:25 178:16 179:13 181:25	205:17 206:1,6	copy 28:24 38:4	10,12 111:20,25
1	113.10 101.20	207:21 208:1		112:1 113:1,9
134:11,19	197.20	201.21 200.1	41:15,24,25	11/1/12 116:20
134:11,19 conditions	197:20	209:4 218:8	41:15,24,25 100:19 101:1	114:18 116:20 118:16 120:4

Index: correspond..direct

121:4,10,21
122:11,13 123:8,
20 125:11,17
126:4,12,19
130:21,24 131:1,
4,13 132:14
133:18,19,22
134:9,17 135:18,
24 136:22,23,25
137:3 141:12
142:22 143:5,13
145:2,12 146:6,
22,23 147:4,14,
22 150:5,13,23
151:14,20,25
152:20,25 155:4,
15,20,24 156:5,
11 157:23 158:4,
10,18,22 159:3,
23 160:17,19
161:2,18 162:19
163:9,15,16,22
164:25 165:1
167:17 171:17
172:20,24 173:5,
6 174:19 176:4
177:1 178:10
183:4 184:15
185:8 190:15,22
191:3 192:22
193:2 197:7,14,
16 199:17 200:4,
8,14,15 201:3,
11,15,21 202:19,
22 208:13 210:4
212:19,22,23
213:25 215:15,
16,18 216:1,8,9
217:12 218:25
219:9 220:1
_
correspond

correspond 117:7

corresponded 68:15 116:25 138:14

correspondenc

e 9:14 43:11 56:5,7 69:2 72:9, 12 141:2 146:25 208:10

costs 90:4

counsel 9:4,6
24:1 33:12
count 9:6

216:17

couple 10:14 37:10 99:4 124:11 161:17 175:19

court 10:20 12:20 35:4 80:7 81:2 134:12 197:5 210:16 220:8

cover 16:17 77:17,18

covered 56:6 106:5 107:23 146:14 153:22 207:10 208:9

create 35:9 152:22

created 17:2,6, 21 19:9 23:24 77:16 94:19,21 152:9 157:14 176:18 184:10

creating 23:25 155:13

creation 40:10 70:6 113:16 116:15 152:6,13, 20 153:20,25 154:3,8,12,16,20 155:3,6,10 156:8,13 163:22 177:9 178:10 190:17 215:14 219:8

creative 6:9 152:25 154:19 155:2 156:9 157:12 187:4,7, 11 188:23 189:3, 7,10,23

creativity 188:6 creator 33:1.15

creator 33:1,15 34:23 59:14 81:25 183:3 **creator's** 50:14, 23 51:3,21 52:7, 9 54:7 106:3

creators 81:5 165:5,13 183:14

credibility 11:15

credit 103:17,18

credits 13:16

cues 11:2

cup 34:15

current 5:16 129:1,7

D

D-8 204:19

D-A-Y-A-N

24:25

D.C. 6:19

daily 147:17 Dash 66:23

data 115:11,16 116:16

date 73:17 100:22 101:18, 19 104:19 117:14 173:15 213:22

dates 12:1 29:9 55:6 104:17 105:23 113:12

David 116:24 117:2

day 11:25 22:24 54:3 147:18 162:17

day-to-day 13:8 53:4 68:12,14 69:5

Dayan 24:25 25:13,14

days 12:6 67:9 220:23

deal 14:13

dealing 106:9

Death 180:14 181:11 190:5

decade 28:9 33:19

December 49:6 58:9

decide 58:16 178:21

decided 81:15 102:17 132:9 204:11

decides 137:11

deciding 187:24

decision 58:7 82:2 105:9 138:8 172:13

decisions 154:20

decline 122:16

declined 121:2 132:14

declines 122:19 123:18 124:4 125:15

Declining 125:19

dedicated 69:11

define 188:2 209:20

defined 200:20

definition 21:12 85:7 135:3,11 179:22 199:15, 18,20 201:3,6

definitions 86:24 87:16 135:6

degree 7:8,9,12 185:6

deliver 205:25

demarcated 103:11

department 48:22 97:19,23

depending 92:17 187:7

depends 34:11 35:16 189:25

deposition 8:24 10:16 37:8 46:18 55:21,22,25 56:4 65:13,18 72:5

depositions 174:23

describe 87:6 116:10 145:11

describing 78:23

description 77:18

descriptions 114:22

design 7:11

designate 211:7

designated 151:16

Designation 27:24

dialed 8:15,16

Diane 5:11

differences 118:8

differently 91:19

difficult 8:14 19:21 22:25 23:6 99:23

difficulties 174:23

direct 42:5 76:20 92:3 94:1, 5 117:8 160:5 174:14 175:10

Index: directed..end

directed 220:13	146:21 199:10	double-	75:3 100:12	39:13 54:12
direction 05.40	201:10	checking 13:17	104:7,10 105:2	64:10 77:21
direction 25:19	diantavina		139:18 140:8	78:15,17 79:2
220:10	displaying	doubt 97:18	144:1 147:20	81:23 83:24
directly 21:7	20:21 22:5 87:13	download 87:23	153:16 156:25	87:23 89:21
39:20 44:2,13	134:8,16 168:11	88:3,5,11,15	157:14 158:14	91:13 92:3 98:22
70:5 91:4,20	169:4 199:23		166:23 169:7	113:18 120:7,8
92:18 126:10	201:18	downloading	174:7 178:14	121:23 123:2,8
141:14 148:1	dispute 10:2,6	13:12 87:22	182:16 191:17	124:5 126:1,4
157:18 164:22	42:23 43:19 44:8	drafted 27:15	201:5 210:1	127:2,23 130:15
209:8 216:23	48:2 55:4,17	31:5 32:10 76:6,	early 27:17	136:9,10,11,13
director 5.47	58:22 65:18,21	8	73:12 106:23,24	137:12,22 168:3
director 5:17	71:15 161:2	1 64 00 40	73.12 100.23,24	169:1 170:13,14,
6:1,3,22 13:6	163:7	drafting 26:12	easier 116:9	22 172:13 174:1,
53:8,12,14,22 184:3	disputed 48:6	29:14	easiest 42:17	2 181:10 193:14,
104.3	49:4,7,10	draw 26:11	easiest 42.17	18 210:6,8,9
disabled 63:17,	49.4,7,10	du 00 40	edit 112:10,13	embedded 21:7
18	distinction 21:6	drawn 26:18	116:3	31:22,24 50:22
disappeared	189:19	drew 28:8	editor 7:2,16,19,	51:2,22 52:15
71:8	distribute 186:2	druge 10.0	20,23 26:15 28:6	63:7 64:8 79:3,
	205:25	drugs 13:2	36:9,15,21 37:22	13 80:11 91:4,9,
discovery 49:21		ducktails 10:14	53:19 65:7 117:3	21 92:18 112:14
discuss 39:24	distributed	duly C.C	149:8 151:1	138:4 169:10
55:20 67:22	186:1,10	duly 5:5	159:18 160:7	213:20
	DM 142:4,17,22	duties 210:16	174:25 175:5,7	
discussed		220:8	178:21	embedding
66:10 69:15	document 37:6			20:18 32:9,12
70:14 72:3	46:17 47:1	E	editor-in-chief	37:18 39:1 50:13
106:14,16	75:21,22,24		24:18	51:7,16 73:24
195:17	76:5,13,18 78:20		editorial 6:10	74:2,8,13 75:8
discussing	86:4,10,14 87:22	e-mail 9:14	7:19 25:18	78:22 80:8 81:13 91:10 97:5
111:18	89:4,14 100:20,	10:11 41:18,21	172:25 187:7,15	106:2,12 111:24
	24 101:2,9,13,	42:7,8,12,18	188:3 198:3,4	113:1,9 114:21
display 22:1,14	14,16 104:3,6	43:2,6,8,10		123:11,15,20
33:13,21 34:7,22	107:14,19	47:20,23 48:23	editors 24:12,	125:6,12 126:7,
38:14 39:4,13	108:25 109:16,	60:12,19,22 61:1 62:2 65:23 67:3,	15,23 147:24	16 131:7 166:24
85:14,17,22	21 110:9,15,23		148:19 149:17 150:15 151:4	208:3
86:21 88:25	116:12 157:13	5 69:1,3,7,8 100:13,14	150:15 151:4	200.5
112:16,19 114:5	158:4 191:24 192:10 193:1,7,	111:15 157:22,	edits 100:17	embeds 32:4,6
123:19 130:14	192.10 193.1,7,	24 158:7 164:11,	113:12	82:13 86:12
132:12,20	,	24 166:6,12	advected 450.0	171:13 207:8
134:24 135:4,7,	15,21,24 213:1	167:6 174:25	educated 150:6	employ 17:25
24 136:21	documents		educational	18:6
137:12 141:6	43:1 72:4,13	e-mails 41:23	55:8	
168:18,21 170:8 171:12 198:22	105:24 153:19,	42:2,5,23 43:2,	effect 101:17	employed 5:14
199:4,16 200:10,	24 154:11	14 61:5 62:5	167:11	6:5,7 18:3 45:14
11 203:3 205:7,	164:16	65:15 72:2	107.11	employees
25	don'ts 27:12	154:5,7,10,13	elaborate 45:21	29:20
-		158:14 161:6,8,	Elliot 111:19	
displayed 21:9,	dos 27:12	17 164:14,16,23	162:10 212:21	employment
17 23:3 48:13	double-check	173:13 191:6		5:16,20
86:15 89:19,20	104:17	earlier 19:11	else's 169:2	end 10:22 63:4,
91:25 122:10 135:17,21	_	24:14 74:23,24	embed 38:4,13	17,18 90:3 110:6
	ı	i '	,	i e

Index: enforced..front

112:11 113:3,20	evidence 72:13	experienced	180:9,24 183:10	find 41:24 42:8,
115:11,23 116:1		35:22	196:20 208:4	17
214:18 217:7	evolving 79:22	experiencing		fine 24:22
enforced 159:7,	exact 62:20	191:10	fairly 27:10,11 73:12	169:17 211:10
12 160:11	73:17 148:5			
enforcing	167:6	explain 131:17 179:17 188:15	fall 105:23 162:19 188:13	finish 7:7 10:24
159:2,21 160:6	EXAMINATION			firing 44:12
engaging 38:12	5:7 211:13 215:10	explicit 31:14,15	falls 127:5	firm 12:11
		explicitly	false 184:14	fits 201:2
ensure 128:5, 10,22 129:17	examples 17:15	122:20	219:21,23	five-minute
147:6 148:9	exclusive 94:8	exploit 169:22	familiar 27:11	93:15 139:4
149:5 150:12	96:21 97:15	170:22 171:2	39:22 45:18 46:8 56:10 62:10,15	
194:17 196:24	execute 144:18	exploited 36:6	80:4 128:8 142:8	flag 148:21 149:9 150:8
197:11	exhibit 37:6,10,	expose 203:23	158:15 161:11	
entire 30:21	11 46:18,19 72:1	expressly 205:1	173:14	focus 53:15,23
75:21 157:19 176:12	75:14,16 103:21,	-	Fantastic	follow 128:4,5, 23 171:1 195:4
	23 104:13 110:24 111:1,4	extent 11:11 64:19 147:1	143:16 160:20	
entirety 186:3,	118:16 133:16	149:20 166:20	faster 46:24	follow-up 211:1
13	161:22,23,24	209:24 215:22	feasible 54:3	foremost 125:1
entitled 12:4	163:14 164:1,3	220:18		form 21:22 22:8,
entity 33:25	173:8,9 174:11, 12,15,18,19	external 112:17	February 70:23	17 23:5,20
ephemeral	175:11,13 176:7		fee 139:13 183:3	33:17,24 34:10
212:1	184:19,22 185:2,	F	feedback 25:24	37:16 52:1 53:10 54:8,23 60:21
errors 148:20	24 189:11		26:1,2,7,10 56:8	62:9 64:23 85:24
essence 59:13	191:25 192:2 211:6,7,9,18	Facebook 186:6	107:16	91:22 93:4,10
	215:3,7 216:11,	202:7,11 203:2, 8,13 205:16,18	feel 88:12	115:14,18
essentially 91:10 116:5	16	208:12 209:2	169:13 180:5 219:21	143:17,19,23 144:3,9 201:11
	exist 103:9	fact 51:12 57:7		
estimate 8:5,12, 14 12:5,9 18:25	existed 47:18	121:3 122:25	feelings 215:24	formal 7:13,18 60:1 61:12,23
19:19,21,25		131:2 135:22	fees 90:4	62:7,13
20:3,5 27:14	exists 60:11 61:1 144:14	141:24 155:7	fell 123:25	format 77:16
62:18 73:15		157:22 159:7 166:20 167:22	felt 56:9,10	
estimates 12:1	experience 7:15,18 12:8	172:10	178:15 207:7	formation 176:23 213:23
estimation	26:15,19,22 27:1	failure 136:7	figure 112:4	
179:2	33:19 34:5,20		151:5	formed 212:2
Etherington-	36:3,8,14,18	fair 11:23 17:22, 23 28:7,12,16	file 101:12,14,15	forms 168:21
smith 66:22,23	45:12 59:2 61:2 76:9,15 87:3	31:2 51:5 53:21	103:19 142:17,	Francisco
67:1	107:5 109:2,15,	56:20 58:25	18,21,25 143:7	213:9,14 218:1
event 178:23,25	17,22 110:8,10	60:25 61:3 62:3	files 71:14 101:2	free 71:10
188:4 189:2,7,16	113:24 114:1	75:6 78:6 86:13 92:1 116:11	fill 53:16,17	183:14
eventually	116:19 135:9 176:19 177:13	123:4,25 127:5,		frequently
81:14	184:2 187:3,9	8,17 130:9	filter 187:21	52:13 141:15
everyone's	188:22 190:8	135:16 144:16,	final 58:15	182:24
99:25	191:1 212:14,21	25 150:1 170:15, 20 174:5 176:25	finalized 14:13	front 11:15 37:6
	213:11 214:23	20 174.3 170.23		
	1	1	1	1

Index: froze..identification

46:17 72:1 90:3 103:21 110:23 161:22 163:14	14:2,3,7,8,20,23 15:12,15 16:3 19:3,6,13 55:13	158:4 guidelines 7:19 25:25 26:9,12,18	140:6 141:17,19 161:12 165:18 182:23	hiring 28:22 44:1 144:11 149:23
184:18 187:10 188:22 189:11, 24 191:25 froze 214:17	105:4,6,10,16, 18,19,25 139:12 181:12 183:13 184:1 186:7	27:15 28:8,17,19 29:2,6,10,14,17, 22 30:2,9,15,22	happening 17:9 20:10 41:8 51:13 73:6 79:8 80:5	hold 5:19 44:20 45:13 89:3 103:9 105:9 128:25
	213:24 214:8	31:4,10 32:3,5,7, 10,15 33:7,8	129:9 141:10 142:7,9	holders 207:16
Frozen 216:22	give 12:9 13:1	41:15 42:10,14	happy 134:22	holding 107:11
full 171:21 186:8 212:3	34:12 47:8 65:8, 25 66:20 110:18	55:6,7 56:14,23 57:3 58:13,21	hard 119:10,17	home 192:13,14
fully 60:19	118:19 130:24 133:21 135:8	76:2,3 78:18 80:21 81:4,12	120:22 132:6 136:3,18 141:24	Honeymoons 27:24
function 116:17 145:8	153:19 157:11 167:9 169:21	82:4 84:2 88:24 90:12,17,22 94:3	142:20 harm 203:24	host 38:19 98:18 114:5 115:6
functional 60:19 61:3	170:13 172:15 181:24 209:16	97:24 98:6 99:15,20 100:3,	HBO 15:16,23	119:10 120:22 132:6 133:13
functions 142:24	giving 170:24 171:6,14	11,17 101:25 102:9,20 104:8, 23 108:19	head 11:3 17:16 22:2 59:12 99:22 100:18 157:8	134:2,4 136:17, 19 146:16,18
future 100:2	gleaned 192:17 good 10:12 25:7	117:10,17,20,24 118:7,12 120:21 125:10,13	header 101:11	hosted 32:1 85:9,11 105:7
G	grabs 88:19	149:14 150:9,16	headline 97:11	114:10,17 167:1, 3
gain 36:4	Grammy 180:4	155:7,8,12 158:10,17,22	hear 83:3 134:3 146:17 200:22	hosting 77:7,10 86:2,6,7,14 87:8,
Gate 213:14 218:1	grant 121:2,15 123:18 207:16 209:11	159:3,8,15,22 171:1 172:9 206:18,23 207:4	heard 27:11 36:24 83:8	12 88:15,25 106:19
Gate's 213:9	granted 144:5	guides 25:18	137:3,14 142:6	hour 93:14
Gates 9:9,12,17	205:2	128:2	held 8:10 105:7, 17,19,20	hours 13:3
211:5,11,14,20 213:6 214:24	granting 166:22	guttural 11:3	helpful 124:17	HR 30:7 48:22
215:5,6 216:3,15	graphic 7:10	guys 220:4	hero 16:18,24,25	huh-uhs 11:4
219:22 220:5,6, 12,17,19	great 99:25 185:6	Н	214:3,5,7 Hey 170:1	hundreds 22:24 54:2 147:17
gave 56:8 83:16 107:4 108:6,18	ground 10:14 14:25	half 93:14	Hignett 40:16,19 41:14 42:3,19	hyphenated 66:23
118:23 136:7 146:5 171:21 205:6 208:12	group 27:22 67:11	hand 136:2 handful 184:7	43:3,18,22,24 44:20 49:1,20	hypothetically 180:2
general 23:14 69:17,18 77:4	guess 12:3,11 190:14	handles 89:12 handling 220:11	154:15 155:23 156:16 159:3 160:22 161:1,16	
117:25 118:8 generally 26:5,6	guesswork 215:18	handy 12:7	164:25 166:7 167:22 178:5	ideas 69:24
30:25 31:1 72:7, 8 145:23	guidance 89:13 108:20,22 110:7,	happen 35:21 50:16 182:24	197:11 Hignett's	identification 46:20 75:17
generated 218:10	20 157:12 guide 55:5	happened 19:20 50:18 59:6,18 64:21	154:19 155:2 167:4 hires 29:1,21	103:24 111:2 161:25 164:4 173:10 175:14
Getty 7:24,25	guideline 82:15	70:4 98:9 132:11	20.1,21	184:23 192:3

Atkinson Baker, a Veritext Company www.depo.com Index: identify..interpretation

211:19	88:10 98:1	157:20,24 158:6	informative	132:10 143:8
identify 21:24	103:1,8 114:1	180:16,19	180:20	171:1 191:20
	120:8 123:11	213:16,19	informs 36:19	199:22
illnesses 13:2	125:12 126:1,7,	includes 98:2		Instagram.com
illustrate	16 127:15,23	112:20	infringed	82:12
180:13,17 181:1,	133:14 138:4	including 00:40	150:13	inetence 47.40
11 182:10 183:2	169:8,25 170:4 193:14 201:7	including 66:13 197:20 203:20	input 76:12	instance 17:18
199:7	206:11 212:24	212:25	107:10	21:17 22:9 30:8, 11,14 41:9 50:21
illustrates	213:20 214:1	212.25	inquired 99:15	51:1 63:9 106:5
177:3		incorporated	·	182:16 190:25
	imagine 219:5	198:6,10	insight 216:10	214:8,16 217:19
illustrative	immaterial	incorporates	inspired 213:10	
213:18,21	173:3	186:12	· -	instances 17:20
image 16:10,18,			Instagram 32:4	52:7 63:6 138:13
21,24,25 17:12	impact 11:15	incorrect 138:2	37:15,23 38:3,6,	141:21
18:16 20:18,21	138:8 172:13,18	independent	15,23,24 39:1,3,	instruct 12:18
31:22,24 35:22	implication	119:24 140:1	12 50:14,22	inaturated
37:19 38:17	119:25	indicating	51:2,7,22,23 52:8,15 54:6,12	instructed 61:22
39:2,17 48:12	implied 119:18	85:21	56:22 57:2 58:11	
52:15 58:22	136:8 146:11	00.21	63:7 64:10 71:2	instruction
77:10 84:12,18		indication	72:16 73:2,10,	109:17
85:4,11 86:2	implies 198:1	65:25 174:21	13,21 74:9,17	instructions
87:23 88:20	imply 119:20	indications	75:3,8,10 77:21	115:1,2,5
89:18 90:6,19		215:21	78:11 79:9,13	
91:2,10,24 92:2,	implying 219:18		81:5,20,24 82:6	intellectual
7 93:1,11 98:14, 18 119:11 120:7,	import 198:23	individual 113:8	83:24 91:12	29:1 59:16 106:9
22 121:24	important 10:23	189:15	92:8,19 93:3,9	108:7 203:21 204:8,14
123:11 124:8,9	11:16	industry 26:14	106:19 113:19	
126:4,6 131:7		28:10,13 33:20	114:20 115:13	intended 87:8,
132:6,17 134:3,4	imported	34:6,21 36:4,9	127:22,25 128:2	16
136:18,19 143:1,	115:12	45:12 56:15	129:3 132:18,25	intends 170:8
25 144:6,13,22,	imposed 193:23	108:1 110:17	133:13 134:8	
23 145:20	-	128:8 129:1	136:5,20 137:22 142:4,11,12,17,	intent 81:23
146:17,18,22	impression 204:17	135:9 145:15,23 149:14 180:1	21,24 143:15	intention 89:22
162:2,5,8	204:17	187:3 208:22	165:5,10 166:13	intentionally
166:24,25	in-house 105:3		186:24 193:8,18,	30:12
168:25 170:16	in-person	industry-	23 196:17,22	
173:23,24 177:3 182:4 183:7	47:21,25	standard 33:21	198:22,24 199:3,	interaction 9:22
186:7 187:23		34:6 77:4 81:21	14 201:7,17,21	58:24
201:16 202:3,8	inbox 42:8	industry-wide	202:3,7,11,17	interest 37:3
213:17,18,21,24	include 25:20,	205:9,10	203:2,7,13,23	internal 102:24
214:2,3,4,7,8,9,	22 55:13 102:5	inform 128:25	204:23 205:2,6,	IIILEITIAI 102.24
10	106:11 112:13	11101111 120.23	15,18 206:21,24	internally
images 12:10	114:22 118:19	informal 61:8	207:5,8 208:2,3,	142:25
images 13:10, 13,21,24 15:15,	132:9,25 133:12	information	12,18 209:2 210:6 212:24,25	interpose 12:17
22 16:4,8 18:21,	134:7 136:5,20	13:13,15 50:3,6	213:3 214:10	-
24 19:6,7,9,12	150:21 169:9	71:4 106:1 107:3		interpret
26:24 37:23	included 29:4	115:17 153:19	Instagram's	166:11,17 206:15
51:16 74:18	41:20 106:1	154:19 155:1,12	74:13 114:23	
76:22 77:5,7	134:5 136:4	195:12 196:18	123:16 128:4,6,	interpretation
79:21 87:8,20	146:19 156:2		20 129:16 130:7	166:11 167:16

Atkinson Baker, a Veritext Company www.depo.com Index: interpreted..magazine

195:15,16	issues 124:23	knowledge	led 106:25	Linkedin 72:16
205:14 206:9 208:23 216:4	150:23 151:6,13	29:18 36:5 44:25 57:4 101:25	left 44:5 45:2	Linking 87:9
	item 176:13	108:1,8,22	117:14	links 86:11 87:9
interpreted 167:14	178:5	116:13 117:22	legal 7:21 21:12	132:25 133:13
	iteration 100:12	119:1 121:12,14,	59:21 85:19	list 151:18 158:7
interpretive 208:15	101:25 102:5 104:7,10,18,23	17 130:8 142:3,5 143:6,9,13	86:24 89:12 90:4 107:10,15 109:1	
		153:20 154:18	110:13 118:9	listen 91:15 122:4 124:22
interprets 195:23	iterations 100:2	155:11 156:4	132:16 134:11,	148:24 171:8
		160:16 176:1,2	18 203:24	live 103:2 147:8
interviews 94:8 96:21 97:15	J	190:9,20 191:23 202:9 205:20	legalities 79:21	162:11 217:13
introduction	James 66:18,22		Leiann 30:7	local 27:4
37:12	67:7,15 68:5,8, 11,21 147:11	L	length 178:6	locate 43:8
involved 40:4,7,	148:6 175:9	lack 121:21	levels 150:17	location 12:13
9 44:1,12,23 45:4 51:15,18	216:9	122:10 133:24	liability 203:24	London 68:10
52:19 53:4 54:24	James's 66:20	146:25	library 102:24	71:22 117:7,18, 21
59:22 60:22 61:15 62:19	January 49:9,13 55:2 57:14,21	lake 176:18,24 177:3,11,13	103:3	long 5:22 6:2,13,
65:14 66:14 70:5	173:16,18,22	180:22 190:8	license 88:3 139:12 144:18	23 7:7 12:10
111:23 113:15	174:7	191:10 212:1,8	145:19 181:18	25:2 27:10 34:21
116:15 147:16 149:23 151:2,8	jargon 167:12	213:12	182:12 183:3,25	36:5 71:4 74:5 77:12 78:8
152:2,6,17,19,24	Jersey 5:1	language 11:1	184:1 207:16	178:4,16 180:1
155:9 156:12		74:8 89:9,10	218:4	218:16
159:18 160:6	job 13:21 52:16 150:2,20	94:7 96:5 100:7 114:3 169:15	licensed 147:7	longest 27:23
172:6 185:5 190:17 216:7		175:2 205:6	licenses 13:12	looked 64:14,17
involvement	joined 14:23	207:13	144:19	70:11 76:18
52:24 54:19	journalistic 172:17 219:4	late 27:18 74:20	licensing	115:25 116:9
116:19 151:24		law 12:11	143:25 145:24	143:24 166:13
152:4,8,12	JPEG 142:21	laws 117:25	Life 27:25	loop 109:9,10
involving 111:19	June 74:23	203:18	light 139:1	lot 27:11
irrespective	jury 11:15	lawsuit 51:14	limited 86:6	
126:18	K	153:14	116:20,21 203:20	M
Island 7:7		lawyer 9:2 47:9	link 31:25 35:20	Madam 10:20
	Katherine 40:14	89:3,8	37:19,25 38:4	35:4 134:12
isolate 22:25 23:7	Kaytmaz 30:7	lawyers 107:7	91:13 113:19	197:5
		lead 16:21 77:3	114:13,16,20	made 23:22 29:8
issue 58:19,20 63:5,21 94:14	key 16:10,13,16	166:5 214:3	115:6,16 118:20 132:9,18 134:5,7	82:2 89:14
126:4 149:9,11	keywords 42:13	leading 213:5	136:4,5,20,21	102:12 120:14 155:13 163:17
151:25 153:21 171:19 176:8,9	kind 26:23	lean 187:14	142:18 146:19,	170:18 219:20
185:24 196:13	knew 26:14	learn 64:12	21 169:1,10 199:14 201:17	magazine
197:14 198:7	knowing 158:12	179:22 184:11	212:25	13:10,11 18:5
210:2	220:2	leaving 44:7	linked 114:4	27:6,20,22 28:1 40:24 41:5,7,11,
				70.27 71.0,1,11,

Index: main..Newsweek

12 52:20,22	127:1	meaning 85:2	71:14	movie 16:17
53:15,17,23 156:22 178:21	matter 58:15	means 77:15	metadata	multiple 65:2
100.22 170.21	108:19 109:19	181:14,15	103:18	135:6 166:3
main 14:9 47:10	172:22	197:25	middle 74:20	201:14
maintain 102:23	matters 89:12	meant 95:11	mind 10:9 189:4	murderer 157:6
210:10	107:15 109:1	157:25	215:7	murdering
majority 69:6	Mcgucken	measurements		43:17 156:22
make 10:20	20:14 22:6 23:2	12:2	minor 104:12	mutad 045:0
11:5,10,11	39:23 44:8 48:7	medal 18:17,21	minus 64:9	muted 215:2
12:14,23 13:12,	49:4,7,10 50:1,	140:8	112:23 116:5	
15,17 20:24	10,25 54:5 55:3	media 15:25	minute 210:23	N
21:10,14 28:23	56:12,22 57:2,20 58:8,11 63:21	16:4 19:17 20:6,	minutes 93:17	
58:2 93:1 102:15	64:8 66:2 110:24	19 21:20 22:1,6,	185:15	names 25:8 65:8 99:24
150:3 162:15 164:7 181:17	112:25 118:23	14 23:4,18 25:24		
199:16 219:23	119:5 120:3,9	26:12,17 28:8	Mischaracteriz es 35:2 78:14	naming 211:8
220:13,21,22	121:9 122:8	30:14 31:4 33:9,		Nancy 24:17
makes 116:17	130:20,24 131:23,25	14 37:15 41:15 42:10 56:13 57:3	missed 214:18	30:5,6 48:22
185:5	133:17,21	58:13,21 63:8	misspellings	65:14,17,21
	140:24 146:4	78:8,17 79:21	13:18	68:21
making 194:1,	147:1 151:24	80:10,21 81:3,12	Mixed 7:10	narrows 97:8
	154:4,8,12,16,21	82:4,15 84:3		Nassau 7:6
manage 165:14	155:3,14,23	85:22 88:23	mode 217:10	nature 12:2
198:23	156:10 162:10 163:13 166:8,17	90:11 94:2 97:24 98:2,5,16 99:15,	model 139:14	
manager 28:22	168:3,9 175:25	19 100:3 106:2	modified 186:19	necessarily
manages	176:4,8 185:24	108:7 117:10	moment 31:8	103:2
165:16,24	186:3,12,14	118:7 125:10	46:22,25 81:15	needed 26:16
Manifest 6:8 7:1	188:23 196:13	138:15 141:6,7,	82:10 99:9 104:2	63:19 69:24
	199:10 201:11 202:18 206:5	23 158:4,9	111:4 139:1	95:18 98:14 207:9
manipulate 178:3	207:23 208:5	159:15,22 206:18,23 207:4	164:6 167:9	
	210:2,11 216:21	213:19,20	187:16 209:16 210:19	nervous 24:20
manner 37:14	217:15 218:5,19,	meeting 8:21		Netflix 15:16,19
39:2	24	107:8,11	Monday 67:8	news 13:10
March 5:1	Mcgucken's	,	month 8:5 9:19	16:17 19:6
mark 110:24	21:18 111:19	member 17:22	months 17:10	170:15 180:3
161:22 174:11	132:13 134:17,	members 54:11	72:24 73:3	188:4
marked 37:6	25 135:12,18,22 163:8 171:20	97:23 159:14,23	155:19	newspaper
46:17,19 75:16	174:3,6 180:12,	mentioned	morning 64:18,	27:4
103:23 111:1	25 182:6 186:24	24:13 38:13	21 76:19	newsroom
161:24 164:3	190:3 194:7,13	139:18 156:25	mouth 131:12,	69:25
173:9 174:18	196:11 197:12	mentions	21 172:21	Newsweek
175:13 184:22 192:2 211:18	198:19 199:4,23	167:10	179:16 191:18	5:18,23 6:2,5,9
	200:17 201:1,19 204:7 205:7	message 69:1	195:24 196:9	8:11,20 9:23
mass 158:7	204.7 205.7 208:13 209:4,12	119:14,16 167:9,	move 83:19 93:6	12:7 13:7 14:4,
material 55:8,	212:21 216:14,	16 175:24 176:3	120:3 181:25	22 15:5,9 16:22
11,13 59:15	23 218:13	197:10		17:1,4,5,19,21,
106:2 113:7		messages	moved 27:5 181:22	24 18:2,8,9 19:2, 14,16,17 20:7,20
122:23 125:6			101.22	17,10,11 20.1,20
	'	,		'

Atkinson Baker, a Veritext Company www.depo.com Index: Newsweek's..obtained

21:17,19 22:1,4, 15 23:3,16 26:3, 13,17 27:9 28:9, 16 29:21 30:10, 15,17 31:5 32:11,15,21,25 33:13 34:22 37:22 38:9,14 40:6,20 42:8,14 43:25 44:4,11, 16,20,22 47:4 49:3,6,9,25 50:13,21 51:1,6 52:11,17 54:11, 13 56:21 57:1,7, 20 58:21 59:2, 14,16 60:1,20 61:8,23 62:2 68:13 69:6,12 70:2,10,15,19,21 71:9,13,21 75:15 76:12 77:17,18, 23 78:10 79:1,12 82:11,15 85:3
88:24 91:4 94:24
95:17 97:22
102:19,23 103:5, 6,12,17,22 105:4 108:6,18 109:18 113:1 114:17 115:5,7 116:24 117:24 118:14, 21 119:5 120:10, 16,17,25 121:8, 15,19 122:9,15, 17 123:7 125:2, 23 126:9 127:9 128:10,22 129:15 130:6,13, 19 131:2,22 132:2,12 133:17, 21 134:17,24 135:13,17,21 137:11,13,21 139:11,16,25 140:10,21,23 141:5,11,18
143:16 144:19 145:1,6 146:6,11 148:8 149:3 150:2,20 151:7, 12 158:21 159:2, 7,13,21 160:21, 25 161:16,23

163:7 164:2 165:3,6,9,12 166:21 167:23 168:8,17 169:21 170:8 172:1,4 173:8 174:6,16, 24 175:12,24 176:3 177:23 180:10.12 182:15 183:1,17, 22 184:3,4,12 186:1,10,23 190:2 191:19,25 194:7,12,16,21 195:7,9,19,22,25 196:10,23 197:11 198:7,16 199:3,11,24 201:10 202:6,10, 17 203:1,11 204:2 205:16,17 206:4,18 207:22 208:5.11 209:18 210:10 211:16 212:16 213:17 216:13 218:8,12, 18 219:14

Newsweek's

33:9 39:4,13 46:11,14 51:23 52:6 53:22 59:19 61:18 66:2 68:9 71:18 78:7,21 81:23 84:2 111:24 114:5,10 118:7 122:23 123:5,18 124:3 125:5,10 126:2, 25 127:18 130:10 132:20 136:12,22 137:10,21 144:8, 17 149:16 168:1, 16 169:19 170:7, 21 171:12 174:7 183:11 184:20 189:8 194:6 195:6,13 196:21 204:6

Newsweek.com 45:23 46:6 50:11 52:9,18,25 53:6,

9,13 54:1,5,16

78:9 79:2 82:5,7, 14 83:23 84:1 90:25 91:1,19 92:2,14,15,18 146:22 147:8,22 148:1,11 149:5 151:14 152:10 155:15,24 201:19

Newsweek. com. 20:23 21:9 185:25

newsworthines s 35:19,25 36:11, 24

newsworthy 36:6 77:25 78:3, 4,9 82:18 84:11, 17 123:24 126:6 178:17,22 179:1, 3,12,19,23 180:6

nods 11:3 **note** 79:20

204:21 **notes** 209:17

210:23 **notice** 37:8 45:8

notice 37:8 45:8 46:18 58:22 62:2 169:18,20,21 170:6,7,13,14, 17,24 171:15 172:15

notices 59:20 60:3 61:8,19,24

notwithstandin

g 121:3,21 122:9, 24 131:2

November 8:6 49:3 58:8 111:10 153:17 155:18

nuance 133:12

number 12:7 20:6 62:20 87:22 88:2,5 212:17,18 216:17

numbered 100:11

numerous 141:11

0

oath 89:16 93:24 99:7 139:9 185:21

object 33:17,24 34:10 37:16 54:8 60:21 62:9 64:23 213:4

objection 21:2, 11,22 22:8,17 23:5,20 35:1,15, 18 36:7,13 45:17 46:9 49:22 51:9 52:1,10 53:10,18 57:16,22 58:14 61:10 62:14 63:2 67:24 78:13 79:16 80:12,17, 22 81:7 82:1,8, 17,22,25 83:4 84:4,21 85:19,24 86:23 87:14 89:2,25 91:6,22 92:5,20 93:4,10 95:20,25 96:6,18 97:10 99:21 103:14 105:15 107:12 108:11 109:4,20,24 110:11 113:10 114:7,12,19 115:8,14,18 117:11 118:3,17, 25 119:8 120:1, 5,12,20 121:5, 11,22 122:12,18 123:1,9,21 124:6,15,24 125:7,18,25 126:5,13,20 127:4,11,14,21 128:12 129:4,13, 23 131:5,11 132:4,15,24 133:5,11 134:1, 10,18 135:1,5, 14,19,25 136:16,

24 137:4,16,25

138:10 140:3,25

141:8,13 142:23 143:14 144:20 145:3,13 146:1, 7,13 147:9,15 148:3,12,18 149:1,7,12,22 150:14,24 151:15 152:15 154:22 155:5,16, 25 156:6 158:11, 23 159:4,10,16, 24 160:13.18 161:19 163:10, 23 167:18 168:5. 13,20,23 169:6, 24 170:11,25 171:16 176:22 177:2,7,16,25 178:12,18 179:6, 14,24 180:7,15 181:3,19 182:2, 9,13,19 183:15, 20 184:6.16 186:4,15,20 187:5,12,19 188:9,25 189:5, 12 190:16,23 191:4,22 192:19 194:14 195:10, 14,20 196:4,14 197:2,15 198:8 199:6,12,25 200:5,19 201:4, 12,22 202:1,20 203:5 204:4.9.16 205:8,13,19 206:8 207:6,25 208:8.14.21 209:6,13 216:2,3 219:22

objections 12:18,21

obligations 13:8

obtain 9:2 15:11 119:6 126:3 145:18,19 162:7 181:12 197:19 199:22 201:20, 24 203:2 207:23

obtained 127:10 218:4

Atkinson Baker, a Veritext Company www.depo.com Index: obtaining..photograph

obtaining 33:23 127:2 181:16	oranges 188:10 189:2	3,6 95:11 96:14 97:11,17 100:4,7	penalized 159:13	perpetuity 140:16
183:13 207:14	order 129:17	102:5,7 104:12, 15,21 193:10,20	people 8:13,15,	person 8:7,8
obtains 139:11	ordering 220:19	194:8,12,17,20	16 24:3 44:2	16:7 18:20 30:7
occasion 9:1 70:25 117:7	organization	195:7 196:1 197:18 198:15, 17 200:2 201:3	48:17,21 62:6 65:2 100:13 108:25 117:16	38:16,23 39:16, 19 44:18 65:4 66:14,17 98:14,
occasionally 53:2 60:8 97:25 98:7	organizations 214:22	202:19,25 203:15 205:22	129:1 138:22 150:16 151:16 158:24 159:5	25 99:18 107:14 145:21 147:24 158:1,6 203:20
occurrence 179:20	original 114:5 219:6	207:11,24 208:6 paragraphs 100:11 177:12	166:3 179:9,11 203:23	person's 99:5, 14 197:19
occurring 59:9	originally 77:16 184:10	212:13	percent 19:12	personal 9:2
October 40:3 47:15 48:1,5	originated	Parenting 28:1	percentage 19:1	26:18 35:11,12 61:2 73:21 76:9
57:14,21 58:8	38:15	part 13:20 48:14 52:16 53:3 105:3	perception	87:2 107:4 108:8,21 109:15,
offer 140:23	outcome 204:11	159:2 180:16	217:8	17 110:8,10
144:13	outreach 202:16	184:8 190:4,22	perfectly 189:20	116:13 142:18 156:4 162:22
offered 105:11 offhand 207:2	outset 195:18	parties 127:1 139:20	performed 219:14,17	165:5
office 68:9,10	owned 74:18	partitioned	period 220:25	personality 203:22
71:22,23 117:7,	204:22	117:19 party 31:18	periodically 26:16	personally 17:3
officer 25:13	owner 183:3 195:3	164:14 220:14	perjurious	38:7,8 115:3 138:17 161:20
offices 8:10	owners 193:25	passed 29:6	219:21	pertains 156:10
117:21 118:13 166:3	owners' 194:2, 23 196:25	past 35:8,21 43:10 59:7 62:21 68:15 69:21	permission 20:19 31:17 32:18,25 76:23	phone 47:20 48:3 65:19 67:5,
official 165:6	ownership	72:19 86:21	77:6 85:3 89:17	16
one's 54:15	184:15 owns 103:6,12	156:18 161:17 192:24	90:8,20 94:10 95:14,18 98:20,	photo 6:22 7:2, 15,20,23 13:16
one-time 88:3		paste 113:20	22 118:19 120:6, 7,23 121:20,23	26:15 28:6 35:13 36:9,15,20,21
184:9	Р	pasted 38:4	123:12 126:15	37:14,22 42:15
online 8:7 63:25 64:1 140:17	p.m. 5:1 93:21	pattern 51:6	127:10,22 131:6 132:5 133:1,3,14	53:19 64:2,3,5,7 69:12 70:11 76:2
155:20 210:3	package 29:4	pause 164:9 175:1 176:10	134:2 136:2,4, 10,11,19 144:5,	97:18,23 117:3 156:2 178:21
open 90:14,18	paid 71:10 172:4,8 181:13	210:24	13,22 146:16,19 166:24,25 167:3	184:3 213:2
opens 90:8 opining 81:8	182:16	pay 139:12 140:10 182:11	168:24 169:1,8,	photograph 16:23 17:19,21
opportunity	paid-for 217:1	183:2	9,25 170:1,4,17, 19 181:21	20:13 21:18,19, 25 22:5,6,13
11:9 141:3	paparazzi 188:8,24 189:9,	paying 140:1	193:15 197:25 198:2,25 199:23	23:3 33:22 34:7,
164:10 opposed 11:2	13	payment 140:14 181:18	200:3,9 201:20,	8,22,23 35:13 36:5 38:14,22
19:3 21:7 88:25 91:20 190:4	paragraph 76:21 84:25 88:6,7,9,14 94:2,	penalize 160:8	24 203:3 208:17 permissions 100:14	39:3,19 54:13 55:3 64:8,13,20 65:1 70:2,3

Index: photograph's..pretty

77:22 78:11	189:14,25	86:10 100:21	plural 177:18	posting 17:5
79:13 82:12	191:10 196:19	101:18 103:4,5	point 41:22	26:24 38:11 46:2
83:24 91:18	212:21 213:16	139:16 142:4	1 -	147:16 152:2,5,
92:15,16,22,24	215:20 218:1	193:24	47:10 63:22,23	17 212:24
93:8 111:24			84:25 86:8 93:20	4
112:14,25	photographer's	phrase 179:22	102:8,12,21	posts 183:23
114:10,16 115:6,	90:8 123:12	physical 41:12	117:15 139:5	209:19,20
12 118:15	124:1 150:4	52:21 85:11	160:12 167:10	potential 88:22
132:13,22	170:23 176:19		177:21 185:17	214:25
i i	177:13 178:15	87:20 88:20	203:1 207:18	214:25
134:17,25	190:7 191:1	physically 87:9	212:10	potentially
135:12,18,22	212:8,14 213:11			49:20 219:19
136:22 137:12,		picked 18:23	points 12:16	
15,22 139:19,20	photographers	picture 34:15	107:22	PR 15:21,22 16:4
140:24 142:16,	17:25 18:5,6	181:11	policies 57:24	practice 45:18
17 144:19	19:10 74:16 75:9		66:2 82:9 118:7	51:6 59:20
155:24 156:5	120:16 122:16	pictures 69:23	128:1,3,4,6,20,	114:24 120:15
162:11 163:8,14	123:6 138:14	189:15	23 132:20	122:14,23 123:5,
167:23 171:20	139:17 140:2	niono 16,00 96,0	25 152.20	19 124:3 125:5
174:3,6 176:21	143:18 165:4	piece 16:22 86:9	policy 23:17,23,	'' '- '' '- ''
177:1,6,15,24	168:17	173:3 177:10	24,25 32:24	126:2,8,9,25
178:3 179:19	nhotographoro'	181:6,12 213:9,	51:10 58:5 60:1	133:4 144:8,17
180:5,13,25	photographers'	10,14 216:18	61:13,14,17	145:5,14,23
181:10 182:10	75:2 136:13	218:8	81:19 117:24	168:16,22
183:1 186:3,12,	photographing	pitch 18:22,23	123:10 127:18	169:19 170:7,22
14 187:2,4,11,18	18:20	•	128:7,9,11	171:12 183:11
188:5,7,22,24		pitched 174:24	130:1,10 132:10	218:16 219:5,10
189:10,21,23	photographs	175:5	137:21 145:1,5,	practices 44:24
190:3,5,14,21	15:12,19 17:2,6	pitching 71:18	6,11,12 194:8	132:20 137:10
196:13 197:13	19:1 20:6 35:7,			149:24
198:6 199:10	10 39:12 69:22	place 23:16	popular 214:12	
200:17 201:1,11	79:4 80:9 81:24	51:14 64:5	portion 113:15	preference
202:18 204:7	103:6,12 139:12,	105:4,14 106:22	portion 110.10	63:12,13 211:6
205:7 206:6	13 177:18	147:6 150:12	position 6:21	preliminary
210:3,12 216:14,	183:14,23,25	192:17 210:12	77:9 78:7 81:12	80:8
21,23 217:15	184:5,13 189:9		95:2 117:2	00.0
	photography	placement	126:17,23	preparation
photograph's	5:17 6:1,3 7:11,	54:20	136:13 146:10	65:13 67:23 72:4
34:24	14 13:7 25:21	places 184:7	147:3 189:8	
photographer	40:23 53:9,12,		195:6,13 196:21	preparations
18:3,8,18 19:4,5		placing 40:23	204:13	67:20
70:1 119:15	14,22 64:12	65:1		prepare 47:7
	69:12,13,14	plans 168:17	possibly 183:8	72:13
120:17,18,25	102:24 111:19	169:22	post 22:24 34:7	
121:2,13,14,17	122:9,10 123:8,		38:18 41:6 49:4	prepared 45:9
122:19 123:17,	19 130:21 131:4,	platform 71:1	57:14 58:19	109:16
22 124:4,7	24 132:3,21	74:16 114:18	82:6,14 88:2	presented
125:3,4,15,22	147:6 153:8	115:7 143:8	118:15 152:22	168:15 185:9
126:11 130:11	168:12,18	198:22 199:4	213:19 217:9	
132:8,22 136:15	169:22 170:9,23	209:8,9,15		Press 88:9
137:3 144:18	171:13 172:11	platforms	posted 35:14	presume 70:6
145:24 170:24	183:12 188:14		38:24 52:17,25	presume 70.0
171.11	404 =	1 1111111111111111111111		
171:14,15	194:7	202:13 203:4	54:1,3 59:15	pretty 31:14
172:15,16		202:13 203:4 player 188:8	87:20 152:10	pretty 31:14 65:7 144:4
172:15,16 181:17 182:8,17	photos 13:14	player 188:8	87:20 152:10 155:21 183:18	
172:15,16			87:20 152:10	65:7 144:4

Index: previous..reaching

previous 67:16	produce 42:22	public 20:22	put 37:5,7 46:17	137:17 149:24
88:13 156:19	178:7	44:21 45:14	48:16,19 71:25	151:18 210:15
213:23			80:1 103:21	214:25 215:1,13
	produced	public's 77:22	110:22 131:20	220:5
previously	161:18	publication	157:2 161:21	
107:13	producing	45:13,15,16 77:5	172:21 184:18	quick 93:15
primarily 10:19	113:5	111:8,18 152:13	191:18,24	quote 77:5
primarily 10.19	113.3	176:8 202:12	195:24 215:3	89:17 90:18
primary 53:23	professional		193.24 213.3	
	26:19 188:24	204:7 218:4	putting 41:5	94:7,17 95:13
print 40:24		publications	131:12 179:15	194:21 196:25
53:23 140:18,19	professionals	28:3 36:17	196:8 206:10	203:17 205:10
prior 6:4,9,17	149:14		215:7	213:15 218:17,
9:21 18:24	proficient 211:3	publish 18:21		19 219:6
102:19 104:14	-	39:14 58:22		quote/unquote
171:15 198:24	program 69:15	85:4,8,13,18,23	Q	173:23
199:23 201:20	70:10 71:18	87:11 88:22		
199.23 201.20	113:25 114:17	89:18 130:14	question 11:17,	quotes 167:8
privacy 203:22	programatic	131:15 147:21	18,21,23 19:15	218:13,24 219:2
-	programmatic	148:1 155:24	21:8,16 29:24	quoting 040:44
problem 10:13	54:22	183:1,9 190:3	34:2 45:21 46:4	quoting 212:14
150:7	project 18:9,13	203:3 209:4	48:13 51:25	
problematic			70:20 80:23	R
76:16	promote 203:19	published 17:1,	83:15,18 84:5	
	proper 13:16	21 40:5,8 131:3	90:24 91:15,16	DICE 5:40.40
problems 90:9,	181:14 220:13	132:2 147:8	92:10,11,13	R-I-C-E 5:12,13
15,18	101.14 220.13	148:10 149:5		ramifications
procedure	properly 35:20	163:8 190:22	98:5,11,13	118:9
procedure	147:6,7	200:17 201:1	102:16 108:4,14	
61:15		nublishes 02.05	109:11 110:7	ran 41:4,5
proceeding	property 29:2	publishes 83:25	115:20 118:5	156:21 216:13
93:20 139:5	59:16 106:9	publishing 17:5	120:2 121:7	random 34:16
185:17	108:7 203:21	86:6,15,22	122:1,3,4,5	189:15 199:8
	204:8,15	155:14 178:2	124:12,21,22	
proceedings	proprietary	183:12 194:13	126:22 128:17	200:10,11,12
10:18 12:17 15:2	103:5		129:7 130:18	range 28:3
68:23 164:9	103.3	pull 10:11 37:22	131:15,18 133:6,	
175:1 176:10	provide 12:22	39:12 78:10	8 134:21 137:6	Re-download
210:24	16:10 58:16 76:3	119:21 185:2	140:22 143:11	88:4
	89:11 121:4	nulled 40:47	147:11 148:6,24,	reach 48:25
process 38:1,13	132:1,14 169:20	pulled 19:17	25 151:21	121:13 122:15
60:4,5,7,10 61:7,	170:7,14 195:16	20:7 21:25 22:5,	152:11,16	123:5 141:5
18,23 62:10,13,	203:18 216:10	14 23:4 37:14	166:14 168:7,15	165:4,13 182:7
15,16,19 79:2		pulling 13:10,21	169:11 170:6	208:5 218:24
97:5 113:1,18	provided 17:19	15:15,18,20	171:7,8,9 180:11	200.0 210.24
116:13 123:20	28:22 41:25	21:19 23:17 37:9	192:20 201:14	reached 119:1,
125:6 127:3	101:4 105:24		202:5,24 209:18	5,9 120:25
130:15 143:2	116:7 153:24	purely 219:9	211:1 212:1	130:11,20
148:14 149:21	154:14 157:13,	purpose 9:2	211.1212.1	132:21 133:17
151:2,9 152:25	17 164:17	77:11	questioned	136:14 137:2,13,
154:24 155:2,9	175:22 195:9	11.11	81:18	23 138:6 196:2
156:8,10 157:12	196:18 215:13	purposes 146:6	questions 40.4	203:2 218:19
168:3 177:8		180:18 213:21	questions 12:4	
181:5 218:6	providing 120:9		15:4 21:5 37:11	reaches 120:17
	126:11 162:5	purview 114:8	45:10 56:9 66:15	125:2
processes	166:8	160:14	97:25 117:17	reaching 141:23
147:5 148:5			134:6 136:2	reacility 141.23

Index: read..reporter

142:1 89:7 94:11 98:23 record 5:10 regard 26:8 207:13 212 read 52:11 99:1,2,8,9,13,16, 18 100:9,16 10:19 12:19 81:17 106:15 relieve 210 72:15,17 73:23 102:14 104:21 124:23 128:14 160:17 160:17 75:11 83:15,18 87:12 90:25 91:2 115:2 128:7,21 6 117:14 138:17 210:17 220:9 regular 91:1 183:12,13	
read 52:11 72:15,17 73:23 18 100:9,16 75:11 83:15,18 102:14 104:21 87:12 90:25 91:2 105:13,25 106:4, 6 117:14 138:17 15:2 128:7 21 6 117:14 138:17 18 100:9,16 48:23 93:16,23 128:14 160:17 124:23 128:14 160:17 160:17 130:3 185:15,20 210:17 220:9 regular 91:1 183:12,13	0:15
72:15,17 73:23 75:11 83:15,18 87:12 90:25 91:2 115:2 128:7 21 118 100:9,16 102:14 104:21 105:13,25 106:4, 6 117:14 138:17 124:23 128:14 130:3 185:15,20 210:17 220:9 143:13 145:7 160:17 160:17 183:12,13	U. IU
75:11 83:15,18 87:12 90:25 91:2 115:2 128:7 21 6 117:14 138:17 102:14 104:21 105:13,25 106:4, 6 117:14 138:17 105:13,25 106:4, 130:3 185:15,20 210:17 220:9 regular 91:1 183:12,13	
87:12 90:25 91:2 6 117:14 138:17 210:17 220:9 regular 91:1 rely 149:18	
87:12 90:25 91:2 6 117:14 138:17 210:17 220:9 regular 91:1 183:12,13	9
115.7 178.7 71	
1 139.25 140.6 13 redulariv 53.25	
129:22 130:1 143:4 144:2 records /8:21 90:25 128:25 relying 11	6:12
158:9,13 164:8 156:15 157:5 8 154:2 168:1 141:5 remained	210:5
1/6:14,15 162:14 166:1 2 216:6	
192:12 193:3,21 171:23 25 red 11:13 regulations remains 7	7:15
197:18 200:2 185:11 13 192:9 203:18 remember	
203:16 204:20 197:10 198:5 redirect 215:1 relate 34:18 63:7 24:25 24:2	
206:21 207:13 207:1 2 3 214:11 refer 20:20 127:15 154:11 50:9 09:12	
220:11 217:13 134:11 39:6 96:12 20:19 121:25 19:	
readers 46:2 88:10 140:13	
receipt 220:24 related 15:17 remember	ing
reading 74:1,8, receive 32:22 29:1 43:11 55:7 99:25	
13,15 96:4 128:9 33:14 60:14 reference 13:18 97:13 109:18 removal 4	14.2
129:16 192:10 127:20 132:23 16:16,20 32:6,9 181:6 131:0 131:0	
real 175:11 133:3 166:7 12 86:2 88:10,15 relates 23:21 remove 48	8:6,8
96:19 116:8 53:6 05:0 07:4 49:4,7,10 0	50:10
received 26:8 182:3 191:5,7,9 117:25 55:2 58:3,7	7
realize 202:23 126:19 130:13 206:10 207:20 62:25 100:	:3
reason 12:25 157:16 158:3 208:1 relating 7:13 101:6	
58:24 100:23 214:15 referenced 33:8 36:17 42:9, removed	50.2
123:25 180:25 receives 28:24 144:1 191:11 23:43:13 55:17 59:3 63:4,	
181.7 208.6 62.2 5 217.25 220.1 78:7 104:16 21.24 64.6	
108:6,18 118:1	
reasoning receiving 10.10, references 122.0 153.20	
49:15,24 57:18 20 122.7 170:18 154:3,7,19 210:6 217:	
recall 9:11 17 25 recent 18:16 referencing 130:1,13 130:10	
15:14 17:9 14 140:7 27:1 84:12 18 157:1 161:1 removing	
18 20 18:7 12 104:11 176:9 197:13 75:10 173:	:23
21:10 22:4 22 23 recently 192:18, 202:7,11 repeat 92:	11
23:2 8 10 13 22 referring 9:8 relation 42:4 6 126:21 126	
25:14 23 26:12 recess 93:21 15:3,5 20:21 20 43:16 55:23 200:23	1.10
57 10 29:14 139:6 185:18 52:21 64:8 88:1, 72:9 79:9 84:11	
30:2 11 14 19 22 25 89:18 90:5 87:19 95:6 21 rephrase	
31.4 7 9 33.6 10 recipient 42:10 95:3 96:1 101:8, 126:7 153:25 52:4 56:24	
11,18 36:23,25 43:4 140:9 12 173:24,25 154:5 178:24 83:20,21 8	
39:10 40:1,12,14 recirculated 191:8 201:8 90:13 128:	
41:8,10 43:13 29:8 212:16 relationship 134:22,23	
47:24 48:3 49:23 recognize 75:23 reflect 28:13 44:11,16 192:20	
50:21,24 51:1,12 Tecognize 75:25 81:4,12 103:12 relationships replaced 6	64:2,3,
32.12,14 33.19	:8,9
59:11 65:9 66:3 211:22 130:4 relayed 50:4 report 160	١٠5
06.17,19,24 reflects 78:22 62:6	
72:20,22 73:1,0, recollection 187:18 reporter 1	
7,10,17 74:1,4,6, 22:11,19 40:17 release 143:17, 12:20 17:1	
8,10,12,15,21 75:7 104:15 refresh 40:16 19 19:10 35:4	
76:11,14 78:24, 119:24 138:19 104:14 212:5 relevant 7:22 45:14 83:1	
25 79.6,12,19 212:5 213:2 213:1 216:12 71:15 138:12 134:13,14	
85:16,21 87:3 216:12 71.13 136.12 201:23 204:20 139:18,21,	,23

Index: reporters..service

197:5,6 210:16	requires 11:1	195:1,8 196:2,	rule 14:25	104:3 164:7
220:8,10,15	145:24 195:7	12,22 197:1,13	ruled 80:8	167:8 174:22 192:5 212:11
reporters 13:15	research 13:23	result 32:25 49:19	rules 10:15	216:16,20
reporting	reserved 205:2		160:6 196:16	search 42:18,19
159:18	respect 124:1	resulted 49:20	ruling 80:16,18,	43:2,6 71:1
represent 205:23	respective	review 11:9,10 24:4 52:17 53:25	23	123:6
	193:25	72:3,7,12 110:14	rulings 81:2,8	searched 42:12
represented 9:4	respond 55:9	148:19 149:4	run 24:2 54:16	searching
representing	61:24 110:2,4 119:3 120:9	150:3 151:12 164:11 167:25	57:14,20 172:1	71:14
9:23	121:18 122:22	192:17 206:23	running 13:11	section 73:24 203:16 204:20
request 16:7,9	124:11 125:5,16, 22 126:11	reviewed 41:23	s	secure 206:5
32:15,21 38:17	130:25 131:3,25	42:1 46:13 52:6		
48:6,8 49:16 85:3 89:17	133:23 136:3,7	72:8,19,21 75:7 153:18 157:14	S-L-A-C-K	secured 205:24
119:16 120:6	138:7 144:22 145:21 166:18	176:11 184:25	69:10	seek 107:10 133:1,2 169:20
121:1 124:4 125:3,15,16,22	responded	185:7 192:22,24 220:21	sale 54:20	seeking 127:1
130:11,20	141:3 219:1	reviewing 73:1,	San 213:9,13	142:2 144:13
131:23 132:7	responding	10,20 148:8	218:1	selected 213:3
136:7 145:20 160:1 166:18	59:20 60:2 61:19	150:15,21	Sara 211:2	seminar 105:4,
167:4,13 168:9,	124:19 125:19 146:10	185:11 205:6	sat 128:23	6,10 106:1,8,11
24 169:1,7,9,25 170:1	responds 61:8	revision 11:11 102:11,15	save 90:3	send 16:8 24:2,
requested	response 12:4,	revisions 11:10	scope 45:8	10,15 39:16 55:11 100:13
28:23 31:18,20,	22 35:4 59:3	31:10 102:8	103:15 159:25	111:14 142:4
21 49:14 132:5	65:24 120:13 121:21 122:7,10,	220:22,23,24	screen 37:7 88:19 129:6	143:1 163:18
				000.40
168:7 219:2 220:10	25 123:2 124:19	Rice 5:9,11	175:16,18,21	220:12
220:10	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25	93:23 173:22		sending 39:19
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17	93:23 173:22 215:12	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap	sending 39:19 sense 11:5
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25	93:23 173:22	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18	sending 39:19
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15,	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8 121:23 126:15	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility 149:4	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23 role 7:22 14:4	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated 45:15
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25 119:21 171:21 176:8 screenshots	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8 121:23 126:15 131:6 144:12,14	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility 149:4 responsible 29:5 65:1 113:8 162:4 194:1,22	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23 role 7:22 14:4 25:17 37:21	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25 119:21 171:21 176:8 screenshots 94:15 95:4,7,9	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated 45:15 separately 168:8
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8 121:23 126:15 131:6 144:12,14 166:24 198:4 200:1,3 requirements	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility 149:4 responsible 29:5 65:1 113:8 162:4 194:1,22 207:14	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23 role 7:22 14:4 25:17 37:21 53:5,16 68:12,13	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25 119:21 171:21 176:8 screenshots	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated 45:15 separately 168:8 separation 44:4
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8 121:23 126:15 131:6 144:12,14 166:24 198:4 200:1,3 requirements 193:22 194:2,23	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility 149:4 responsible 29:5 65:1 113:8 162:4 194:1,22 207:14 responsive	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23 role 7:22 14:4 25:17 37:21 53:5,16 68:12,13 147:14	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screencaps 104:16 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25 119:21 171:21 176:8 screenshots 94:15 95:4,7,9 96:2,14 97:9,12	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated 45:15 separately 168:8
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8 121:23 126:15 131:6 144:12,14 166:24 198:4 200:1,3 requirements	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility 149:4 responsible 29:5 65:1 113:8 162:4 194:1,22 207:14 responsive 122:3 171:7	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23 role 7:22 14:4 25:17 37:21 53:5,16 68:12,13 147:14 room 8:16 9:7	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25 119:21 171:21 176:8 screenshots 94:15 95:4,7,9 96:2,14 97:9,12 102:17 112:20 116:7 scroll 46:23	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated 45:15 separately 168:8 separation 44:4 service 72:15 73:2,11 74:9 193:8 204:18
220:10 requesting 13:24 76:22 77:6 100:13 121:9 144:7 175:25 requests 32:25 60:15 144:21 require 127:22 required 8:20 32:15 120:8 121:23 126:15 131:6 144:12,14 166:24 198:4 200:1,3 requirements 193:22 194:2,23 195:4 196:11,16	25 123:2 124:19 132:8 133:25 137:14 146:17 166:7,13,15 196:9 204:12 215:12 responses 11:3 responsibilities 150:21 responsibility 149:4 responsible 29:5 65:1 113:8 162:4 194:1,22 207:14 responsive	93:23 173:22 215:12 right-hand 162:23 rights 59:17 105:3 150:4 203:19,21,22 204:8,15 205:1, 24 206:5 207:15, 23 role 7:22 14:4 25:17 37:21 53:5,16 68:12,13 147:14 room 8:16 9:7 rough 112:16,18	175:16,18,21 211:1,16 215:4,8 screencap 162:15 163:18 screenshot 39:8,14 95:19,23 96:12 102:22 106:18 112:25 119:21 171:21 176:8 screenshots 94:15 95:4,7,9 96:2,14 97:9,12 102:17 112:20 116:7	sending 39:19 sense 11:5 12:14,23 20:24 21:10,14 180:21 185:5 sentence 94:16 95:9 96:16 separate 87:10 separated 45:15 separately 168:8 separation 44:4 service 72:15 73:2,11 74:9

Index: session..started

session 7:24	198:22 199:4	small 162:22	sourcing 16:4	57:17 148:16
8:1 11:8	200:10,20			
set 32:2 150:16	single 79:12	Smith 66:24	Spa 27:25	speculating 219:9
	99:18 157:25	social 19:17	speak 10:4 47:9,	
SFGATE 218:2,	158:5	20:6,19 21:20	12 59:22 65:12	speculation
14		22:1,6,14 23:4,	66:18 67:6 68:21	84:21 190:15
shakes 11:3	sit 17:17 20:4	17 25:24 26:12,	113:16 116:17	191:3 215:18
	22:3 23:1 30:13	17 28:8 30:14	119:22 138:22	speller 10:12
share 211:5	46:5 50:8,20	31:4 33:9,14	147:11,18 148:4	
shared 35:20	74:7 79:11 99:17	37:15 41:15 42:9	149:25 151:10,	spelling 10:9
117:12	119:23 154:25 158:2 159:20	56:13 57:3 58:12,20 63:8	16 154:23 156:7, 9,13 158:24	spellings 10:19
charing 044.45	185:4 190:19	78:8,17 79:21	159:5 160:7,9,15	anaka 0.40
sharing 211:15	197:9 204:15	80:10,21 81:3,12	161:14 181:4	spoke 9:12 43:21 99:14,19
shot 18:24 188:7	219:24	82:4,15 84:2		158:14
show 92:8		85:22 88:23	speaking 9:25	
113:12 143:1	site 15:21 19:2	90:11 94:2 97:24	15:8 83:8 219:10	spoken 43:18
	20:7 31:25 32:1	98:2,5,16 99:15,	speaks 61:18	154:15 158:25
showed 171:22	33:9 38:14,19	19 100:3 106:2	196:5	160:21 209:14
showing 187:16	39:4,13 48:13 52:6 53:6 63:8	108:7 117:10	specific 16:7	sport 189:16
	64:15,17,19 77:8	118:7 125:10	18:20 22:9,23	sporting 189:2,
shown 107:13 110:12	89:19,20 90:7	138:15 141:6,7,	23:7,9,12,13	sporting 189:2,
110.12	106:19 119:11	23 158:4,9	30:11 34:17 36:1	
shows 38:5 91:9	123:13 134:4	159:14,21	41:6,9 60:13	sports 188:8,13
112:15 113:13	136:22 138:16	206:18,23 207:4	69:13 72:6	spring 106:24
155:23 180:22	146:17,18 150:5	213:19,20	82:21,23 84:12,	
sic 114:22	169:5 172:12	society 37:3	18 86:16,18	spurred 102:14
130:14 150:6	183:19,24	sole 180:25	98:12,14 104:19	staff 8:20 13:10
side 112:17	186:23 194:10		106:4 143:11	17:6,22 18:6
172:7	196:16 201:6	solely 76:9	176:17 177:11	19:4,9 44:21
	206:11 217:10	193:25 194:21	178:24 183:5	139:22 159:14
sidetracked	sites 14:2 16:4	somebody's	184:9 190:7,25 191:1 193:1	165:3
146:9	sitting 129:10	137:12	206:20 212:7	stamp 184:19
sign 145:24		someone's	213:2,11 214:16,	_
220:11	situation 33:6	33:21	22	stamped 75:14
signed 73:13	38:21,25 63:1			stand 191:20
144:9 146:5	84:19	sort 118:23	specifically	standard 45:13
	situations	120:10 121:9	19:6 24:8 26:4	143:17 145:5,8,
signing 74:16	52:13 84:22	133:18 166:8	30:24 37:15 55:18 62:16 65:4	14 217:5 218:15
Silvers 166:4	125:2 127:17,18	181:18 196:22	74:6 86:1,11	219:10
Silvers' 165:19	136:14	sought 121:20	95:6 97:12	standards
Silvers 165:19	Slack 69:9,10,	122:24 127:10,	113:14 118:19	26:14 28:14
Sim 116:24	11,15 70:10,15,	19	138:23 147:18	56:15 128:8
Sim's 117:2	21 71:1,4,10,14,	sounds 40:18	148:14 150:22	129:1
	18,21 99:11	58:18 151:3	151:1,9,10 152:2	
similar 114:23	slightly 8:14	179:10 202:15	158:19 165:23	stands 157:4
simple 144:4,15	20:17 91:16 92:9	source 182:7	177:21 198:13	start 211:8
_		218:17	209:3,14	started 27:3
simply 12:19 48:11 122:3	slower 46:24		specifics 62:16	163:24 177:10
124:13 126:3	slowly 46:23	sources 13:24	66:12 178:9	213:9
155:1 171:6	164:7 216:15	15:14 218:11	speculate 49:24	
			Specialitie 43.24	

Index: starting..thought

starting 14:12	219:13,16,25	subordinates	169:15 181:1	208:16,20,23
starts 28:24	story 16:6,10,21	165:20	talking 10:2	214:13
212:12	17:19 22:21,22,	subscription	20:16 22:7	testified 5:5
212.12	23 23:2,3,7,8,9,	14:9 139:14	37:18,19 85:25	108:5,20 111:22
state 5:10 51:17	11,12 34:16,17,	181:13 183:13	87:15 89:6 98:25	130:19,23,25
171:11 195:4		101.13 103.13		
198:2	21 38:11 41:4,6,	substance	101:10 170:2	131:1,22,25
atatad 00:00	10 42:4,6 43:12,	18:13 43:14 56:4	talks 212:20	132:2 147:20
stated 30:20	15 48:14,18 52:15 53:3 64:9	67:18 144:3	tooked 440.0	191:17,19 192:21 210:1
61:25 88:13		156:17 215:23	tasked 148:8	
136:1,17 150:25	72:10 75:2 78:1		team 54:11	215:23 217:18
152:1 153:16	84:11 106:17	substantial	94:24 95:17	testifying 47:4
166:23 167:13	112:12 113:5,13,	11:12	97:22 106:8	122:2 195:18
169:7 170:12	21 116:3 126:7	substantive	108:18 109:18	tootimomy 40.0
189:20 193:6	140:15 143:25	11:12 100:16	110:13 159:23	testimony 13:2
209:7	144:6,24 149:8	102:11 104:23,	165:12,13,24,25	43:7 47:8 89:16
statement	150:7 151:2	25		95:8,16 125:21
95:24 144:4	152:3,5,7,9,18,		Teams 70:19	157:22 190:13
145:25 171:5	20,22,23 153:3,	sufficient	telephone	191:13,21
184:15,17 195:1	9,13,17 154:1,6	144:15,24	47:25 65:16 67:3	215:14,17 219:7
	155:10,17 156:1,	suite 28:3		text 69:1 176:12
statements	8,13,21 157:9		telling 98:23	T I (III oo to
219:20,23	176:14,16,17,20,	summer 18:11	124:19 128:1	That'll 66:18
stating 31:16	23 177:1,4,6,9,	162:19	151:4 167:15	thereof 146:25
•	10,12,14,21	suppose 9:7	172:22 195:23,	
stay 209:22	178:7 179:11	45:4 69:20 190:1	25	thing 87:6,10
steal 51:24	180:13,17,23		tells 122:19	125:20 152:21
	181:2 182:11	supposed	181:24	186:6
steps 194:16,18	183:9 184:10	117:16		things 12:2
196:10,24 206:4	186:8,16 190:18,	surprise 45:1	ten 93:17	13:18 15:17
207:22	22 191:6,11	64:11 184:14	tenet 77:4	20:17 26:23
stock 13:23,25	199:8 210:5		tolice 77.4	76:15 145:9,15,
14:2,7	211:25 213:16	surrounding	tenure 18:2	18 170:3 184:9
·	214:11,14	171:20	19:2,16,20 30:21	
stolen 51:22	218:11,13	sworn 5:5	31:3 60:20	thinking 89:7
75:3	219:14		116:23 141:11,	157:10 189:14
stone 145:17	street 189:14,22	system 60:23	18 182:18	215:20
	-t-: 400.04	102:25 207:9	term 16:13 36:24	third-parties
stopping 80:17	strike 126:24		42:19 43:3 71:2	144:9
storage 70:9	187:3 191:15	Т	214:3	
_	strong 123:24	· — — ·		third-party 19:3
stores 71:4	subject 16:10	takes 82:12	terms 31:9	31:11,13 32:16,
stories 13:11	108:19 109:18	83:24 125:23	45:19,22,23	18 33:1 63:8
22:21,24 51:16		187:23	46:7,10,13 72:15	103:13 118:2,10
54:3 69:23 70:6	166:10 198:6	107.23	73:2,10,24 74:2,	127:9,19 144:10
71:18 75:9 79:4	214:25	taking 38:22	9,13,14 75:8	148:9 167:17
87:10 113:16	subjective	51:14 77:12 80:9	77:24 123:16	172:12 181:17
127:16 133:13	187:6 189:6	81:5 95:22 96:2	129:16,18 130:7	182:17
147:17,21	cubiocte 454.47	102:16 106:18	132:16 140:14	thirteenth
148:20 149:20	subjects 151:17	189:14	183:11 184:20	104:11
150:15 172:18	sublicense	talk 20:14 86:11	185:7,12 188:6	
183:2 209:22	208:13 209:11	107:14	191:20 192:16,	thought 56:12
214:15,21	aubmitted 00:4	107.14	22 193:8,12,17	80:20 81:3,11
217:19,22	submitted 29:1	talked 115:22	194:10 204:18	89:13 108:16
,	139:19		206:19,20,21,24	154:23 155:9
	<u> </u>	I	I	I

Index: thousands..view

156:8 177:8	52:5 72:14 74:7	truth 89:23	37:13 44:5 45:2	uploading
181:5 190:12	79:11,25 81:22	Twitter 91:12	49:18 50:9	114:1 116:21
thousands 90:4	82:3,11 83:23		57:19,23 58:4,6	182:3
	99:17 111:19	type 12:21	60:18 63:20	uploads 207:9
thread 166:6	119:23 154:25	136:9,15 142:25	77:20 81:19	
TIFF 142:21	158:3 159:20	146:11 168:10	85:13 113:17	upper 162:23
	179:23 185:4	188:17 189:10,	114:15 115:4	URL 16:23
time 9:16 17:8	190:19 197:9	22	117:1 119:12	162:11 163:8,12
18:7 21:18 22:4	204:15 219:21,	typically 13:9,	136:6 141:22	·
25:1 28:10 29:6,	24	23 14:8 16:16	145:23 149:15,	usage 193:23
7 33:3 37:12	today's 10:18	33:2 37:24 38:10	17,18 165:3	user 96:3 193:24
40:5 43:21 47:14	65:13 68:22 72:4	71:7 103:16	167:21 175:23 190:20 192:16	194:1,22 197:20
50:17 52:14	told 36:16 50:1	139:22 142:1	193:11,13 195:2	198:22 200:13,
55:24 59:8	109:5,12 128:19	144:21 182:11	200:16 206:13	18,20 201:2,6,8,
64:14,16 66:4 68:17 72:17,21	137:19 182:16,	183:6,16 188:14,	210:7	10,19 202:12
73:9,19,23 74:5	22	18		204:21
75:8,12 76:17		tuning 100.0	understood	
75:8,12 76:17 79:7,12 92:12	tomorrow 66:19	typing 129:8	11:6,20,23 34:1,	user-generated
98:8 99:13 111:7	80:1		19 46:12 58:18	94:8,17,20 95:4, 18,22 96:2,3,8,
125:2 128:15,18	top 16:23 17:16	U	73:19 96:16	18,22 96:2,3,8,
129:12 140:5	22:2 59:12 87:25		112:24 116:18	0.16 11
143:22 153:17	99:22 100:18,22	U.K. 118:1,11	136:12 150:11	users 94:21
155:17 163:20	157:8 212:12	11.0 00:0 74:00	151:11 160:10	204:22
165:18 171:8		U.S. 68:9 71:22	167:15 203:11	
175:8 178:6	topics 47:5,8	117:21 118:1,10	undisputed	V
180:1 182:22	touch 48:17,18,	Uh-huh 95:15	133:16,20	
187:16 193:14	19	192:23	,	vague 195:1
198:16 206:17,		uh-huhs 11:4	University 7:7	vague 195.1
22 210:16	track 99:24	un-nuns 11.4	unnecessary	Valley 180:14
214:12 216:8	Tracking 13:13	unable 126:3	90:4	181:11 190:5
220:25	training 7:13,17,	unaware 151:11		
	Training / 13 1/		unquoto 107:1	vast 60.6
1mac 10.17			unquote 197:1	vast 69:6
	22 105:3 108:6	155:22	unquote 197:1 unusual 179:20	version 71:10,
19:20 62:19,22,		155:22 understand	_	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6	22 105:3 108:6	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9	unusual 179:20 218:16	version 71:10,
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5,	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted 29:20	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23 168:25 169:8	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16 100:20	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16 understandable 148:23	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16 100:20	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted 29:20 transport 114:4	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16 understandable 148:23 understanding	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23 168:25 169:8 uploaded 21:7	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22 214:6
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16 100:20 today 9:5 10:2	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted 29:20 transport 114:4 travel 27:22,25	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16 understandable 148:23 understanding 16:12 20:5 25:16	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23 168:25 169:8 uploaded 21:7 69:18 70:12	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22 214:6 videos 95:22 102:17 193:24
25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16 100:20 today 9:5 10:2 13:2 17:17 20:4,	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted 29:20 transport 114:4	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16 understandable 148:23 understanding 16:12 20:5 25:16 28:13 31:23	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23 168:25 169:8 uploaded 21:7 69:18 70:12 90:20 91:4,20	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22 214:6 videos 95:22
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16 100:20 today 9:5 10:2 13:2 17:17 20:4, 15 22:3,7 23:1	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted 29:20 transport 114:4 travel 27:22,25	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16 understandable 148:23 understanding 16:12 20:5 25:16	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23 168:25 169:8 uploaded 21:7 69:18 70:12 90:20 91:4,20 92:17 103:1	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22 214:6 videos 95:22 102:17 193:24
19:20 62:19,22, 25 79:1 83:6 88:5 93:5 109:5, 11 124:11 137:7 141:11 153:6 201:14 timing 55:23 66:12 title 5:25 6:20,25 28:4 100:23 101:6,7,8,10 150:20 titled 69:16 100:20 today 9:5 10:2 13:2 17:17 20:4, 15 22:3,7 23:1 30:13 39:24 46:5	22 105:3 108:6 transcribes 10:21 transcribing 10:22 transcript 11:7 220:16,25 transcription 10:25 transferred 143:1,7 transmitted 29:20 transport 114:4 travel 27:22,25	155:22 understand 11:18 15:5,9 29:23 46:3 47:3 51:25 91:14 93:24 111:17 115:19 119:2,9 121:6 122:1 124:10 129:11 130:18 133:7 134:21 139:8 147:2 156:3 159:11 171:3,5 185:21 193:16 understandable 148:23 understanding 16:12 20:5 25:16 28:13 31:23	unusual 179:20 218:16 update 80:20 81:3 updated 26:16 29:7,11 updating 29:6 upload 38:19 70:2 89:20 90:7 92:3,7 98:19 123:12 147:25 167:19,23 168:25 169:8 uploaded 21:7 69:18 70:12 90:20 91:4,20 92:17 103:1 151:14 170:16	version 71:10, 11 101:4 186:18 217:6 versions 29:13, 16 217:4 versus 19:7 20:18 116:9 118:1,10 189:15 vetted 149:25 video 15:17 63:15 95:7,10 96:2,3,15,19 97:12 102:22 214:6 videos 95:22 102:17 193:24

viewer 90:24	warrant 205:23	wide 28:2	132:4,15,24	87:5 88:4,22
91:1,19 92:14,17	warrants 145:16	window 91:11	133:5,11 134:1,	131:14 167:20
186:22	Wallants 145.10	139:1	10,18 135:1,5,	wording 167:7
viewers 186:2,	Washingtonian		14,19,25 136:16,	wording 107.7
13	6:19 27:7	winter 106:24	24 137:4,16,25	words 89:8
	ways 39:5,7,9	wishes 124:1	138:10 140:3,25	131:12,21
viewing 20:22	91:8		141:8,13 142:23	172:21 176:16
views 92:15		withdraw 19:15	143:14 144:20	179:15 183:21
214:14	weather 178:24,	37:11 70:20	145:3,13 146:1,	191:18 195:24
	25 179:3 180:14	140:22 180:10	7,13 147:9,15	196:8
violate 34:6	web 42:15 53:3	202:5	148:3,12,18	work 6:13,18,23
57:3,5,24 58:12,	76:3 86:9,10	withdrawn	149:1,7,12,22 150:14,24	14:1,3,6 16:1
20 90:11 125:10,	100:21 101:18	209:25	150:14,24	24:24 36:12
12 148:9	146:2 169:2	Walff 0.0 40 40	153:1,5,11,15	40:19,22 41:1,11
violated 30:9,	website 33:22	Wolff 9:9,12,18	154:22 155:5,16,	68:8,11 75:2,10
12,16,23 31:6	34:9,15 35:13	21:2,11,22 22:8, 17 23:5,20 30:18	25 156:6 158:11,	80:9 90:3 103:13
59:16 66:2 130:6	37:15 41:2,3	33:17,24 34:10	23 159:4,10,16,	117:4 120:11
191:19 204:7,14	45:19 46:1,11,14	35:1 36:7,13	24 160:13,18	121:1,3,10 124:5
violates 33:20	53:17 61:20	37:16 45:7,17	161:19 163:10,	125:4,24 130:12
203:19	86:21 87:21	46:9 49:22 51:9	23 167:18 168:5,	133:4 136:13
	90:20 91:2,11	52:1,10 53:10,18	13,20,23 169:6,	139:24 140:2,11
violating 149:6	114:6,23 116:16,	54:8 57:16,22	24 170:11,25	141:14 146:12
150:4,10 159:14	19 119:7 125:24	58:14 60:21	171:16 176:22	148:13 160:4
206:12	132:6,13,17,21	61:10 62:9,14	177:2,7,16,25	166:9,21 169:4
violation 56:13,	133:1 135:2,13,	63:2 64:23 67:24	178:12,18 179:6,	172:23 178:16
16,23 77:24	15,17 162:9,12,	78:13 79:16	8,14,24 180:7,15	179:12 182:1,6,
80:1,14 90:16	15 167:23	80:12,16,22 81:7	181:3,19 182:2,	18 187:10 198:19 208:13
viral 05.00	168:12,18,25	82:1,8,17,22,25	9,13,19 183:15,	209:12 217:2
viral 35:22	169:8,23 170:9	83:5,10,14,19	20 184:6,16	218:5
visible 21:9	171:13 172:2	84:4,21 85:19,24	186:4,15,20	
113:2,4 132:17	174:7 178:22	86:23 87:14	187:5,12,19	worked 5:22
135:2 171:22	184:5,13 185:6	89:2,25 91:6,22	188:9,25 189:5,	6:15,19 12:6,10
186:6 199:13,16	199:5,11,13	92:5,20 93:4,10,	12 190:16,23	27:4,6,7,20,22,
201:16 214:5	200:18 201:2,17	17 95:20,25	191:4,22 192:19	23,25 28:1 36:18
visual 11:2	206:1,7 209:5,	96:6,18 97:10	194:14 195:10,	40:25 60:24
25:20 36:1 48:12	12,22	99:21 103:14	14,20 196:4,14 197:2,15 198:8	116:24 156:18
94:19 183:11	website's 185:7	105:15 107:12	199:6,12,25	157:9
198:10 214:9	wohoitoo	108:11 109:4,10,	200:5,19 201:4,	working 6:4,8,
vieuele 40:40	websites 217:23,24	20,24 110:11 113:10 114:7,12,	12,22 202:1,20	17 7:1 9:23 13:9
visuals 13:19	217.23,24	19 115:8,14,18	203:5 204:4,9,16	14:11,15,18,20,
27:13 94:21,24	Weddings	117:11 118:3,17,	205:8,13,19	22 27:3 30:16
123:23	27:24	25 119:8 120:1,	206:8 207:6,25	36:9 43:16 44:18
	week 66:8	5,12,20 121:5,	208:8,14,21	113:25 173:22
W	192:11 193:4,7	11,22 122:12,18	209:6,13 210:18,	works 60:24
	·	123:1,9,21	22,25 216:2	113:18 116:14
walking 189:21	weeks 66:8	124:6,15,24	220:5	143:3 149:15,19
wanted 50:4	98:10 99:4	125:7,18,25	won 180:3	
wanted 50:1 77:17 98:21	175:19	126:5,13,20		worth 177:12
112:4 190:6,25	whatsoever	127:4,11,14,21	wondering	write 12:20 77:1
200:10	151:24 152:9	128:12 129:4,13,	90:15	89:17 90:2 94:13
200.10	154:3 155:1	23 130:2,16	word 16:18 37:1	153:3 172:18
1	i .	1 404 - 4444	110.1001.1	1

131:5,11,14

wanting 124:13

Index: viewer..write

178:5 190:7,25

78:16 86:16,19

writer 38:10 40:11,13 48:18, 24 65:7 82:5,11 83:23 112:3 119:1 121:13 147:25 150:8 164:22 216:6 218:7,18 writer's 181:4 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 30:32 216:1:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7 24:13 47:10,13		
40:11,13 48:18, 24 65:7 82:5,11 24 65:7 82:5,11 38:23 112:3 119:1 121:13 147:25 150:8 164:22 216:6 218:7,18 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 199:2 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 vritten 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 vritten 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrong 137:20 172:20 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 180:22 years 5:24 6:24 7: 72:75,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	writer 38:10	48:1,6 49:16
24 65.7 82.5,11 83:23 112:3 13.12:13 147:25 150:8 164:22 216:6 164:22 216:6 163:18 164:21 175:22 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writer's 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 8:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	1	
83:23 112:3 119:1 121:13 147:25 150:8 164:22 216:6 218:7,18 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 180:5 writting 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 180:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
119:1 121:13 147:25 150:8 164:22 216:6 218:7,18 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 20:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
147:25 150:8 164:22 216:6 164:22 216:6 175:22 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21.23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 Zaharia 37:7,9 46:22 163:2 164:6 174:11,20 192:5 Zoom 174:23 211:4 214:17 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
164:22 216:6 218:7,18 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
218:7,18 writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 zorm 174:23 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5.7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
writer's 181:4 writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya's 49:19 58:7 Z Zaharia 37:7,9 46:22 163:2 164:6 174:11,20 192:5 Zoom 174:23 211:4 214:17		
writers 44:13 46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 writting 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:57,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	·	
46:2 54:10 71:17 76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7.8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
76:4 98:1 99:2, 23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3		
23 113:5 141:9, 15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7: 72:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		7
15 147:21,23 149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7: 72:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
149:18,24 150:6 159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7: 727:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		7 eherie 07.70
159:8 165:3 writers' 159:17 160:5 Writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7: 72:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
writers' 159:17 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 16::17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
Tools 160:5 writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		192:5
writing 87:4 94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	160:5	Zoom 174:23
94:11 95:3 117:13 207:7 written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	writing 87.4	
### ### ##############################		
written 61:13,17 78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
78:20 87:7 125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
125:13 145:17 194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
194:10 196:15, 23 wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	78:20 87:7	
wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	125:13 145:17	
wrong 137:20 172:20 wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	194:10 196:15,	
wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
wrote 24:3 30:15 35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
35:14 86:1 87:18 110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	172.20	
110:14 206:17, 22 218:13 Y Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	wrote 24:3 30:15	
Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	35:14 86:1 87:18	
Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
Yachting 28:2 year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	Υ	
year 6:16 27:8 54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
54:12 59:10 62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	Yachting 28:2	
62:21 160:22 years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
years 5:24 6:24 7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
7:7 27:5,7,8,10, 20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7	Vears 5:24 6:24	
20 36:22 161:17 184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
184:3 yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
yes-or-no 133:8 yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
yesterday 67:9 Yuliya 10:7		
Yuliya 10:7		
	yesierday 67:9	
24:13 47:10,13		
	Yuliya 10:7	
	Yuliya 10:7	

Index: writer..Zoom